

THE VORONOVSKAJA THEOREM
FOR BERNSTEIN-SCHURER BIVARIATE OPERATORS

DAN BĂRBOSU*

Abstract. The Voronovskaja theorem for the Bernstein–Schurer bivariate operators is established.

MSC 2000. 41A10, 41A36, 41A63, 41A80.

Keywords. Bernstein operator, Bernstein–Schurer operator, bivariate operator, Voronovskaja theorem.

1. PRELIMINARIES

For the classical Bernstein operator $B_m : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$, defined for any function $f \in C([0, 1])$ by

$$(1) \quad (B_m f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m p_{m,k}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{m}\right),$$

where

$$(2) \quad p_{m,k} = \binom{m}{k} x^k (1-x)^{m-k},$$

Voronovskaja E., proved (see [6]) the result expressed in the following result.

THEOREM 1. *Let $f \in C([0, 1])$ be a function two times derivable in the point $x \in [0, 1]$. Then, the equality*

$$(3) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} m\{(B_m f)(x) - f(x)\} = \frac{x(1-x)}{2} f''(x)$$

holds.

The above result is known as “the Voronovskaja theorem”.

Let p be a non-negative integer. In 1962, Schurer F. (see [5]) introduced and studied the operator $\tilde{B}_{m,p} : C([0, 1+p]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$, defined for any function $f \in C([0, 1+p])$ by:

$$(4) \quad (\tilde{B}_{m,p} f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+p} \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{m}\right),$$

where

$$(5) \quad \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) = \binom{m+p}{k} x^k (1-x)^{m+p-k}.$$

*North University of Baia Mare, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Victoriei 76, 4800 Baia Mare, Romania, e-mail: dbarbosu@ubm.ro.

In our earlier paper [2] was proved the following Voronovskaja-type theorem for the operator (4).

THEOREM 2. [2, Th. 2.1]. *Let p be a non-negative integer. If the function $f \in C([0, 1+p])$ is two times derivable in the point $x \in [0, 1+p]$, the following equality*

$$(6) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (m+p) \left\{ (\tilde{B}_{m,p} f)(x) - f(x) \right\} = p \cdot f'(x) + \frac{x(1-x)}{2} f''(x)$$

holds.

Let p, q be two non-negative integers. Using the method of parametric extensions in the paper [3] we construct the bivariate operator of Bernstein–Schurer $\tilde{B}_{m,n,p,q} : C([0, 1+p] \times [0, 1+q]) \rightarrow C([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$, defined for any function $f \in C([0, 1+p] \times [0, 1+q])$ by

$$(7) \quad (\tilde{B}_{m,n,p,q} f)(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+p} \sum_{j=0}^{n+q} \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) \tilde{p}_{n,j}(y) f\left(\frac{k}{m}, \frac{j}{n}\right).$$

We proved (see [3, Th. 2.4]) that the sequence $\{\tilde{B}_{m,n,p,q} f\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to f , uniformly on $[0, 1+p] \times [0, 1+q]$, for any $f \in C([0, 1+p] \times [0, 1+q])$. An estimation of the approximation order of $f \in C([0, 1+p] \times [0, 1+q])$ by $\tilde{B}_{m,n,p,q} f$ was also given, using the first order modulus of smoothness for bivariate functions (see [3, Th. 2.5]).

The aim of the present paper is to establish a Voronovskaja-type theorem for the bivariate Bernstein–Schurer operators, i.e. to calculate the following limit

$$(8) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ (\tilde{B}_{m,m,p,q} f)(x, y) - f(x, y) \right\},$$

where $f \in C^{2,2}([0, 1+p] \times [0, 1+q])$.

2. AUXILLIARY RESULT

We have to give some properties of the $\tilde{B}_{m,p}$ and $\tilde{B}_{m,n,p,q}$ operators.

