ON THE CONVERGENCE ORDER
OF SOME AITKEN–STEFFENSEN TYPE METHODS∗

ION PĂVĂLOIU†

Abstract. In this note we make a comparative study of the convergence orders
for the Steffensen, Aitken and Aitken–Steffensen methods. We provide some
conditions ensuring their local convergence. We study the case when the aux-
iliary operators used have convergence orders \( r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{N} \) respectively. We show
that the Steffensen, Aitken and Aitken–Steffensen methods have the convergence
orders \( r_1 + 1 \), \( r_1 + r_2 \) and \( r_1 r_2 + r_1 \) respectively.
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1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the Aitken–Steffensen type methods are meant to
accelerate the convergence of some sequences converging to the solutions of
operational equations [1], [2], [6]–[9], [12], [13] and [16].

Let \( X \) be a Banach space and \( F : D \subseteq X \to X \) a nonlinear mapping.
Consider the equation

\[
F(x) = \theta,
\]

where \( \theta \) is the null element of \( X \).

Additionally, consider the equations

\[
(1.1) \quad x = \varphi_1(x),
\]

\[
(1.2) \quad x = \varphi_2(x),
\]

which are assumed to be equivalent to (1.1), i.e., they have the same solutions.

As usually, \( \mathcal{L}(X) \) stands for the set of linear operators from \( X \) into itself.
For \( x, y, z \in X \) denote by \([x, y; F] \in \mathcal{L}(X)\) the first order divided difference of
\( F \) at the nodes \( x \) and \( y \) and by \([x, y, z; F] \) the second order divided difference
of \( F \) at \( x, y, z \) ([7]–[9]).

For solving (1.1) we consider the sequences \((x_n)_{n \geq 0}\) generated by the fol-
lowing methods:

1. The Steffensen method:

\[
(1.4) \quad x_{n+1} = x_n - [x_n, \varphi_1(x_n); F]^{-1} F(x_n),
\]
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n = 0, 1, ..., x₀ ∈ D;

2. The Aitken method:

\[ x_{n+1} = \varphi_1 (x_n) - [\varphi_1 (x_n), \varphi_2 (x_n); F]^{-1} F (\varphi_1 (x_n)), \]

n = 0, 1, ..., x₀ ∈ D;

3. The Aitken–Steffensen method

\[ x_{n+1} = \varphi_1 (x_n) - [\varphi_1 (x_n), \varphi_1 (x_n); F]^{-1} F (\varphi_1 (x_n)), \]

n = 0, 1, ..., x₀ ∈ D;

Assume there exists \( x^* \) ∈ D, the common solution for (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3),
and that the mappings \( \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \) are Fréchet differentiable at \( x^* \) up to the orders \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) respectively, with

\[ \varphi_1^{(i)} (x^*) = \theta_i, \quad i = 1, ..., r_1 - 1, \quad \varphi_1^{(r_1)} (x^*) \neq \theta_{r_1}, \]

where \( \theta_i, i = 1, ..., r_1 \) are the null \( i \)-linear mapping. Analogously, assume

\[ \varphi_2^{(i)} (x^*) = \theta_i, \quad i = 1, ..., r_2 - 1, \quad \varphi_2^{(r_2)} (x^*) \neq \theta_{r_2}. \]

It is well known that relations (1.7) and (1.8) ensure that the iteration processes of the form

\[ x_{n+1} = \varphi_1 (x_n), \quad n = 0, 1, ..., x_0 ∈ X, \]

and

\[ x_{n+1} = \varphi_2 (x_n), \quad n = 0, 1, ..., x_0 ∈ X, \]

have the convergence orders \( r_1 \), resp. \( r_2 \).

In this note we show that the Steffensen method has the convergence order \( r_1 + 1 \), the Aitken method \( r_1 + r_2 \), while the Aitken–Steffensen method \( r_1 (r_2 + 1) \). We also provide conditions ensuring the local convergence of these sequences.

2. LOCAL CONVERGENCE

Let \( S = \{ x ∈ X : \| x - x^* \| \leq r \} \) be the ball with center at \( x^* \) and with radius \( r \), and suppose \( S ⊆ D \).

