ON SOME AITKEN-STEFFENSEN-HALLEY-TYPE METHODS FOR APPROXIMATING THE ROOTS OF SCALAR EQUATIONS

ION PĂVĂLOIU*

Abstract. In this note we extend the Aitken-Steffensen method to the Halley transformation. Under some rather simple assumptions we obtain error bounds for each iteration step; moreover, the convergence order of the iterates is 3, i.e. higher than for the Aitken-Steffensen case.

MSC 2000. 65H05.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$ and suppose that $f \in C^4[a, b]$, and $f'(x) > 0$, $\forall x \in [a, b]$. Consider the function $h : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$h(x) = \frac{f(x)}{\sqrt{f'(x)}}.$$

As it was shown in [2], the Halley method for solving:

$$(1.1) \qquad\qquad f(x) = 0,$$

is given by

$$(1.2) \qquad x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{h(x_n)}{h'(x_n)}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots, \; x_0 \in [a, b].$$

This sequence is in fact generated by the Newton method for solving $h(x) = 0$.

The first and second order derivatives of h are given by

$$(1.3) \qquad\qquad h'(x) = \frac{2(f'(x))^2 - f''(x) \cdot f(x)}{2(f'(x))^{3/2}}$$

*"T. Popoviciu" Institute of Numerical Analysis, P.O. Box 68-1, 3400 Cluj–Napoca, Romania, e-mail: `pavaloiu@ictp-acad.math.ubbcluj.ro`.

(1.4) $$h''(x) = \frac{3(f''(x))^2 - 2f'''(x)f'(x)}{4(f'(x))^{5/2}} \cdot f(x)$$

which yield the following equalities for a solution $\bar{x}$ of (1.1):

(1.5) $$h'(\bar{x}) = \left(f'(\bar{x})\right)^{1/2}, \qquad \text{and}$$

(1.6) $$h''(\bar{x}) = 0.$$

Relation (1.6) ensures the convergence order 3 for the sequence $(x_k)_{k \geq 0}$.

In the papers [2]–[8] and [12] there are studied the convergence and the convergence order of some sequences generated by some interpolatory methods applied to equation $h(x) = 0$.

We shall consider other two equations equivalent to (1.1) of the form:

(1.7) $$x - \varphi_1(x) = 0, \qquad \text{and}$$

(1.8) $$x - \varphi_2(x) = 0$$

The Aitken method for solving $h(x) = 0$ is given by the iteration

(1.9) $$x_{n+1} = \varphi_1(x_n) - \frac{h(\varphi_1(x_n))}{[\varphi_1(x_n), \varphi_2(x_n); h]}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots, \; x_0 \in [a, b].$$

In this note we shall study the convergence of these iterates. We shall show that the functions φ_1 and φ_2 may be chosen in order to obtain bilateral approximations at each iteration step; this fact allows the control of the errors. On the other hand, the convergence order of $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ given by (1.9) is at least equal to 3.

Hypotheses $f \in C^4[a, b]$ and $f'(x) > 0$, $\forall x \in [a, b]$ imply, taking into account (1.5), that there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \leq \alpha < \bar{x} < \beta \leq b$ such that $h'(x) > 0, \forall x \in [\alpha, \beta]$.

2. ERROR EVALUATION AND LOCAL CONVERGENCE

Consider the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ given above. We shall make the following assumptions on φ_1 and φ_2:

    i. the function $f \in C^4[a, b]$;
    ii. equation (1.1) has the solution $\bar{x} \in [a, b]$;
    iii. the inequality $f'(x) > 0$ holds for $x \in [\alpha, \beta]$;

   iv. the function φ_1 verifies the relation $0 < [x, y; \varphi_1] < 1$ for all $x, y \in [\alpha, \beta]$, where $[x, y; \varphi]$ denotes the first order divided difference of φ on x and y;

   v. the function φ_2 verifies the relations $-1 < [x, y; \varphi_2] < 0$ for all $x, y \in [\alpha, \beta]$.

