

## STANCU MODIFIED OPERATORS REVISITED

OCTAVIAN AGRATINI\*

**Abstract.** In this paper we construct a general positive approximation process representing an integral form in Kantorovich sense of the Stancu operators. By using K-functionals and some moduli of smoothness we give direct theorems for pointwise approximation. Also, by using the contraction principle we reobtain the convergence of the iterates of Stancu polynomials.

**MSC 2000.** 41A36, 41A60, 47H10.

**Keywords.** Kantorovich and Stancu operators, moduli of smoothness, K-functionals, contraction principle, weakly Picard operators.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the Stancu operators [10] are defined by

$$(1) \quad (S_n^{(\alpha)} f)(x) := \sum_{k=0}^n w_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad f \in C[0, 1], \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

where  $w_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) := \binom{n}{k} x^{[k, -\alpha]} (1-x)^{[n-k, -\alpha]} / 1^{[n, -\alpha]}$ ,  $k = \overline{0, n}$ , represent the fundamental polynomials of Stancu of  $n$  degree. Here  $y^{[m, -\alpha]}$  stands for the generalized factorial power with the step  $-\alpha$ ,  $y^{[0, -\alpha]} := 1$  and  $y^{[m, -\alpha]} := y(y+\alpha)\dots(y+(m-1)\alpha)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Under the hypotheses that  $\alpha$  is a non-negative real parameter depending on the natural number  $n$  and  $\alpha = \alpha_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , D.D. Stancu proved that the sequence  $(S_n^{(\alpha)})_{n \geq 1}$  converges to the identity operator on the space  $C[0, 1]$ . We keep this assumption throughout the paper.

In 1989 Quasim Razi [8] modified the operator  $S_n^{(\alpha)}$  into integral form as follows

$$(2) \quad (K_n^{(\alpha)} f)(x) := (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^n w_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \int_{k/(n+1)}^{(k+1)/(n+1)} f(t) dt, \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

and  $f$  belongs to the space of real-valued integrable functions  $L_1[0, 1]$ .

Further approximation properties were examined in [3] and [1].

The present paper focuses on two approaches. Firstly we generalize the operators defined by (2) and we study their degree of approximation in the terms both of the weighted Totik-Ditzian modulus of smoothness and the

---

\*“Babeş-Bolyai” University, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Str. M. Kogălniceanu 1, 3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania, e-mail: agratini@math.ubbcluj.ro.

integral moduli of high order. Secondly, coming back to the operators  $S_n^{(\alpha)}$  we reobtain the convergence of the iterates by using a new proof based on the contraction principle. This way it results that Stancu operators are weakly Picard operators.

## 2. THE OPERATORS $\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)}$ , $n \in \mathbb{N}$

We consider two real sequences  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$  verifying the following conditions

$$(3) \quad b_n \geq n + 1, \quad a_n \leq 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n > 0.$$

For every  $f$  belonging to  $L_1[0, 1]$  we define the operators

$$(4) \quad (\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)} f)(x) \equiv (\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha, a_n, b_n)} f)(x) := b_n \sum_{k=0}^n w_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(a_n x) \int_{k/b_n}^{(k+1)/b_n} f(t) dt,$$

where  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

REMARKS. (i) The operators  $\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha, a_n, b_n)}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are linear. Since the sequences  $(\alpha_n)$ ,  $(a_n)$ ,  $(b_n)$  are positive, the operators are positive too and consequently they become monotone.

(ii) In the particular case  $a_n = 1$  and  $b_n = n + 1$  we reobtain the operator  $\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)}$  defined by (2) and consequently  $\mathcal{K}_n^{(0, 1, n+1)}$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  classical Kantorovich operator.  $\square$

In what follows we denote by  $e_j$  the Korovkin test functions,  $e_j(x) = x^j$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,  $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Also we set  $\mu_{n,s}(x) := \mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)}((e_1 - x e_0)^s, x)$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$ , the central moment of  $s$  order for  $\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)}$  operator. We present some identities involving the mentioned test functions and moments.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)}$  be defined by (4). For every  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the following relations hold true*

$$(5) \quad (\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)} e_0)(x) = 1,$$

$$(6) \quad (\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)} e_1)(x) = \beta_n x + (2b_n)^{-1},$$

