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#### Abstract

We improve the classical Jensen inequality for convex functions by extending it to a wider class of functions. We also consider some weaker conditions for the weights occurring in this inequality.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most important inequality in the theory of convex functions and many fields of mathematics connected with this theory is Jensen's inequality which states:

Theorem 1. If $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(I$ is an open interval in $\mathbb{R})$ is a convex function, $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in I, n \geq 2, p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}\right) \leq \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}$.
This inequality has an important role in mathematics and statistics and there exist a lot of its generalization, improvements and refinements. For example, it is reasonable to ask whether the condition $p_{i}>0$ can be relaxed. Or, on the other hand, can we obtain inequality (8) for a wider class of functions? Some answers on the second question were given in 1 by Dragomirescu and Ivan, and in [3] by Pečarić and Pearce. Answer on the first question was given by Steffensen [8]. Namely, he obtained that the following theorem holds.

Theorem 2. If $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(I$ is an open interval in $\mathbb{R})$ is a convex function, $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in I^{n}, n \geq 2$, is a monotonic $n$-tuple, and if $p_{i}$ are real numbers such that
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}=\frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i} \in I, \quad 0 \leq P_{k} \leq P_{n}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n-1, \quad P_{n}>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

then (1) holds.
The previous theorem is known in literature under the name: JensenSteffensen's inequality. In this paper we show that the condition (2) can be relaxed. In Section 2 we suppose that $f$ is a differentiable function and main result is a consequence of the generalized Steffensen's inequality [7]. In Section 3 we will pointed out that differentiability is not a necessary condition and we will be in position to prove a theorem about Jensen's type inequality directly, without using some other results. The proof is based on the identity which is first used in the proof of the Jensen-Steffensen inequality by J. Pečarić in (4].

## 2. CONSEQUENCE OF THE GENERALIZED STEFFENSEN INEQUALITY

We need the following Steffensen type inequality which is given in [7].
Here, we shall use these notation:

$$
\mathbf{t}=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right), \quad[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]=\left\{\mathbf{t}: a_{i} \leq t_{i} \leq b_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right]
$$

If $\mathbf{t}$ and $\mathbf{x}$ are two $n$-tuples then by $\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{x}$ we will denote the $n$-tuple $\left(t_{1}+\right.$ $\left.x_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}+x_{n}\right)$.

THEOREM 3. Let $\mu$ be a measure such that $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ is a finite $\mu$-measurable set, $f, g$ and $f g$ be $\mu$-integrable functions on $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$. Let $\Lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots \lambda_{n}\right)$ be $a$ positive $n$-tuple, $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}+\lambda] \subset[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and $A$ be a real number such that

$$
A \mu([\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}+\Lambda])=\int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} g \mathrm{~d} \mu
$$

and let one of the following cases be satisfied $\mu$-almost everywhere:

1. $g(\mathbf{t}) \leq A$ and $f(\mathbf{t}) \geq f(\mathbf{a}+\Lambda)$, for $\mathbf{t} \in[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}+\Lambda]$; $g(\mathbf{t}) \geq 0$ and $f(\mathbf{t}) \leq f(\mathbf{a}+\Lambda)$, for $\mathbf{t} \in[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \backslash[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}+\Lambda] ;$
2. $g(\mathbf{t}) \geq A$ and $f(\mathbf{t}) \leq f(\mathbf{a}+\Lambda)$, for $\mathbf{t} \in[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}+\Lambda]$; $g(\mathbf{t}) \leq 0$ and $f(\mathbf{t}) \geq f(\mathbf{a}+\Lambda)$, for $\mathbf{t} \in[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \backslash[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}+\Lambda]$.
Then

$$
A \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}+\Lambda]} f \mathrm{~d} \mu \geq \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} f g \mathrm{~d} \mu
$$

This is a direct multidimensional generalization of the right-hand side of Steffensen's inequality which states that if $f$ is a nonincreasing function on $[a, b]$ and $g$ is integrable with $g(t) \in[0,1]$, then the following inequalities hold

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{b-\lambda}^{b} f(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq \int_{a}^{b} f(t) g(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq \int_{a}^{a+\lambda} f(t) \mathrm{d} t, \quad \lambda=\int_{a}^{b} g(t) \mathrm{d} t \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Generalization of the left-hand side of the inequality is given in the following theorem.