We can state the following result:

THEOREM 2.1. *Assume that* i–v *hold, and for some* $x_0 \in [\alpha, \beta]$ *sufficiently close to* $\bar{x}$ *we have* $\varphi_1(x_0), \varphi_2(x_0) \in [\alpha, \beta]$. *Then the following relations hold:*

   j. *the sequences* $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}, (\varphi_1(x_n))_{n \geq 0}$ *and* $(\varphi_2(x_n))_{n \geq 0}$ *converge to* $\bar{x}$;

   jj. *for any* $n = 0, 1, \ldots,$ *one has*

$$|\bar{x} - x_{n+1}| \leq \max\left\{ |x_{n+1} - \varphi_1(x_n)|, |x_{n+1} - \varphi_2(x_n)| \right\};$$

   jjj. *there exists* $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $k > 0$, *which does not depend on* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that*

$$|x_{n+1} - \bar{x}| \leq k |x_n - \bar{x}|^3, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots$$

Proof. By $\varphi_1(x_0), \varphi_2(x_0) \in [\alpha, \beta]$ it obviously follows that $h'(\varphi_1(x_0)) > 0$ and $h'(\varphi_2(x_0)) > 0$. Denote by I_0 the interval having the extremities $\varphi_1(x_0)$ and $\varphi_2(x_0)$. We notice, taking into account the mean formula, that

$$[\varphi_1(x_0), \varphi_2(x_0); h] > 0.$$

When $x_0 < \bar{x}$, by iv. and $\bar{x} = \varphi_1(\bar{x})$ it follows $\varphi_1(x_0) < \bar{x}$. Analogously, $\varphi_1(x_0) > \bar{x}$ for $x_0 > \bar{x}$. Taking into account v. and $\bar{x} = \varphi_2(\bar{x})$ we get $\varphi_2(x_0) > \bar{x}$ for $x_0 < \bar{x}$ and $\varphi_2(x_0) < \bar{x}$ for $x_0 > \bar{x}$. It is obvious that in both situations $\bar{x} \in I$. It can be easily seen that for all $n = 0, 1, \ldots$ we have

$$\varphi_1(x_n) - \frac{h(\varphi_1(x_n))}{[\varphi_1(x_n), \varphi_2(x_n); h]} = \varphi_2(x_n) - \frac{h(\varphi_2(x_n))}{[\varphi_1(x_n), \varphi_2(x_n); h]},$$

which, for $n = 0$ imply $x_1 > \varphi_1(x_0)$ and $x_1 < \varphi_2(x_0)$ if $x_0 < \bar{x}$, respectively $x_1 < \varphi_1(x_0)$ and $x_1 > \varphi_2(x_0)$ if $x_0 > \bar{x}$, i.e., $x_1 \in \text{int } I_0$. It is clear now that, analogously, $x_1 < \varphi_1(x_1) < \bar{x} < \varphi_2(x_1)$ if $x_1 < \bar{x}$ or $x_1 > \varphi_1(x_1) > \bar{x} > \varphi_2(x_1)$ if $x_1 > \bar{x}$. Denoting by I_1 the interval determined by $\varphi_1(x_1)$ and $\varphi_2(x_1)$ then

$$I_1 \subset I_0,$$

and the element x_2 constructed by (1.9) satisfies $x_2, \bar{x} \in I_1$.

Repeating the above reason we get $x_{n+1}, \bar{x} \in I_n$, the interval being determined by $\varphi_1(x_n), \varphi_2(x_n)$, and also that

$$I_{n+1} \subset I_n.$$

and $\bar{x} \in I_{n+1}$. It is clear now that jj. holds. In order to obtain jjj. we shall use the identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(\bar{x}\right) = & h\left(\varphi_1\left(x_n\right)\right) + \left[\varphi_1\left(x_n\right), \varphi_2\left(x_n\right); h\right]\left(\bar{x} - \varphi_1\left(x_n\right)\right) \\
& + \left[\bar{x}, \varphi_1\left(x_n\right), \varphi_2\left(x_n\right); h\right]\left(\bar{x} - \varphi_1\left(x_n\right)\right)\left(\bar{x} - \varphi_2\left(x_n\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which, together with (1.9) and $h(\bar{x}) = 0$, imply