The mappings \( \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \) are assumed to obey the following hypotheses:

i) the mapping \( \varphi_1 \) admits Fréchet derivatives up to the order \( r_1 \geq 1 \) on \( S \), and

\[ \sup_{x ∈ S} \| \varphi_1^{(r_1)} (x) \| = L_1 < +∞, \]

ii) the mapping \( \varphi_2 \) admits Fréchet derivatives up to the order \( r_2 \geq 1 \) on \( S \), and

\[ \sup_{x ∈ S} \| \varphi_2^{(r_2)} (x) \| = L_2 < +∞. \]

The mapping \( F \) is assumed to obey
i) the linear mapping \([x, y; F]\) is invertible for all \(x, y \in S\), and
\[
\sup_{x, y \in S} \| [x, y; F]^{-1} \| = m < +\infty;
\]
i) the bilinear mapping \([x, y, z; F]\) is bounded for all \(x, y, z \in S\):
\[
\sup_{x, y, z \in S} \| [x, y, z; F] \| = M < +\infty.
\]

Regarding the convergence of the Steffensen method, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume that \(\varphi_1\) obeys i) and (1.7), \(F\) obeys i) and ii), and the initial approximation \(x_0 \in S\) is chosen such that

a) \(mM |x^* - x_0| < 1\);

b) \(\frac{L_1}{r_1} \|x^* - x_0\|^{r_1 - 1} \leq 1\).

Then the sequence \((x_n)_{n \geq 1}\) generated by (1.4) remains in \(S\) and converges to \(x^*\), satisfying

\[
\|x^* - x_n\| \leq \left( \frac{MmL_1}{r_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \rho_0^n, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots, \text{where}
\]
\[
\rho_0 = \left( \frac{MmL_1}{r_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \|x^* - x_0\| < 1
\]
and \(p = r_1 + 1\);

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x^*.
\]

**Proof.** Since \(x_0 \in S\), then by the Taylor formula and by (1.7) and i) we get
\[
\|\varphi_1(x_0) - x^*\| = \|\varphi_1(x_0) - \varphi(x^*)\| \leq \frac{L_1}{r_1} \|x_0 - x^*\|^{r_1},
\]
whence, by b) it follows that
\[
\|\varphi_1(x_0) - x^*\| \leq \|x^* - x_0\| \leq r,
\]
i.e., \(\varphi_1(x_0) \in S\). By the Newton identity,
\[
\theta = F(x^*) = F(x_0) + [x_0, \varphi(x_0); F](x^* - x_0)
\]
\[
+ [x_0, x_0, \varphi(x_0); F](x^* - \varphi(x_0))(x^* - x_0),
\]
and taking into account i), ii) and (1.4) for \(n = 0\), we obtain
\[
x^* - x_1 = -[x_0, \varphi(x_0); F]^{-1} [x_0, x_0, \varphi(x_0); F](x^* - \varphi(x_0))(x^* - x_0)
\]
whence, using (2.1), a) and b), it follows that
\[
\|x^* - x_1\| \leq \frac{MmL_1}{r_1} \|x^* - x_0\|^{r_1 + 1} \leq \|x^* - x_0\| \leq r,
\]
i.e., \(x_1 \in S\).

Assume now that \(x_1, \ldots, x_n \in S\) and denote
\[
\rho_i = \left( \frac{MmL_1}{r_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \|x^* - x_i\|, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n.
\]

