

AITKEN–STEFFENSEN TYPE METHODS
FOR NONSMOOTH FUNCTIONS (III)*

ION PĂVĂLOIU†

Abstract. We provide sufficient conditions for the convergence of the Steffensen method for solving the scalar equation $f(x) = 0$, without assuming differentiability of f at other points than the solution x^* . We analyze the cases when the Steffensen method generates two sequences which approximate bilaterally the solution.

MSC 2000. 65H05.

Keywords. Aitken–Steffensen iterations.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider the Steffensen method for approximating the solutions of the equations of the form

$$(1) \quad f(x) = 0$$

with $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$. Let $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that the equation

$$(2) \quad x - g(x) = 0$$

is equivalent to (1).

As it is well known, the Steffensen method consists in approximating the solution x^* of (1) by the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ given by

$$(3) \quad x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{[x_n, g(x_n); f]}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad x_0 \in [a, b].$$

We are interested in the following in the conditions under which the sequences $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(g(x_n))_{n \geq 1}$ are monotone, and offer bilateral approximations to x^* . The importance of such sequences resides in the fact that at each iteration step we obtain a rigorous error bound. We shall construct the function g without assuming that f is differentiable on the whole interval $[a, b]$. In this sense, we shall use the divided differences of f .

Regarding the monotony and convexity of the function f we shall adopt the following definitions.

*This work has been supported by the Romanian Academy under grant GAR 19/2003.

†“T. Popoviciu” Institute of Numerical Analysis, P.O. Box 68-1, 3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania, e-mail: pavaloiu@ictp.acad.ro.

DEFINITION 1. The function f is nondecreasing (increasing) on $[a, b]$ if $[u, v; f] \geq 0$ (> 0) $\forall u, v \in [a, b]$, while f is nonincreasing (decreasing) if $[u, v; f] \leq 0$ (< 0) $\forall u, v \in [a, b]$.

DEFINITION 2. The function f is nonconcave (convex) on $[a, b]$ if

$$[u, v, w; f] \geq 0 \text{ (} > 0 \text{)}, \quad \forall u, v, w \in [a, b],$$

and is nonconvex (concave) if

$$[u, v, w; f] \leq 0 \text{ (} < 0 \text{)}, \quad \forall u, v, w \in [a, b].$$

Consider the function $p_{x_0} : [a, b] \setminus \{x_0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$(4) \quad p_{x_0} = [x_0, x; f].$$

Recall the following result:

THEOREM 3. [3, p. 290].

- a) If f is nonconcave on $[a, b]$ then p_{x_0} is nondecreasing on $[a, b]$;
- b) If f is convex on $[a, b]$ then p_{x_0} is increasing on $[a, b]$;
- c) If f is nonconvex on $[a, b]$ then p_{x_0} is nonincreasing on $[a, b]$;
- d) If f is concave on $[a, b]$ then p_{x_0} is decreasing on $[a, b]$.

Consider now $u, v, w, t \in [a, b]$ such that $u \leq \min\{v, w, t\}$ and $t \geq \max\{u, v, w\}$. The following result is known:

LEMMA 4. [8]. If f is nonconcave (convex) on $[a, b]$ then the following relation holds:

$$(5) \quad [u, v; f] \leq (<) [w, t; f], \quad \forall v, w \in [u, t], v \neq w.$$

An inequality analogous to (5) holds when f is nonconvex (concave) on $[a, b]$.

2. THE CONVERGENCE OF THE STEFFENSEN METHOD

We shall consider that f obeys the following hypotheses:

- i. f is continuous at a and b ;
- ii. $f(a) \cdot f(b) < 0$;
- iii. f is increasing on $[a, b]$;
- iv. f is convex on $[a, b]$ and f is continuous at a and b ;
- v. f is differentiable at x^* , the solution of (1), and $x^* \in (a, b)$.

REMARK 1. Hypotheses iv. ensures the continuity of f on (a, b) (see, e.g. [3, p. 295]). \square

REMARK 2. Hypotheses i.–iv. ensure the existence and the unicity of the solution $x^* \in (a, b)$ of equation (1). \square

Let $\alpha, \beta \in (a, b)$ be such that $f(\alpha) < 0$ and $f(\beta) > 0$ (their existence is ensured by hypotheses i.–iv.).

Consider the function $g : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$(6) \quad g(x) = x - \frac{f(x)}{[a, \alpha; f]}$$

By iii. and iv. and Lemma 4 it follows that

$$(7) \quad [u, v; g] < 0, \quad \forall u, v \in (\alpha, \beta),$$

i.e., g is decreasing.

We shall make the following hypotheses regarding the initial approximation x_1 in (3):

- a) $f(x_1) < 0$;
- b) $g(x_1) < \beta$.

Regarding the convergence of the Steffensen method (3) we prove the following result:

THEOREM 5. *Assume that f obeys assumptions i.–v., that the function g is given by (6) and x_1 obeys a) and b). Then the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(g(x_n))_{n \geq 1}$ generated by (3) satisfy the following properties:*

- j. *the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is increasing and bounded;*
- jj. *the sequence $(g(x_n))_{n \geq 1}$ is decreasing and bounded;*
- jjj. *the following is true:*

$$(8) \quad x_n < x^* < g(x_n), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. By (6) we get that $x^* = g(x^*)$. Since $x_1 < x^*$, and g is decreasing, it follows $g(x_1) > g(x^*) = x^*$ and so $x_1 < x^* < g(x_1)$.