ThEOREM 4. Let $\mu$ be a measure such that $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ is a finite $\mu$-measurable set, $f, g$ and $f g$ be $\mu$-integrable functions on $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$. Let $\Lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ be $a$ positive $n$-tuple, $[\mathbf{b}-\Lambda, \mathbf{b}] \subset[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and $A$ be a real number such that

$$
A \mu([\mathbf{b}-\Lambda, \mathbf{b}])=\int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} g \mathrm{~d} \mu
$$

and let one of the following three cases be satisfied $\mu$-almost everywhere:

1. $g(\mathbf{t}) \leq A$ and $f(\mathbf{t}) \leq f(\mathbf{b}-\Lambda)$, for $\mathbf{t} \in[\mathbf{b}-\Lambda, \mathbf{b}]$; $g(\mathbf{t}) \geq 0$ and $f(\mathbf{t}) \geq f(\mathbf{b}-\Lambda)$, for $\mathbf{t} \in[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \backslash[\mathbf{b}-\Lambda, \mathbf{b}] ;$
2. $g(\mathbf{t}) \geq A$ and $f(\mathbf{t}) \geq f(\mathbf{b}-\Lambda)$, for $\mathbf{t} \in[\mathbf{b}-\Lambda, \mathbf{b}]$; $g(\mathbf{t}) \leq 0$ and $f(\mathbf{t}) \leq f(\mathbf{b}-\Lambda)$, for $\mathbf{t} \in[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \backslash[\mathbf{b}-\Lambda, \mathbf{b}]$.
Then

$$
A \int_{[\mathbf{b}-\Lambda, \mathbf{b}]} f \mathrm{~d} \mu \leq \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} f g \mathrm{~d} \mu
$$

Proofs of the above mentioned theorems are given in [7]. Now, we are in the situation to pointed out the following result which improve classical Jensen's inequality.

Theorem 5. Let $f:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function, $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ $\in(a, b)^{n}$ be a nonincreasing n-tuple, and $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ be a real numbers such that there exists integer $k \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$ with properties

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i} & \in\left(x_{k+1}, x_{k}\right]  \tag{4}\\
P_{j} & \geq 0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, k \\
\bar{P}_{j} & \geq 0 \quad j=k+1, \ldots, n, \quad P_{n}>0 \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{j} p_{i}$ and $\bar{P}_{j}=\sum_{i=j}^{n} p_{i}$.
If $f$ has property that

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\prime}(x) & \leq f^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}\right), \text { for } x \leq \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i} \text { and } \\
f^{\prime}(x) & \geq f^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}\right), \text { for } x \geq \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

then (11) holds.
Proof. We use idea from [5]. Setting $g(t)=g_{j}$ on $\left(x_{j+1}, x_{j}\right], j=1, \ldots, n-1$, where

$$
g_{j}=\frac{P_{j}}{P_{n}}, \quad \text { and } \quad g\left(x_{n}\right)=g_{n}=1
$$

we easily check that the following holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{j} & \leq 1 \text { for } j=n, \ldots, k, \\
g_{j} & \geq 0 \text { for } j=1, \ldots, k
\end{aligned}
$$

and after some simple calculations we get

$$
\lambda=\int_{x_{n}}^{x_{1}} g(t) \mathrm{d} t=\frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}-x_{n} .
$$

Functions $g$ and $-f^{\prime}$ satisfy assumptions from Theorem 3, case 1 , for $n=1$, so, the following inequality holds

$$
\int_{x_{n}}^{x_{1}} f^{\prime}(t) g(t) \mathrm{d} t \geq \int_{x_{n}}^{x_{n}+\lambda} f^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

and we get

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(f\left(x_{k}\right)-f\left(x_{k+1}\right)\right) g_{k} \geq f\left(x_{n}+\lambda\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

wherefrom it can be obtained (1).
Furthermore, Theorem 5 is also true if $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a nondecreasing sequence; the only change needed in the hypothesis is to require that

$$
\frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i} \in\left(x_{k}, x_{k+1}\right] .
$$

In this case, the proof is based on the inequality described in Theorem 4
Once more, we stress that under the conditions of the previous Theorem 5 , the Jensen inequality holds not only for convex functions but also for the wider class of functions.