LEMMA 3. [1]. *For any fixed point $x \in [0, 1+p]$, there exists a positive constant $M_1(x, p)$, depending on x and p , such that*

$$\tilde{B}_{m,p}((t-x)^4; x) \leq M_1(x, p) n^{-2}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

LEMMA 4. [3]. *If $(x, y) \in [0, 1+p] \times [0, 1+q]$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ the following equalities hold*

- (i) $\tilde{B}_{m,n,p,q}(e_{00}; x, y) = 1;$
- (ii) $\tilde{B}_{m,n,p,q}(e_{10}; x, y) = \{1 + pm^{-1}\}x;$
- (iii) $\tilde{B}_{m,n,p,q}(e_{01}; x, y) = \{1 + qn^{-1}\}y;$

$$(iv) \quad \tilde{B}_{m,n,p,q}(e_{22}; x, y) = (m+p)m^{-2}\{(m+p)x^2 + x(1-x)\} + \\ + (n+q)n^{-2}\{(n+q)y^2 + y(1-y)\},$$

where $e_{00}(t, \tau) = 1$, $e_{10}(t, \tau) = t$, $e_{01}(t, \tau) = \tau$, $e_{22}(t, \tau) = t^2 + \tau^2$ are the test functions.

LEMMA 5. Let $(x_0, y_0) \in [0, 1+p] \times [0, 1+q]$ be a fixed point and let $\varphi \in C([0, 1+p] \times [0, 1+q])$ such that $\varphi(x_0, y_0) = 0$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{B}_{m,m,p,q}(\varphi; x_0, y_0) = 0.$$

Proof. By the properties of function φ , for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive constant $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$ such that for $|t - x_0| < \delta$, $|\tau - y_0| < \delta$ the following holds

$$(9) \quad |\varphi(t, \tau)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

On the other hand, because $f \in C([0, 1+p] \times [0, 1+q])$, there exists a positive constant C_1 , such that

$$(10) \quad |\varphi(t, \tau)| \leq C_1.$$

Next, we can write:

$$(11) \quad \left| \tilde{B}_{m,m,p,q}(\varphi; x_0, y_0) \right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{m+p} \sum_{j=0}^{m+q} \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x_0) \tilde{p}_{m,j}(y_0) \left| \varphi\left(\frac{k}{m}, \frac{j}{m}\right) \right|.$$

Let us to divide the set of sum's indices in the following four classes

$$I_1 = \{(k, j) : |k/m - x_0| < \delta \text{ and } |j/m - y_0| < \delta\}; \\ I_2 = \{(k, j) : |k/m - x_0| < \delta \text{ and } |j/m - y_0| \geq \delta\}; \\ I_3 = \{(k, j) : |k/m - x_0| \geq \delta \text{ and } |j/m - y_0| < \delta\}; \\ I_4 = \{(k, j) : |k/m - x_0| \geq \delta \text{ and } |j/m - y_0| \geq \delta\}.$$

If we denote $\omega_{k,j}(x_0, y_0) = \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x_0) \tilde{p}_{m,j}(y_0) \left| \varphi\left(\frac{k}{m}, \frac{j}{m}\right) \right|$, we can write:

$$(12) \quad \left| \tilde{B}_{m,m,p,q}(\varphi; x_0, y_0) \right| \leq \sum_{(k,j) \in I_1} \omega_{k,j}(x_0, y_0) + \sum_{(k,j) \in I_2} \omega_{k,j}(x_0, y_0) \\ + \sum_{(k,j) \in I_3} \omega_{k,j}(x_0, y_0) + \sum_{(k,j) \in I_4} \omega_{k,j}(x_0, y_0).$$

Let S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4 be the sums of the right side of (12). For the sum S_1 , we get that there exists a natural number $m_1 = m_1(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$S_1 = \sum_{(k,j) \in I_1} \omega_{k,j}(x_0, y_0) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{m+pm+p} \sum_{j=0}^{m+q} \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x_0) \tilde{p}_{m,j}(y_0) \left| \varphi\left(\frac{k}{m}, \frac{j}{m}\right) \right| \\ < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \tilde{B}_{m,m,p,q}(e_{00}; x_0, y_0) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

for $m > m_1$.