We show now that x_2 given by (3) verifies $x_1 < x_2 < x^*$. Since $f(x_1) < 0$ and f is increasing, it follows $x_2 = x_1 - \frac{f(x_1)}{[x_1, g(x_1); f]} > x_1$. Further, it can be easily seen that the following identity holds:

$$x_1 - \frac{f(x_1)}{[x_1, g(x_1); f]} = g(x_1) - \frac{f(g(x_1))}{[x_1, g(x_1); f]},$$

whence, by (3) for $n = 1$ it follows $x_2 < g(x_1)$, since $f(g(x_1)) > 0$ and $[x_1, g(x_1); f] > 0$.

From the identity

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_2) &= \\ &= f(x_1) + [x_1, g(x_1); f](x_2 - x_1) + [x_2, x_1, g(x_1); f](x_2 - x_1)(x_2 - g(x_1)) \end{aligned}$$

taking into account (3) for $n = 1$ and the fact that f is convex, we get $f(x_2) < 0$ and so $x_2 < x^*$.

By $x_2 > x_1$ it results $g(x_2) < g(x_1)$. We prove that $g(x_2) > x^*$. Since $x_2 < x^*$, from the monotony of g it follows $g(x_2) > g(x^*) = x^*$. In conclusion, we get

$$(9) \quad x_1 < x_2 < x^* < g(x_2) < g(x_1).$$

Assume now that for some $n \geq 2$, the elements obtained by (3) verify:

$$(10) \quad x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n < \cdots < x^* < \cdots < g(x_n) < \cdots < g(x_2) < g(x_1).$$

Repeating the above reason for $x_1 = x_n$ we get

$$(11) \quad x_n < x_{n+1} < x^* < g(x_{n+1}) < g(x_n).$$

From (10) and (11) one obtains the monotony of the sequences $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(g(x_n))_{n \geq 1}$. Obviously, these sequence are bounded, so there exists $\bar{x} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(x_n) = g(\bar{x})$, since g is continuous.

Passing to limit in (3) implies $\bar{x} = \bar{x} - \frac{f(\bar{x})}{[\bar{x}, g(\bar{x}); f]}$ i.e. $f(\bar{x}) = 0$, and so $\bar{x} = x^*$. \square

Relations (11) imply the following a posteriori errors

$$(12) \quad x^* - x_n \leq g(x_n) - x_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

REMARK 3. Consider in (3) the function $g : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$(13) \quad g(x) = x - \frac{f(x)}{[\beta, b; f]}$$

If f is concave on $[\alpha, \beta]$, then $[u, v; f] > [\beta, b; f]$, $\forall u, v \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and so g is decreasing on $[\alpha, \beta]$. Suppose now that hypotheses iv. and a) resp. b) imposed on f and g are replaced by

iv'. the function f is concave on $[a, b]$;

the initial value x_1 in (3) is such that

a'). $f(x_1) > 0$;

b'). $g(x_1) > \alpha$, with g given by (13).

Then the sequences $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(g(x_n))_{n \geq 1}$ have the following properties:

j'. $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is decreasing;

jj'. $(g(x_n))_{n \geq 1}$ is increasing;

jjj'. $g(x_n) < x^* < x_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$

The proof of these properties is similar to that given for Theorem 5. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] BALÁZS, M., *A bilateral approximating method for finding the real roots of real equations*, Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx., **21** no. 2, pp. 111–117, 1992.
- [2] CASULLI, V. and TRIGIANTE, D., *The convergence order for iterative multipoint procedures*, Calcolo, **13**, no. 1, pp. 25–44, 1977.
- [3] COBZAȘ, S., *Mathematical Analysis*, Presa Universitară Clujeană, Cluj-Napoca, 1997 (in Romanian).
- [4] OSTROWSKI, A. M., *Solution of Equations and Systems of Equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1960.
- [5] PĂVĂLOIU, I., *On the monotonicity of the sequences of approximations obtained by Steffensens's method*, Mathematica (Cluj), **35** (58), no. 1, pp. 71–76, 1993.
- [6] PĂVĂLOIU, I., *Bilateral approximations for the solutions of scalar equations*, Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx., **23**, no. 1, pp. 95–100, 1994.

-
- [7] PĂVĂLOIU, I., *Approximation of the roots of equations by Aitken-Steffensen-type monotonic sequences*, *Calcolo*, **32**, nos. 1–2, pp. 69–82, 1995.
- [8] PĂVĂLOIU, I., *Aitken-Steffensen-type methods for nonsmooth functions (I)*, *Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx.*, **31**, no. 1, pp. 111–116, 2002.
- [9] PĂVĂLOIU, I., *Aitken-Steffensen type methods for nonsmooth functions (II)*, *Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx.*, **31**, no. 2, pp. 203–206, 2002.
- [10] TRAUB, F. J., *Iterative Methods for the Solution of Equations*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1964.

Received by the editors: March 12, 2003.