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#### Abstract

By using a general algebraic identity of Adolf Hurwitz [1], which generalizes an important identity of Abel, we construct a new operator $S_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)}$ approximating the functions. A special case of this is the operator $Q_{m}^{\beta}$ of CheneySharma.

We show that this new operator, applied to a function $f \in C[0,1]$, is interpolatory at both sides of the interval $[0,1]$, and reproduces the linear functions.

We also give an integral representation of the remainder of the approximation formula of the function $f$ by means of this operator. By applying a criterion of $T$. Popoviciu [2], is also given an expression of this remainder by means of divided difference of second order.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1902 A. Hurwitz [1] has given the following identity

$$
\begin{align*}
& (u+v)\left(u+v+\beta_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{m}\right)^{m-1}=  \tag{1}\\
& =\sum u\left(u+\beta_{i_{1}}+\ldots+\beta_{i_{k}}\right)^{k-1} v\left(v+\beta_{j_{1}}+\ldots+\beta_{j_{m-k}}\right)^{m-k-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

In the special case $\beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=\ldots=\beta_{m}=\beta$ it reduces to an identity of Abel-Jensen

$$
(u+v)(u+v+m \beta)^{m-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k} u(u+k \beta)^{k-1} v(v+(m-k) \beta)^{m-k-1} .
$$

If we replace in (1) $u=x$ and $v=1-x$, we obtain the equality

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum x\left(x+\beta_{i_{1}}+\ldots+\beta_{i_{k}}\right)^{k-1}(1-x)\left(1-x+\beta_{j_{1}}+\ldots+\beta_{j_{m-k}}\right)^{m-k-1}= \\
=\left(1+\beta_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{m}\right)^{m-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

By using this relation we can construct a linear positive operator, depending on $m$ nonnegative parameters, defined for any function $f \in C[0,1]$, by the

[^0]following formula
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\beta_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{m}\right)^{m-1}\left(S_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)} f\right)(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{m} w_{m, k}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{m}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where
(3) $w_{m, k}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)}(x)=$

$$
=\sum x\left(x+\beta_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{i_{k}}\right)^{k-1}(1-x)\left(1-x+\beta_{j_{1}}+\ldots+\beta_{j_{m-k}}\right)^{m-k-1} .
$$

It is easy to see that we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1+\beta_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{m}\right)^{m-1}\left(S_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)} f\right)(x)= \\
& =(1-x)\left(1-x+\beta_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{m}\right)^{m-1} f(0)+ \\
& \quad+x(1-x) \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} w_{m, k}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{m}\right)+x\left(x+\beta_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{m}\right)^{m-1} f(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we can observe that the polynomial $\left(S_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)} f\right)(x)$ is interpolatory at both sides of the interval $[0,1]$, for any nonnegative values of the parameters $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}$.

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)} f\right)(0)=f(0),\left(S_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)} f\right)(1)=f(1) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that our operator reproduces the linear functions.
Consequently, the approximation formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\left(S_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)} f\right)(x)+\left(R_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)} f\right)(x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the degree of exactness one.
By using a known theorem of Peano we can give an integral representation for the remainder of the formula (5)

Theorem 1. If $f \in C^{2}[0,1]$ then the remainder term of the approximation formula (5) can be represented under the following integral form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(R_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)} f\right)(x)=\int_{0}^{1} G_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)}(t ; x) f^{\prime \prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Peano kernel is

$$
G_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)}(t ; x)=\left(R_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)} \varphi_{x}\right)(t),
$$

with

$$
\varphi_{x}(t)=\frac{x-t+|x-t|}{2}=(x-t)_{+} .
$$

Proof. We have

$$
G_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)}(t ; x)=(x-t)_{+}-\sum_{k=0}^{m} w_{m, k}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)}(x)\left(\frac{k}{m}-t\right)_{+} .
$$

By writing the explicit expression for the Peano kernel we can observe that this kernel represents a polygonal line situated beneath the $t$-axis, which joins the points $(0,0)$ and $(0,1)$.

If we apply the first law of the mean to the integral, we get

$$
\left(R_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)} f\right)(x)=f^{\prime \prime}(\xi) \int_{0}^{1} G_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)}(t ; x) \mathrm{d} t
$$

and the formula (5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\left(S_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)} f\right)(x)+f^{\prime \prime}(\xi) \int_{0}^{1} G_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)}(t ; x) \mathrm{d} t \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we now replace here $f(x)=e_{2}(x)=x^{2}$, we find

$$
x^{2}=\left(S_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)} e_{2}\right)(x)=2 \int_{0}^{1} G_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)}(t ; x) \mathrm{d} t
$$

Consequently, we can write
$\int_{0}^{1} G_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)}(t ; x) \mathrm{d} t=\frac{1}{2}\left[x^{2}-\left(S_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)} e_{2}\right)(x)\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left(R_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)} e_{2}\right)(x)$.
THEOREM 2. If $f \in C^{2}[0,1]$ then we can give the following expression for the remainder of formula (5):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(R_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)} f\right)(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(R_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)} e_{2}\right)(x) f^{\prime \prime}(\xi) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi \in(0,1)$.
Proof. Since $R_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)} f=0$ if $f$ is a linear function and it is different from zero for any convex function of the first order, we can apply a criterion of T . Popoviciu [2] and we can conclude that this remainder is of simple form and we can state the following result.

Theorem 3. If the second-order divided differences of the function $f$ are bounded on the interval $[0,1]$, then there exist three distinct points $t_{m, 1}, t_{m, 2}$, $t_{m, 3}$ in $[0,1]$, such that the remainder can be represented under the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(R_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)} f\right)(x)=\left(R_{m}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)} e_{2}\right)(x)\left[t_{m, 1}, t_{m, 2}, t_{m, 3} ; f\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is clear that if $f \in C^{2}[0,1]$ we can apply the mean-value theorem of divided differences and we can obtain formula (8).
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