Relations a) and b) imply \(\rho_0 < 1\), while (2.2) attracts
\[
\rho_1 \leq \rho_0^p.
\]
Assume now that
\[(2.5)\]
\[
\rho_{i+1} \leq \rho_{i}^p, \quad i = 0, \ldots, n-1.
\]
From (2.4) and (2.5) we get
\[
\rho_i \leq \rho_{0}^p, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.
\]
We prove now that \(x_{n+1} \in S\) and \(\rho_{n+1} \leq \rho_{n}^p\). Applying the Taylor formula and taking into account the hypotheses,
\[(2.6)\]
\[
\|\varphi_1(x_n) - \varphi_1(x^*)\| \leq \frac{L_1}{r_1} \|x_n - x^*\|^{r_1}.
\]
The induction hypotheses also imply
\[(2.7)\]
\[
\|x_n - x^*\| = \frac{\rho_n}{(MmL_1)^{r_1}} \|x_n - x^*\|^p \leq \frac{\rho_0^p}{(MmL_1)^{r_1}} \|x^* - x_0\|^p.
\]
Replacing (2.7) in (2.6) and taking into account b) leads to
\[
\|\varphi_1(x_n) - x^*\| \leq \frac{L_1}{r_1} \|x^* - x_0\|^{r_1} \leq r,
\]
which shows that \(\varphi_1(x_n) \in S\).
The fact that \(x_n, \varphi_1(x_n), x^* \in S\) implies
\[
\theta = F(x^*) = F(x_n) + [x_n, \varphi_1(x_n); F] (x^* - x_n) + [x^*, x_n, \varphi_1(x_n); F] (x^* - \varphi_1(x_n)) (x^* - x_n)
\]
withe
\[(2.8)\]
\[
\|x^* - x_{n+1}\| \leq \frac{MmL_1}{r_1!} \|x^* - x_n\|^p.
\]
Denoting \(\rho_{n+1} = \frac{MmL_1}{r_1!} \|x^* - x_{n+1}\|^p\), then by (2.8) we get
\[(2.9)\]
\[
\rho_{n+1} \leq \rho_0^p \leq \rho_{n+1}^p.
\]
It remains to show that \(x_{n+1} \in S\), which follows by (2.9) and (2.8):
\[
\|x^* - x_{n+1}\| \leq \frac{\rho_0^p}{(MmL_1)^{r_1}} \|x^* - x_0\|^p \leq \|x^* - x_0\| \leq r.
\]
Relation (2.9) implies conclusion (jj1).

We obtain the following result regarding the Aitken method.

**Theorem 2.2.** Assume that the mappings \(\varphi_1, \varphi_2\) obey i) and (1.7), resp. ii) and (1.8), the mapping \(F\) obeys i) and (1.5) and the initial approximation \(x_0 \in S\) is chosen such that
\[
a'\) \(\frac{L_1}{r_1} \|x^* - x_0\|^{r_1-1} \leq 1; \)
\[
b'\) \(\frac{L_2}{r_2} \|x^* - x_0\|^{r_2-1} \leq 1; \)
\[
c'\) \(Mm \|x^* - x_0\| < 1.
\]
Then the sequence generated by (1.5) remains in S, converges to \(x^*\) and, moreover, the following relations are true:
\[ j_2 \parallel x_n - x^* \parallel \leq \left( \frac{MmL_1L_2}{\tau_1^{r_1}r_2^{r_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} q^n \rho_0^n, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, \] where
\[ \rho_0 = \left( \frac{MmL_1L_2}{\tau_1^{r_1}r_2^{r_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \parallel x^* - x_0 \parallel < 1 \]
and \( q = r_1 + r_2; \)
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x^*. \]

**Proof.** From i), ii), (1.7) and (1.8) it results
\[ (2.10) \parallel x^* - \varphi_1 (x_0) \parallel \leq \frac{L_1}{r_1} \parallel x^* - x_0 \parallel^{r_1}, \]
whence, by a') it follows that \( \varphi_1 (x_0) \in S. \) Analogously, for \( \varphi_2 \) we get
\[ (2.11) \parallel x^* - \varphi_2 (x_0) \parallel \leq \frac{L_2}{r_2} \parallel x^* - x_0 \parallel^{r_2}, \]
i.e., \( \varphi_2 (x_0) \in S. \)

The Newton identity for \( F, \)
\[ \theta = F(x^*) = F(\varphi_1 (x_0)) + [\varphi_1 (x_0) , \varphi_2 (x_0) ; F] (x^* - \varphi_1 (x_0)) + [x^* , \varphi_1 (x_0) , \varphi_2 (x_0) ; F] (x^* - \varphi_2 (x_0)) (x^* - \varphi_1 (x_0)) \]
by (1.5) for \( n = 0, (2.10), (2.11), \) implies
\[ (2.12) \parallel x_1 - x^* \parallel \leq Mm \parallel x^* - \varphi_2 (x_0) \parallel \parallel x^* - \varphi_1 (x_0) \parallel \leq \frac{MmL_1L_2}{\tau_1^{r_1}r_2^{r_2}} \parallel x^* - x_0 \parallel^{r_1+r_2}. \]