$$(7) \quad (\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)} e_2)(x) = \frac{\beta_n^2}{\alpha+1} \left( \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) x^2 + \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{n}\right) a_n^{-1} x \right) + \frac{\beta_n x}{b_n} + \frac{1}{3b_n^2},$$

$$(8) \quad \mu_{n,1}(x) = (2b_n)^{-1} - (1 - \beta_n)x,$$

$$(9) \quad \mu_{n,2}(x) = \mu_{n,1}^2(x) + \beta_n^2 \frac{n\alpha+1}{n(\alpha+1)} x(a_n^{-1} - x) + \frac{1}{12b_n^2},$$

where

$$(10) \quad \beta_n := \frac{na_n}{b_n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Proof.* By a straightforward calculation we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)} e_0)(x) &= (S_n^{(\alpha)} e_0)(a_n x), & (\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)} e_1)(x) &= \left( \frac{n}{b_n} S_n^{(\alpha)} e_1 + \frac{1}{2b_n} S_n^{(\alpha)} e_0 \right) (a_n x), \\ (\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)} e_2)(x) &= \frac{1}{b_n^2} \left( n^2 S_n^{(\alpha)} e_2 + n S_n^{(\alpha)} e_1 + \frac{1}{3} S_n^{(\alpha)} e_0 \right) (a_n x), \end{aligned}$$

and taking into account the identities [10, Lemma 4.1]

$$S_n^{(\alpha)} e_j = e_j, \quad j \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \text{and} \quad (S_n^{(\alpha)} e_2)(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \left( \frac{x(1-x)}{n} + x(x+\alpha) \right),$$

our relations (5), (6), (7) follow. Consequently, the identities (8) and (9) hold also true.  $\square$

LEMMA 2. *The second central moment of the operator  $\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)}$  verifies*

$$(11) \quad \mu_{n,2}(x) \leq \beta_n^2 \frac{n\alpha+1}{n(\alpha+1)} \varphi_n^2(x) + (1 - \beta_n)^2, \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

where  $\varphi_n$  is the step-weight function associated to  $\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)}$  and defined by

$$(12) \quad \varphi_n(x) = \sqrt{x(a_n^{-1} - x)}, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

*Proof.* By using relations (3) and (8), after some algebraic manipulations we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \mu_{n,1}^2(x) &= \max \left\{ \frac{1}{4b_n^2}, \left( 1 - \beta_n - \frac{1}{2b_n} \right)^2 \right\} = \left( 1 - \beta_n - \frac{1}{2b_n} \right)^2 := c_n \\ (13) \quad &\leq (1 - \beta_n)^2 - \frac{1}{12b_n^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and (9) implies the desired result.  $\square$

### 3. APPROXIMATION PROPERTIES OF $\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)}$

THEOREM 1. *Let  $\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)}$  be defined by (4). For every  $f \in C[0, 1]$  one has*

$$|(\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)} f)(x) - f(x)| \leq 2\omega_f \left( \beta_n \sqrt{\frac{n\alpha+1}{n(\alpha+1)}} \varphi_n(x) + 1 - \beta_n \right),$$

where  $\omega_f$  is the first modulus of continuity of  $f$  and  $\beta_n, \varphi_n$  are defined by (10) respectively (12).

*Proof.* By virtue of the classical results regarding the local rate of convergence, see e.g. the monograph [2, Th. 5.1.2], the identity (5) guarantees

$$|(\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)} f)(x) - f(x)| \leq \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \sqrt{\mu_{n,2}(x)} \right) \omega_f(\delta), \quad (\forall) \delta > 0.$$

We choose  $\delta := \sqrt{\mu_{n,2}(x)}$  and knowing that  $\omega_f$  is a non-decreasing function, with the help of (11) we obtain the claimed result.  $\square$

REMARK. B. Lenze [6] introduced the Lipschitz type maximal function  $\tilde{f}_\beta$  of order  $\beta$ ,  $\beta \in (0, 1]$ , as follows

$$\tilde{f}_\beta(x) = \sup_{\substack{x, t \in [0,1] \\ x \neq t}} \frac{|f(x) - f(t)|}{|x - t|^\beta}, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