Because $|\frac{j}{m} - y_0| \geq \delta$ implies $\delta^{-2}(\frac{j}{m} - y_0)^2 \geq 1$, for the sum S_2 , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
S_2 &= \sum_{(k,j) \in I_2} \omega_{j,k}(x_0, y_0) \\
&\leq \delta^{-2} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_2} (\frac{j}{m} - y_0)^2 \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x_0) \tilde{p}_{m,j}(y_0) |\varphi(\frac{k}{m}, \frac{j}{m})| \\
&\leq M \cdot \delta^{-2} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_2} (\frac{j}{m} - y_0)^2 \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x_0) \tilde{p}_{m,j}(y_0) \leq \\
&\leq M \cdot \delta^{-2} \sum_{k=0}^{m+pm+p} \sum_{j=0}^{m+pm+p} (\frac{j}{m} - y_0)^2 \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x_0) \tilde{p}_{m,j}(y_0) \\
&= M \cdot \delta^{-2} \tilde{B}_{m,m,p,q}((\tau - y_0)^2; x_0, y_0),
\end{aligned}$$

where $M = \max \{|\varphi(x, y)| : (x, y) \in [0, 1+p] \times [0, 1+q]\}$.

Taking into account Lemma 3, we get that there exists a positive constant $M_2(m, q, y_0)$ such that

$$S_2 \leq M_2(m, q, y_0) y_0 (1 - y_0) \cdot m^{-1} \delta^{-2}.$$

In the same way, applying Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, we obtain

$$S_3 \leq M_3(m, q, x_0) x_0 (1 - x_0) \cdot m^{-1} \delta^{-2}$$

and

$$S_4 \leq M_4(m, p, q, x_0, y_0) x_0 y_0 (1 - x_0)(1 - y_0) \cdot m^{-2} \delta^{-4}.$$

It follows that for given positive numbers ε , δ , M_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and $(x_0, y_0) \in [0, 1+p] \times [0, 1+q]$ there exists the natural numbers m_2 , m_3 , m_4 such that

$$\begin{aligned}
S_2 &\leq M_2(m, q, y_0) y_0 (1 - y_0) \cdot m^{-1} \delta^{-2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \quad \text{for } m > m_2, \\
S_3 &\leq M_3(m, q, x_0) x_0 (1 - x_0) \cdot m^{-1} \delta^{-2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \quad \text{for } m > m_3, \\
S_4 &\leq M_4(m, p, q, x_0, y_0) x_0 y_0 (1 - x_0)(1 - y_0) \cdot m^{-2} \delta^{-4} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \quad \text{for } m > m_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exists the natural number $N = \max\{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4\}$ such that for all natural numbers $n \geq N$ we have $S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4 < \varepsilon$.

We can conclude that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{B}_{m,m,p,q}(\varphi; x_0, y_0) = 0$, and the proof ends. \square

3. THE MAIN RESULT

Using the auxiliary results from section 2, we can now prove the Voronovskaja type theorem for the bivariate operators of Bernstein–Schurer.

Let us to denote by $C^{2,2}([0, 1+p] \times [0, 1+q])$ the space of all functions $f \in C([0, 1+p] \times [0, 1+q])$ with partial derivatives of first and second order belonging to the space $C([0, 1+p] \times [0, 1+q])$.

THEOREM 6. *Let $f \in C^{2,2}([0, 1 + p] \times [0, 1 + q])$. Then, for all $(x, y) \in [0, 1 + p] \times [0, 1 + q]$ we have*

$$(13) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} m \{ \tilde{B}_{m,m,p,q}(f; x, y) - f(x, y) \} = p f'_x(x, y) + q f'_y(x, y) + \frac{1}{2} \{ x(1-x)f''_{x^2}(x, y) + y(1-y)f''_{y^2}(x, y) \}.$$