Denoting \( r_1 + r_2 = q \) and
\[ (2.13) \rho_k = \left( \frac{MmL_1L_2}{\tau_1^{r_1}r_2^{r_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \parallel x^* - x_k \parallel, \quad k = 0, 1, \]
then, by (2.12) we get
\[ (2.14) \rho_1 \leq \rho_0^q. \]

From a'), b') and c') we obtain that \( x_1 \in S \) and
\[ (2.15) \rho_0 < 1. \]

The fact that \( x_1 \in S \) is implied by relations (2.14) and (2.15):
\[ (2.16) \parallel x_1 - x^* \parallel \leq \frac{\rho_0^q}{\left( \frac{MmL_1L_2}{\tau_1^{r_1}r_2^{r_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} < \frac{\rho_0}{\left( \frac{MmL_1L_2}{\tau_1^{r_1}r_2^{r_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} = \parallel x_0 - x^* \parallel \leq r. \]

It remains to show that \( \varphi_1 (x_1), \varphi_2 (x_1) \in S. \) Using a') and (2.16), we have
\[ \parallel x^* - \varphi_1 (x_1) \parallel \leq \frac{L_1}{r_1} \parallel x^* - x_1 \parallel^{r_1} \leq \frac{L_1}{r_1} \parallel x^* - x_0 \parallel^{r_1} \leq \frac{L_1}{r_1} \parallel x^* - x_0 \parallel^{r_1-1} \parallel x^* - x_0 \parallel \leq r \]
and, analogously, (2.16) and b') imply
\[ \parallel x^* - \varphi_2 (x_1) \parallel \leq \frac{L_2}{r_2} \parallel x^* - x_1 \parallel^{r_2} \leq \frac{L_2}{r_2} \parallel x^* - x_0 \parallel^{r_2} \leq r. \]
Assume \(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k \in S\) and \(\varphi_i(x_s) \in S\), \(i = 1, 2, s = 0, \ldots, k\).

Denote
\[
\rho_i = \left( \frac{MmL_1L_2}{r_1!r_2!} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-i}} \|x^* - x_i\|, \quad i = 1, \ldots, k
\]
and assume that
\[
\rho_{i+1} \leq \rho_i^q, \quad i = 1, \ldots, k - 1.
\]

Relations \((2.18)\), together with \((2.14)\) show that the following inequalities are verified:
\[
\rho_i \leq \rho_i^q, \quad i = 1, \ldots, k.
\]

We show now that \(x_{k+1} \in S\). Similarly to \((2.12)\), one immediately deduces that
\[
\rho_{k+1} \leq \rho_k^q,
\]
then by \((2.20)\) and \((2.19)\) we deduce
\[
\rho_{k+1} \leq \rho_0^q,
\]
and, analogously
\[
\|x^* - \varphi_1(x_{k+1})\| \leq \frac{L_1}{r_1!} \|x^* - x_0\|^{r_1} \leq r,
\]
i.e., \(x_{k+1} \in S\). It remains to prove that \(\varphi_1(x_{k+1})\), \(\varphi_2(x_{k+1}) \in S\).

The Taylor formula leads to the relations
\[
\|x^* - \varphi_1(x_{k+1})\| \leq \frac{L_1}{r_1!} \|x^* - x_{k+1}\|^{r_1}
\]
and
\[
\|x^* - \varphi_2(x_{k+1})\| \leq \frac{L_2}{r_2!} \|x^* - x_{k+1}\|^{r_2}.
\]

By \((2.23)\), we get \(\|x^* - x_{k+1}\| \leq \|x^* - x_0\|\) and so, from \(a'\) and \(b'\),
\[
\|x^* - \varphi_1(x_{k+1})\| \leq \frac{L_1}{r_1!} \|x^* - x_0\|^{r_1} \leq r
\]
and, analogously
\[
\|x^* - \varphi_2(x_{k+1})\| \leq \frac{L_2}{r_2!} \|x^* - x_0\|^{r_1} \leq r
\]
i.e., \(\varphi_1(x_{k+1})\), \(\varphi_2(x_{k+1}) \in S\).