From the estimate  $|f(x) - f(t)| \leq \tilde{f}_\beta(x) \mu_{n,2}^{\beta/2}(x)$  we get

$$|(\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)} f)(x) - f(x)| \leq \tilde{f}_\beta(x) \left( \beta_n \sqrt{\frac{n\alpha+1}{n(\alpha+1)}} \varphi_n(x) + 1 - \beta_n \right)^{\beta/2}, \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

for every  $f \in C[0, 1]$ . In particular case  $a_n = 1$  the relation shows that the order of approximation by  $\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)}$  increases near to the endpoint 0 of the interval  $[0, 1]$ . For  $K_n^{(\alpha)}$  operators defined by (2) this type of estimate already appeared in [3, Eq. (1.12)].  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)}$  and  $\beta_n$  be defined by (4) respectively by (10). If*

$$(14) \quad \beta_n \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

*then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)} f = f$  uniformly on  $[0, 1]$  for every  $f \in C[0, 1]$  as well as  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)} f = f$  in  $L_p[0, 1]$  for every  $f \in L_p[0, 1]$  and  $p \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Under our assumption (14), the relations (5), (6), (7) imply  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)} e_j = e_j$ ,  $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . By Bohman-Korovkin's theorem and knowing that  $C[0, 1]$  is dense in every Banach space  $L_p[0, 1] \subset L_1[0, 1]$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , the proof is complete.  $\square$

Further on,  $C$  denotes a constant independent of  $n$  and  $x$ , which is not necessarily the same at each occurrence. In concordance with the results due to Z. Ditzian and V. Totik [4, pp. 10–11, 24] we set

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^2(f, t) &:= \sup_{0 < h \leq t} \sup_{x \pm h\varphi^\lambda \in [0, 1]} |\Delta_{h\varphi^\lambda}^2 f(x)|, \\ Y_\lambda &:= \{g \in C[0, 1] : g' \in A.C.loc, \|\varphi^{2\lambda} g''\| < \infty\}, \\ K_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, t^2) &:= \inf_{g \in Y_\lambda} \{\|f - g\| + t^2 \|\varphi^{2\lambda} g''\|\}, \\ \bar{Y}_\lambda &:= \{g \in Y_\lambda : \|g''\| < \infty\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(16) \quad \bar{K}_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, t^2) := \inf_{g \in \bar{Y}_\lambda} \{\|f - g\| + t^2 \|\varphi^{2\lambda} g''\| + t^{4/(2-\lambda)} \|g''\|\},$$

where  $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{x(1-x)}$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ , and  $g' \in A.C.loc$  means that  $g$  is differentiable and  $g'$  is absolutely continuous on  $[0, 1]$ .

Regarding the above maps we have the following connections

$$(17) \quad \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^2(f, t) \sim K_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, t^2) \sim \bar{K}_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, t^2), \quad 0 < t \leq t_0,$$

established in [4, Th. 2.1.1 & 3.1.2] for the particular case  $f \in C[0, 1]$ . Here  $u \sim v$  means that a constant  $C > 0$  exists with the property  $C^{-1}u \leq v \leq Cu$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)}$  be defined by (4) such that  $a_n = 1$  and  $b_n(\alpha + n^{-1}) = \mathcal{O}(1)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $f \in C[0, 1]$  and  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ , one has*

$$|(\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)} f)(x) - f(x)| \leq C \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^2(f, \Delta_{n,\lambda}(x)) + \omega_f\left(1 - \frac{2n+1}{2b_n}\right),$$

where  $\omega_{\varphi,\lambda}^2$  is given at (15),

$$(18) \quad \Delta_{n,\lambda}(x) := b_n^{-1/2} \delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_n(x) := \varphi(x) + b_n^{-1/2}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $0 \leq x + \mu_{n,1}(x) \leq 1$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$ , for every  $f \in C[0, 1]$  we can define

$$(19) \quad (\mathcal{L}_n f)(x) := f(x) - f(x + \mu_{n,1}(x)), \quad (\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_n^{(\alpha)} f)(x) := (\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)} + \mathcal{L}_n)(f, x).$$

From (5) and (6) we easily obtain  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_n^{(\alpha)} e_j = e_j$ ,  $j \in \{0, 1\}$ . At the same time  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_n^{(\alpha)}((e_1 - x e_0)^2, x) = \mu_{n,2}(x) - \mu_{n,1}^2(x)$  and gathering both (7), the additional assumption  $b_n(\alpha + n^{-1}) = \mathcal{O}(1)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and (18) one obtains

$$(20) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_n^{(\alpha)}((e_1 - x e_0)^2, x) \leq (\alpha + n^{-1})\varphi^2(x) + b_n^{-2} \leq \frac{C}{b_n} \delta_n^2(x).$$