$$\bar{x} - x_{n+1} = -\frac{[\bar{x}, \varphi_1(x_n), \varphi_2(x_n); h]}{[\varphi_1(x_n), \varphi_2(x_n); h]}\left(\bar{x} - \varphi_1\left(x_n\right)\right)\left(\bar{x} - \varphi_2\left(x_n\right)\right).$$

For the difference $\bar{x} - \varphi_1\left(x_n\right)$, by iv. one gets

$$\bar{x} - \varphi_1\left(x_n\right) = \left[\bar{x}, x_n; \varphi_1\right]\left(\bar{x} - x_n\right),$$

i.e.,

$$\bar{x} - \varphi_1\left(x_n\right) < \left|\bar{x} - x_n\right|.$$

Analogously, by v. we get

$$\left|\bar{x} - \varphi_2\left(x_n\right)\right| < \left|\bar{x} - x_n\right|.$$

The mean formula for divided differences implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left[\bar{x}, \varphi_1\left(x_n\right), \varphi_2\left(x_n\right); h\right] &= \tfrac{1}{2}h''\left(\xi_n\right), & \text{with } \xi_n \in I_n, \text{ and} \\
\left[\varphi_1\left(x_n\right), \varphi_2\left(x_n\right); h\right] &= h'\left(\eta_n\right), & \eta_n \in I_n.
\end{aligned}
$$

For $h''\left(\xi_n\right)$ we have

$$\left|h''\left(\xi_n\right)\right| = \left|h''\left(\xi_n\right) - h''\left(\bar{x}\right)\right| = \left|h'''\left(\theta_n\right)\right|\left|\bar{x} - \xi_n\right|.$$

Since $\xi_n \in I_n$ it follows

$$\left|\bar{x} - \xi_n\right| < \left|\bar{x} - x_n\right|.$$

Denoting $m_1 = \inf_{x \in [\alpha, \beta]}\left|h'\left(x\right)\right|$, $M_3 = \sup_{x \in [\alpha, \beta]}\left|h'''\left(x\right)\right|$, the above relations lead to

$$\left|\bar{x} - x_{n+1}\right| \leq \tfrac{M_3}{2m_1}\left|\bar{x} - x_n\right|^3, \qquad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

i.e., jjj. for $k = \frac{M_3}{2m_1}$.

Since the initial approximation x_0 was supposed sufficiently close to the solution $\bar{x}$, then

$$\sqrt{\frac{M_3}{2m_1}} \, |\bar{x} - x_0| < 1$$

implies, together with jjj., statement j.   □

REMARK 2.1. Supposing that $f''(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$, and if instead of iii. we assume that $f'(x) > 0$, then obviously $f(x) < 0$ for $a \leq x < \bar{x}$, and so in Theorem 2.1 we may take $\alpha = a$. From the above conditions it follows that $h'(x) > 0$ for $x \in [a, \bar{x}]$, and since $f(\bar{x}) = 0$, one gets $\beta > \bar{x}$.   □

3. DETERMINING THE FUNCTIONS φ_1 AND φ_2

Under reasonable hypotheses on f, we shall show that there exist two classes of functions among we can choose the functions φ_1 and φ_2 such that hypotheses iv. and v. to be satisfied.

Besides assumptions i.–iii. on f, we shall suppose that f is strictly convex, i.e., $f''(x) > 0$, $\forall x \in [a, b]$. This condition implies that f' is increasing on $[a, b]$. If, moreover, $f'(x) < 2\lambda$, with $0 < \lambda \leq f'_r(a)$, then we may consider the functions

$$(3.1) \qquad\qquad \varphi_1(x) = x - \frac{f(x)}{\mu} \qquad \text{and}$$

$$(3.2) \qquad\qquad \varphi_2(x) = x - \frac{f(x)}{\lambda}$$

where μ may be taken as any real number greater than the left derivative of f at b, $f'_l(b)$.