Proof. Let $(x_0, y_0) \in [0, 1 + p] \times [0, 1 + q]$ be a fixed point. By making use of the Taylor formula for $(t, \tau) \in [0, 1 + p] \times [0, 1 + q]$ with the Peano's form of the remainder term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, \tau) = & f(x_0, y_0) + (t - x_0) \cdot f'_x(x_0, y_0) + (\tau - y_0) \cdot f'_y(x_0, y_0) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \{ (t - x_0)^2 f''_{x^2}(x_0, y_0) + 2(t - x_0)(\tau - y_0) f''_{xy}(x_0, y_0) + \\ & + (\tau - y_0)^2 f''_{y^2}(x_0, y_0) \} + \varphi(t, \tau; x_0, y_0) \sqrt{(t - x_0)^4 + (\tau - y_0)^4}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi(\circ, *; x_0, y_0) \in C([0, 1 + p] \times [0, 1 + q])$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow x_0, \tau \rightarrow y_0} \varphi(t, \tau; x_0, y_0) = 0$.

Taking into account Lemma 3 we get

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{B}_{m,m,p,q}(f(t, \tau); x_0, y_0) - f(x_0, y_0) = \\ & = p \cdot m^{-1} x f'_x(x_0, y_0) + q m^{-1} y f'_y(x_0, y_0) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (p^2 m^{-2} x_0^2 + (m + p) m^{-2} x_0(1 - x_0)) f''_{x^2}(x_0, y_0) \right. \\ & \quad + 2pqm^{-2} x_0 y_0 f''_{xy}(x_0, y_0) + q^2 m^{-2} y_0^2 \\ & \quad \left. + (m + q) m^{-2} y_0(1 - y_0) f''_{y^2}(x_0, y_0) \right\} \\ & + \tilde{B}_{m,m,p,q} \left(\varphi(t, \tau; x_0, y_0) \sqrt{(t - x_0)^4 + (\tau - y_0)^4}; x_0, y_0 \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy's inequality, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \tilde{B}_{m,m,p,q} \left(\varphi(t, \tau; x_0, y_0) \sqrt{(t - x_0)^4 + (\tau - y_0)^4}; x_0, y_0 \right) \right| \leq \\ & \leq \left\{ \tilde{B}_{m,m,p,q}(\varphi^2(t, \tau; x_0, y_0)) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \tilde{B}_{m,m,p,q} \left((t - x_0)^4 + (\tau - y_0)^4; x_0, y_0 \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 5 it follows


$$(15) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{B}_{m,m,p,q}(\varphi^2(t, \tau; x_0, y_0); x_0, y_0) = 0$$

and from Lemma 4 we get

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{B}_{m,m,p,q} \left((t - x_0)^4 + (\tau - y_0)^4; x_0, y_0 \right) = \\ & = \tilde{B}_{m,p}((t - x_0)^4; x_0) + \tilde{B}_{m,q}((\tau - y_0)^4; y_0) \\ & \leq \{ M_1(x_0, p) + M_2(y_0, q) \} m^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $M_1(x_0, p)$ and $M_2(y_0, q)$ are positive constants. Taking into account (15) and (16), by (14) we arrive to the desired result. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] BĂRBOSU D., *Some properties of the fundamental polynomials of Bernstein–Schurerr*, “Proc. of ICAM 3”, Baia Mare–Borşa, Oct. 10–13, 2002, to appear.
- [2] BĂRBOSU D., *The Voronovskaja theorem for the Bernstein–Schurer operators*, “Proc. of ICAM 3”, Baia Mare–Borşa, Oct. 10–13, 2002, to appear.
- [3] BĂRBOSU D., *Bernstein–Schurer bivariate operators*, Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx., to appear. 
- [4] DELVOS J, SCHEMPP W., *Boolean Methods in Interpolation and Approximation*, Longman Scientific & Technical, 1989.
- [5] SCHURER F., *Linear positive operators in approximation theory*, Math. Inst. Techn. Univ. Delft. Report, 1962.
- [6] VORONOVSKAJA E., *Determination de la forme asymptotique d’approximation des fonctions par les polynômes de M. Bernstein*, C.R. Acad. Sci. URSS, pp. 79–85, 1932.

Received by the editors: November 12, 2002.