According to the induction principle, relations \((2.19)\) are true for all \(i \in \mathbb{N}\), so
\[
\|x_n - x^*\| \leq \frac{1}{(MmL_1L_2)^{\frac{1}{q-i}}} \rho_0^{q^n}
\]
whence, since \(\rho_0 < 1\), \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x^*\| = 0\), i.e., \(\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x^*\). \(\Box\)
Finally, the following result holds for the Aitken–Steffensen method.

**Theorem 2.3.** Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2, the sequence \((x_n)_{n \geq 0}\), generated by (1.6) remains in the ball \(S\), and converge to \(x^*\) such that:

\[
\|x^* - x_n\| \leq L \frac{1}{r^k} \rho_0^n,
\]

where \(\rho_0 = L \frac{1}{r^k} \|x^* - x_0\|\), \(L = mM(L_1) r^{2+1} L_2 / r_2\), \(n = 0, 1, \ldots\), and \(s = r_1 r_2 + r_1\).

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x^*.
\]

**Proof.** Assume that \(x_0 \in S\) verifies \(a'-c')\). We show first that \(\varphi_1 (x_0)\), \(\varphi_2 (\varphi_1 (x_0)) \in S\). For \(\varphi_1\) we have

\[
\|x^* - \varphi_1 (x_0)\| \leq \frac{L_1}{r_1} \|x^* - x_0\| r \leq \|x^* - x_0\| \leq r
\]

i.e., \(\varphi_1 (x_0) \in S\). Next, taking into account \((2.24)\), we get

\[
\|x^* - \varphi_2 (\varphi_1 (x_0))\| \leq \frac{L_2}{r_2} \frac{L_1}{r_1} \|x^* - x_0\| r \|x^* - x_0\| r_2
\]

\[
= \frac{L_2}{r_2} \left(\frac{L_1}{r_1}\right) \|x^* - x_0\| r \|x^* - x_0\| \frac{r}{r_2}
\]

\[
\leq \frac{L_2}{r_2} \|x^* - x_0\| \frac{r}{r_2}
\]

\[
\leq \|x^* - x_0\| r
\]

We prove now that \(x_1 \in S\). Analogously to \((2.11)\), we get

\[
\|x_1 - x^*\| \leq Mm \|x^* - \varphi_1 (x_0)\| \|x^* - \varphi_2 (\varphi_1 (x_0))\|
\]

\[
\leq Mm \left(\frac{L_1}{r_1}\right) r^{2+1} \frac{L_2}{r_2} \|x^* - x_0\| \frac{r}{r_2} + \frac{r}{r_1}
\]

As it can be easily noticed, the previous relation may also be written as

\[
\|x_1 - x^*\| \leq Mm \left(\frac{L_1}{r_1}\right) r^{2+1} \frac{L_2}{r_2} \|x^* - x_0\| \frac{r}{r_2} + \frac{r}{r_1}
\]

\[
\leq Mm \left(\frac{L_1}{r_1}\right) r^{2+1} \frac{L_2}{r_2} \|x^* - x_0\| \frac{r}{r_2} + \frac{r}{r_1}
\]

whence, by \(a'-c')\), it follows that \(\|x_1 - x^*\| \leq r\), i.e., \(x_1 \in S\). Further, denote \(s = r_1 r_2 + r_1\), \(L = Mm \left(\frac{L_1}{r_1}\right) r^{2+1} \frac{L_2}{r_2}\), and so inequality \((2.25)\) becomes

\[
\|x_1 - x^*\| \leq L \|x^* - x_0\| s
\]

and, for \(L \frac{1}{r^k} \|x^* - x_0\| = \rho_0\), it reads as

\[
\rho_1 \leq \rho_0^s
\]

with \(\rho_1 = L \frac{1}{r^k} \|x^* - x_1\|\).