On the other hand, for  $u$  between  $t$  and  $x$  we have

$$(21) \quad \frac{|t-u|}{\varphi^{2\lambda}(u)} \leq \frac{|t-x|}{\varphi^{2\lambda}(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|t-u|}{\delta_n^{2\lambda}(u)} \leq \frac{|t-x|}{\delta_n^{2\lambda}(x)}.$$

Indeed, if a function  $\theta^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$  is concave then  $\theta^{2\lambda}$ ,  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , has the same property and for every  $u = (1 - \eta)t + \eta x$ ,  $\eta \in [0, 1]$ , we get  $\theta^2(u) \geq (1 - \eta)\theta^2(t) + \eta\theta^2(x) \geq \eta\theta^2(x)$ . Choosing  $\theta^2 = \varphi^2$  respectively  $\theta^2 = \delta_n^2$  we obtain (21).

For a given  $(x, \lambda) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ , relations (16) and (17) allow us to choose  $g \in \bar{Y}_\lambda$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - g\| &\leq C\omega_{\varphi,\lambda}^2(f, \Delta_{n,\lambda}(x)), \\ \Delta_{n,\lambda}^2(x) \|\varphi^{2\lambda} g''\| &\leq C\omega_{\varphi,\lambda}^2(f, \Delta_{n,\lambda}(x)), \\ \Delta_{n,\lambda}^{4/(2-\lambda)}(x) \|g''\| &\leq C\omega_{\varphi,\lambda}^2(f, \Delta_{n,\lambda}(x)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta_{n,\lambda}(x)$  is given at (18). Since  $\|\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_n^{(\alpha)}\| \leq 3$  we can write

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} |(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_n^{(\alpha)} f)(x) - f(x)| &\leq |\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_n^{(\alpha)}(f - g, x)| + |(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_n^{(\alpha)} g)(x) - g(x)| + |g(x) - f(x)| \\ &\leq 4\|f - g\| + |(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_n^{(\alpha)} g)(x) - g(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Also, applying (21) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_x^t (t-u)g''(u)du \right| &\leq \|\delta_n^{2\lambda} g''\| \left| \int_x^t \frac{t-u}{\delta_n^{2\lambda}(u)} du \right| \leq \|\delta_n^{2\lambda} g''\| \delta_n^{-2\lambda}(x) (t-x)^2, \\ \left| \int_x^{x+\mu_{n,1}(x)} (x+\mu_{n,1}(x)-u)g''(u)du \right| &\leq \|\delta_n^{2\lambda} g''\| \left| \int_x^{x+\mu_{n,1}(x)} \frac{|x+\mu_{n,1}(x)-u|}{\delta_n^{2\lambda}(u)} du \right| \\ &\leq \|\delta_n^{2\lambda} g''\| \frac{|\mu_{n,1}(x)|}{\delta_n^{2\lambda}(x)} \left| \int_x^{x+\mu_{n,1}(x)} du \right| \\ &= \|\delta_n^{2\lambda} g''\| \delta_n^{-2\lambda} \mu_{n,1}^2(x). \end{aligned}$$

Using (19), the above two inequalities as well as (20) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_n^{(\alpha)}g)(x) - g(x)| &= \left| \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_n^{(\alpha)} \left( \int_{xe_0}^{e_1} (e_1 - u)g''(u)du, x \right) \right| \\
&\leq \left| \mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)} \left( \int_{xe_0}^{e_1} (e_1 - u)g''(u)du, x \right) \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \int_x^{x+\mu_{n,1}(x)} (x + \mu_{n,1}(x) - u)g''(u)du \right| \\
&\leq \|\delta_n^{2\lambda}g''\| \delta_n^{-2\lambda}(x)\mu_{n,2}(x) + \|\delta_n^{2\lambda}g''\| \delta_n^{-2\lambda}(x)\mu_{n,1}^2(x) \\
&\leq \|\delta_n^{2\lambda}g''\| \delta_n^{-2\lambda}(x) \{2\mu_{n,1}^2(x) + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_n^{(\alpha)}((e_1 - xe_0)^2, x)\} \\
&\leq C\Delta_{n,\lambda}^2(x)\|\delta_n^{2\lambda}g''\|.
\end{aligned}$$

In the same manner we establish

$$|(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_n^{(\alpha)}g)(x) - g(x)| \leq C\frac{\delta_n^2(x)}{b_n}\varphi^{-2\lambda}(x)\|\varphi^{2\lambda}g''\|.$$

We split  $I := [0, 1]$  in two parts:  $E_n$  and  $I \setminus E_n$  where  $E_n := \left[\frac{A}{n}, 1 - \frac{A}{n}\right]$ ,  $A$  being a fixed positive number.