## 3. FURTHER RESULT

Let $c \in(a, b)$ and $\lambda$ be a real number. We will say that $f:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has property $\mathrm{K} 1(\mathrm{c}, \lambda)$, if for any $z, y \in(a, b), z, y \geq c$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(z)-f(y)}{z-y} \geq \lambda \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
If for any $z, y \in(a, b), z, y \leq c$ inequality (7) holds then we will say that $f$ has property $\mathrm{K} 2(\mathrm{c}, \lambda)$.

If in (7) the reversed inequality holds, then we will say that $f$ has property RK1 $(\mathrm{c}, \lambda)$ or RK2 $(\mathrm{c}, \lambda)$ respectively.

If $f$ is differentiable function on $(a, b)$ and if $f$ has a property $\mathrm{K} 1(\mathrm{c}, \lambda)$ for some $c \in(a, b)$, then for $f^{\prime}$ the following holds

$$
f^{\prime}(x) \geq \lambda, \quad \forall x \geq c .
$$

Obviously, if $f$ is a nondecreasing function on $(a, b)$ then $f$ has properties $\mathrm{K} 1(c, 0)$ and $\mathrm{K} 2(c, 0)$ for any $c \in(a, b)$. Also, it is known that a convex function
$f$ defined on an interval $(a, b)$ has properties $\mathrm{K} 1\left(c, f_{+}^{\prime}(c)\right)$ and $\operatorname{RK} 2\left(c, f_{-}^{\prime}(c)\right)$ for any $c \in(a, b)$.

Theorem 6. Let $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in(a, b)^{n}$ be a nonincreasing sequence and $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ be real numbers such that $P_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}>0$. Let us suppose that there exists an integer $m \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $\bar{x}=\frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i} \in\left(x_{m+1}, x_{m}\right]$.

1. If $P_{i} \geq 0$, for $i=1, \ldots, m, \bar{P}_{i} \geq 0$, for $i=m, \ldots, n$ and if $f:(a, b) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ has properties $\operatorname{K1}(\bar{x}, \lambda)$ and $\operatorname{RK} 2(\bar{x}, \lambda)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}\right) \leq \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where $P_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{i} p_{j}$ and $\bar{P}_{i}=\sum_{j=i}^{n} p_{j}$.
2. If $P_{i} \geq 0$, for $i=1, \ldots, m, \bar{P}_{i} \leq 0$, for $i=m, \ldots, n$ and if $f:(a, b) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ has properties $\mathrm{K} 1(\bar{x}, \lambda)$ and $\mathrm{K} 2(\bar{x}, \lambda)$ then (8) holds.
3. Similarly, if $P_{i} \leq 0$, for $i=1, \ldots, m, \bar{P}_{i} \geq 0$, for $i=m, \ldots, n$ and if $f:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has properties $\operatorname{RK} 1(\bar{x}, \lambda)$ and $\operatorname{RK} 2(\bar{x}, \lambda)$ then (8) holds.
Proof. The proof is based on the following identity [4]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\bar{x})-\frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)= & \sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\left[\lambda\left(x_{i}-x_{i+1}\right)-\left(f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right)\right] \frac{P_{i}}{P_{n}} \\
& +\left[\lambda\left(x_{m}-\bar{x}\right)-\left(f\left(x_{m}\right)-f(\bar{x})\right)\right] \frac{P_{m}}{P_{n}} \\
& +\left[f(\bar{x})-f\left(x_{m+1}\right)-\lambda\left(\bar{x}-x_{m+1}\right)\right] \frac{\bar{P}_{m+1}}{P_{n}} \\
& +\sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1}\left[f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i+1}\right)-\lambda\left(x_{i}-x_{i+1}\right)\right] \frac{\bar{P}_{i+1}}{P_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{i} p_{j}$ and $\bar{P}_{i}=\sum_{j=i}^{n} p_{j}$.
Let us suppose that assumptions from case (1) hold. Then the right-hand side of the previous identity is non-positive, so the left-hand side is also nonpositive, and inequality (8) is proved. Other cases can be proved on the same way.
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