Next, we show that \(\varphi_1 (x_1)\), \(\varphi_2 (\varphi_1 (x_1)) \in S\). From \((2.26)\) we have that

\[
\|x^* - x_1\| \leq \|x^* - x_0\|
\]

\[
\|x_1 - x^*\| \leq \|x^* - x_0\|
\]
For $\varphi_1(x_1)$ we obtain
\[\|x^* - \varphi_1(x_1)\| \leq \frac{L_1}{r_1!} \|x^* - x_1\|_1 \leq \frac{L_1}{r_1!} \|x^* - x_0\|_1 \leq r,\]
while for $\varphi_2(\varphi_1(x_1))$ we deduce
\[\|x^* - \varphi_2(\varphi_1(x_1))\| \leq \frac{L_2(L_1)}{r_1!} \|x^* - x_1\|^{r_1} \]
\[= \frac{L_2}{r_1} \|x^* - x_1\|^{r_1} \left[ \frac{L_1}{r_1} \|x^* - x_1\|^{r_1-1} \right] r_2 \|x^* - x_1\| \]
whence, taking into account (2.28) and a'), b'), it follows
\[\|x^* - \varphi_2(\varphi_1(x_1))\| \leq r\]
i.e., $\varphi_2(\varphi_1(x_1)) \in S$.

Assume now that $x_0, \ldots, x_k \in S, \varphi_1(x_0), \ldots, \varphi_1(x_k) \in S, \varphi_2(\varphi_1(x_0)), \ldots, \varphi_2(\varphi_1(x_k)) \in S$. Denote $\rho_i = L^{1-1} \|x^* - x_i\|, i = 0, \ldots, k$ and also suppose that
\[(2.29) \quad \rho_{i+1} \leq \rho_i^i, \quad i = 0, \ldots, k - 1\]
which, by (2.27), becomes
\[(2.30) \quad \rho_i \leq \rho_i^{i+1}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, k.\]

We show now that $x_{k+1} \in S$. Using the hypotheses of the theorem and the Newton identity, it can be easily shown that
\[\|x^* - x_{k+1}\| \leq Mm \|x^* - \varphi_1(x_k)\| \|x^* - \varphi_2(\varphi_1(x_k))\|\]
\[\leq Mm \left( \frac{L_1}{r_1} \right)^{r_1+1} \frac{L_2}{r_2} \|x^* - x_0\|^{r_1+1} \]
i.e., by denoting $\rho_{k+1} L^{1-1} \|x^* - x_{k+1}\|$
\[\rho_{k+1} \leq \rho_k^k.\]
By (2.30), this leads to
\[\rho_{k+1} \leq \rho_0^{k+1},\]
and since $\rho_0 < 1$, we get
\[\rho_{k+1} \leq \rho_0.\]
Further,
\[\|x_{k+1} - x^*\| \leq \|x_0 - x^*\| \leq r,\]
which shows that $x_{k+1} \in S$. Now, we show that $\varphi_1(x_{k+1}), \varphi_2(\varphi_1(x_{k+1})) \in S$.
First, taking into account a'),
\[\|x^* - \varphi_1(x_{k+1})\| \leq \frac{L_1}{r_1} \|x^* - x_k\|_1 \leq \frac{L_1}{r_1} \|x^* - x_0\|_1 \leq r,\]
and, finally,
\[\|x^* - \varphi_2(\varphi_1(x_{k+1}))\| \leq \frac{L_2}{r_2} \left( \frac{L_1}{r_1} \right)^{r_2} \|x^* - x_{k+1}\|_1 \]
\[\leq \frac{L_2}{r_2} \left( \frac{L_1}{r_1} \right)^{r_2} \|x^* - x_0\|_1 \]
\[\leq r.\]
Inequalities \( j_1, j_2, j_3 \) from these conclusions of Theorems 2.1, 2.2, resp. 2.3 characterize the convergence orders of the methods (1.4), (1.5), resp. (1.6).

The numbers \( r_1, r_2 \) represent as we have already specified, the convergence orders of the iteration methods given by (1.9), resp. (1.10).

We also notice the following facts.

**Remark 2.1.** If \( r_1 = r_2 = 1 \), then the three studied methods have the same convergence order: \( p = q = s = 2 \).

**Remark 2.2.** Regarding method (1.6), we may also consider the following iterations instead:

\[
x_{n+1} = \varphi_2\left(x_n\right) - \left[\varphi_2\left(x_n\right), \varphi_1\left(\varphi_2\left(x_n\right)\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(\varphi_2\left(x_n\right)\right)
\]

having the same convergence order \( r_1 r_2 + r_2 \). Consequently, if \( r_2 > r_1 \), then (2.31) is preferred instead of (1.6).
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