For  $x \in E_n$  we have  $\delta_n(x) \sim \varphi(x)$ . By using (22) and (17) we get

$$|(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_n^{(\alpha)}f)(x) - f(x)| \leq 4\|f - g\| + \frac{C}{b_n}\delta_n^{2(1-\lambda)}(x)\|\varphi^{2\lambda}g''\| \leq C\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^2(f, \Delta_{n,\lambda}(x)).$$

For  $x \in I \setminus E_n$  we have  $\delta_n(x) \sim b_n^{-1/2}$ , therefore

$$(\delta_n^{2(1-\lambda)}(x)/b_n^{\lambda+1}) \sim (\delta_n^{4(1-\lambda)/(2-\lambda)}(x)/b_n^{2/(2-\lambda)}).$$

Based on the previous increases, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_n^{(\alpha)}f)(x) - f(x)| &\leq 4\|f - g\| + \frac{C}{b_n}\delta_n^{2(1-\lambda)}(x) \left\{ \|\varphi^{2\lambda}g''\| + \frac{1}{b_n^\lambda}\|g''\| \right\} \\
&\leq C \left\{ \|f - g\| + \Delta_{n,\lambda}^2(x)\|\varphi^{2\lambda}g''\| + \Delta_{n,\lambda}^{4/(2-\lambda)}(x)\|g''\| \right\} \\
&\leq C\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^2(f, \Delta_{n,\lambda}(x)).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for every  $f \in C[0, 1]$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\mathcal{K}^{(\alpha)}f)(x) - f(x)| &\leq |(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_n^{(\alpha)}f)(x) - f(x)| + |(\mathcal{L}_n f)(x)| \\
&\leq C\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^2(f, \Delta_{n,\lambda}(x)) + \omega_f(|\mu_{n,1}(x)|)
\end{aligned}$$

and (13) finished the proof.  $\square$

We notice that the above theorem generalizes a result which was recently obtained for the Bernstein-Kantorovich operators  $\mathcal{K}_n^{(0,1,n+1)} = K_n^{(0)}$ , see [5, Th. 3].

We end this section going to study the degree of approximation for  $f$  belonging to  $L_p[0, 1]$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , by using the integral modulus of smoothness of high order  $\omega_r(f, t)_p := \sup_{0 < |h| \leq t} \|(T_h - I)^r f\|_p$ ,  $T_h$  being the translation operator.

According to (13) it is clear that  $\|\mu_{n,1}\|_p \leq \sqrt{c_n}$ . Further on, by using Minkowski inequality from (11) we deduce

$$(23) \quad \|\mu_{n,2}\|_p \leq \beta_n \sqrt{\alpha + n^{-1}} \left( \int_0^1 \varphi_n^p(x) dx \right)^{1/p} + 1 - \beta_n := \gamma_{n,p}.$$

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)}$  be defined by (4). For every  $f \in L_p[0, 1]$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , the following inequalities*

$$(24) \quad \|\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha)} f - f\|_p \leq C_{p,r} \left( \gamma_{n,p} \|f\|_p + \omega_r(f, 2r\gamma_{n,p}^{1/r})_p \right), \quad n \geq n_0,$$

hold, where  $C_{p,r}$  is a constant independent of  $f$  and  $n$ ,  $\gamma_{n,p}$  is given at (23) and  $r \geq 3$  is an integer.

*Proof.* Since  $\gamma_{n,p} = o(1)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for an integer  $r \geq 3$  a rank  $n_0$  exists such that  $2r\gamma_{n,p}^{1/r} \leq 1$  for every  $n \geq n_0$ . The proof of (24) follows the same steps like those established in [1, Th. 1], so we overlook it.  $\square$

#### 4. THE ITERATES OF $S_n^{(\alpha)}$ VIA CONTRACTION PRINCIPLE

In [7] the iterates  ${}^m S_n^{(\alpha)}$ ,  $m \geq 0$ , of Stancu operators have been introduced and investigated. We recall

$${}^0 S_n^{(\alpha)} = 1, \quad {}^1 S_n^{(\alpha)} = S_n^{(\alpha)}, \quad {}^m S_n^{(\alpha)} = S_n^{(\alpha)} ({}^{m-1} S_n^{(\alpha)}), \quad m > 1.$$

The authors proved the following limiting relation

$$(25) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} {}^m S_n^{(\alpha)}(f, x) = f(0) + (f(1) - f(0))x,$$

uniformly on  $[0, 1]$  for any  $\alpha \geq 0$ .