In the following we shall show that the functions $\varphi_1(x)$ and $\varphi_2(x)$ chosen above obey iv. and v. The derivatives of these functions are given by

$$\varphi'_1(x) = 1 - \frac{f'(x)}{\mu}, \qquad \text{resp. } \varphi'_1(x) = 1 - \frac{f'(x)}{\lambda}.$$

Obviously, $0 \leq \varphi'_1(x) < 1$. Also, the monotonicity of f' implies $\varphi'_2(x) < 0$, while $f'(x) < 2\lambda$ implies $-1 < \varphi'_2(x)$.

Taking into account Remark 2.1, under the above hypotheses it is obvious that if $x_0 < \bar{x}$, then condition $\varphi_1(x_0) \in [\alpha, \beta]$ from Theorem 2.1 is obviously satisfied. Indeed, this fact follows from $\varphi'_1(x) < 1$, since $\varphi_1(x_0) - \bar{x} = \varphi_1(x_0) - \varphi_1(\bar{x}) = \varphi'_1(\xi)(x_0 - \bar{x}) < 0$, $x_0 < \theta < \bar{x}$, i.e., $\varphi_1(x_0) < \bar{x}$.

On the other hand, $|\varphi_1(x_0) - \bar{x}| < |x_0 - \bar{x}|$, and so the relations $x_0 < \varphi_2(x_0) < \bar{x}$ hold. The hypothesis $\varphi_2(x_0) \in [\alpha, \beta]$ must be kept.

REFERENCES

[1] A. BEN-ISRAEL, *Newton's method with modified functions*, Contemp. Math., **204**, pp. 39–50, 1997.

[2] G.H. BROWN, JR., *On Halley's variation of Newton's method*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **84**, pp. 726–728, 1977.

[3] V. CANDELA and A. MARQUINA, *Recurrence relations for rational cubic methods I: The Halley's method*, Computing, **44**, pp. 169–184, 1990.

[4] G. DESLAURIES and S. DUBUC, *Le calcul de la racine cubique selon Héron*, El. Math., **51**, pp. 28–34, 1996.

[5] W.F. FORD and J.A. PENNLINE, *Accelerated convergence in Newton method*, SIAM Rev., **38**, pp. 658–659, 1996.

[6] J. GERLACH, *Accelerated convergence in Newton's method*, SIAM Rev., **36**, pp. 272–276, 1994.

[7] D. LUCA and I. PĂVĂLOIU, *On the Heron's method for the approximation of the cubic root of a real number*, Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx., **28**, pp. 103–108, 1997.

[8] A. MELMAN, *Geometry and convergence of Euler's and Halley's methods*, SIAM Rev., **39**, pp. 728–735, 1997.

[9] A.M. OSTROWSKI, *The Solution of Equations and Systems of Equations*, Academic Press, New York–London, 1960.

[10] I. PĂVĂLOIU, *On the monotonicity of the sequences of approximations obtained by Steffensen method*, Mathematica (Cluj), **35 (58)**, pp. 171–76, 1993.

[11] I. PĂVĂLOIU, *Approximation of the roots of equations by Aitken–Steffensen-type monotonic sequences*, Calcolo, **32**, pp. 69–82, 1995.

[12] I. PĂVĂLOIU, *On a Halley-Steffensen method for approximating the solutions of scalar equations*, Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx., **30**, 2001 no. 1, pp. 69–74.

[13] T. POPOVICIU, *Sur la délimitation de l'erreur dans l'approximation des racines d'une équation par interpolation linéaire ou quadratique*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., **13**, pp. 75–78, 1968.

clickable →

clickable →

clickable →

clickable →

available soon,
refresh and click here →

Received June 13, 2000.