The aim of this section is to give a new proof of (25). Our approach is motivated by the results due to I.A. Rus [9].

At first we define

$$X_{\alpha,\beta} := \{f \in C[0, 1] : f(0) = \alpha, f(1) = \beta\},$$

for every real parameter  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . It is easy to observe that  $X_{\alpha,\beta}$  is a closed subset of  $C[0, 1]$ , it is an invariant subset of  $S_n^{(\alpha)}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $X_{\alpha,\beta}$ ,  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ , form a partition of  $C[0, 1]$ .

The next step we prove that  $S_n^{(\alpha)}|_{X_{\alpha,\beta}} : X_{\alpha,\beta} \rightarrow X_{\alpha,\beta}$  is a contraction for every  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Considering  $f, g \in X_{\alpha,\beta}$  and knowing that

$S_n^{(\alpha)}$  interpolates the function  $h$  in 0 and 1, from (1) we can write


$$\begin{aligned} |(S_n^{(\alpha)} f)(x) - (S_n^{(\alpha)} g)(x)| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} w_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) (f - g) \left( \frac{k}{n} \right) \right| \\ &\leq (1 - w_{n,0}^{(\alpha)}(x) - w_{n,n}^{(\alpha)}(x)) \|f - g\| \\ &\leq \left( 1 - \frac{(1-x)^n + x^n}{1^{[n, -\alpha]}} \right) \|f - g\| \\ &\leq \left( 1 - \frac{2^{1-n}}{1^{[n, -\alpha]}} \right) \|f - g\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $S_n^{(\alpha)}$  has the exactness degree 1, obviously  $\alpha e_0 + (\beta - \alpha) e_1$  is a fixed point of  $S_n^{(\alpha)}|_{X_{\alpha, \beta}}$ .

If  $f \in C[0, 1]$  then  $f \in X_{f(0), f(1)}$  and from the contraction principle we obtain (25).  $\square$

REMARK. According to [9, Th. 1'] the above used trend allow us to state that Stancu operator  $S_n^{(\alpha)}$  is a weakly Picard operator.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] AGRATINI, O., *On some properties by Stancu-Kantorovich polynomials in  $L_p$  spaces*, in: Seminar of Numerical and Statistical Calculus (Gh. Coman, ed.), pp. 1–8, Babeş-Bolyai Univ., Faculty of Math. and Computer Science, Cluj-Napoca, 1999.
- [2] ALTOMARE, F., and CAMPITI, M., *Korovkin-Type Approximation Theory and its Applications*, De Gruyter Series Studies in Mathematics, **17**, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1994.
- [3] DELLA VECCHIA, B. and MACHE, D.H., *On approximation properties of Stancu-Kantorovich operators*, Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx., **27**, no. 1, pp. 71–80, 1998. 
- [4] DITZIAN, Z., and TOTIK, V., *Moduli of Smoothness*, Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, **9**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1987.
- [5] GUO, S., LIU, L., and X. LIU, *The pointwise estimate for modified Bernstein operators*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungarica, **37**, pp. 69–81, 2001.
- [6] LENZE, B., *On Lipschitz-type maximal functions and their smoothness spaces*, Proc. Netherl. Acad. Sci. A, **91**, pp. 53–63, 1988.
- [7] MASTROIANNI, G. and OCCORSIO, M.R., *Una generalizzazione dell'operatore di Stancu*, Rend. Accad. Sci. Fis. Mat. Napoli, **45**, no. 4, pp. 495–511, 1978.
- [8] Q. RAZI, *Approximation of a function by Kantorovich type operators*, Matematički Vesnik, **41**, pp. 183–192, 1989.
- [9] RUS, I.A., *Iterates of Bernstein operators, via contraction principle*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. (submitted).
- [10] STANCU, D.D., *Approximation of functions by a new class of linear polynomial operators*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl., **13**, no. 8, pp. 1173–1194, 1968.

Received by the editors: September 4, 2001.