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#### Abstract

In this note we introduce a compound operator depending on $s$ parameters using binomial sequences. We compute the values of this operator on the test functions, we give a convergence theorem and a representation of the remainder in the corresponding approximation formula. We also mention some special cases of this operator.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we introduce a compound operator using polynomial sequences of binomial type. We begin by defining these sequences and their link with delta operators.

Definition 1. A sequence of polynomials $\left(p_{m}(x)\right)_{m \geq 0}$ is called a sequence of binomial type if $\operatorname{deg} p_{m}=m, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$ and it satisfies the relations

$$
p_{m}(x+y)=\sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k} p_{k}(x) p_{m-k}(y)
$$

for every real numbers $x$ and $y$ and every positive integer $m$.
In the following we will consider linear operators defined on the algebra of polynomials.

A linear operator $T$ is a shift invariant operator if $E^{a} T=T E^{a}$, for every $a$, where $E^{a}$ is the shift operator defined by $E^{a} p(x)=p(x+a)$.

A linear operator $Q$ is called a delta operator if $Q$ is shift invariant and $Q x=$ const. $\neq 0$. Some examples of delta operators are: the derivative $D$, the forward and backward difference operators $\nabla_{\alpha}=E^{\alpha}-I$ and $\Delta_{\alpha}=I-E^{-\alpha}$, the Touchard operator $T=\ln (I+D)=D-\frac{1}{2} D^{2}+\frac{1}{3} D^{3}-\frac{1}{4} D^{4}+\ldots$ and the Laguerre operator $L=\frac{D}{I+D}=D-D^{2}+D^{3}-D^{4}+\ldots$.

Definition 2. We say that a sequence of polynomials $\left(p_{m}(x)\right)_{m \geq 0}$ is the basic sequence for the delta operator $Q$ if:
i) $p_{0}(x)=1$,

[^0]ii) $p_{m}(0)=0, \forall m \geq 1$,
iii) $Q p_{m}=m p_{m-1}, \forall m \geq 1$.

It is known that every delta operator has a unique basic sequence (see [19]).
Proposition 3. [19]. If $\left(p_{m}(x)\right)_{m \geq 0}$ is a basic sequence for a delta operator then it is a sequence of binomial type; if $\left(p_{m}(x)\right)_{m>0}$ is a sequence of binomial type then there exists a delta operator for which $\left(p_{m}(x)\right)_{m \geq 0}$ is the basic sequence.

Definition 4. If $T$ is a linear operator, then its Pincherle derivative $T^{\prime}$ is defined by $T^{\prime}=T X-X T$, where the linear operator $X$ is defined by $(X p)(x)=$ $x p(x)$ for all $x$ and all polynomials $p$.

We mention that Umbral calculus allows a unified and simple study of sequences of binomial type. More details about these sequences can be found in [8], 9], 10], [16, 18, [19].

The use of binomial sequences in order to construct approximation operators was proposed by T. Popoviciu in [17], where he introduced a class of approximation operators of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{m}^{Q} f\right)(x)=\frac{1}{p_{m}(1)} \sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k} p_{k}(x) p_{m-k}(1-x) f\left(\frac{k}{m}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These operators and their generalizations were studied in [2], [5]-[7], 11]-[15], [20], [29], [31]-36].

## 2. COMPOUND OPERATORS DEPENDING ON $S$ PARAMETERS

Let $Q$ be a delta operator with the basic sequence $\left(p_{k}(x)\right)_{k \geq 0}$, which satisfy $p_{m}(1) \neq 0$ and $p_{m}^{\prime}(0) \geq 0$ for every positive integer $m$. For every function $f \in C[0,1]$ we introduce the compound operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q} f\right)(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{m-r_{1} \ldots-r_{s}} p_{m-r_{1} \ldots-r_{s}, k}^{Q}(x) \sum_{j=0}^{s} \frac{p_{j}(x) p_{s-j}(1-x)}{p_{s}(1)} F_{m, k, j}^{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}(f) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{n, k}^{Q}(x)=\binom{n}{k} \frac{p_{k}(x) p_{n-k}(1-x)}{p_{n}(1)}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{m, k, j}^{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}(f)= & f\left(\frac{k+r_{1}+r_{2}+\ldots+r_{j}}{m}\right)+f\left(\frac{k+r_{2}+r_{3}+\ldots+r_{j+1}}{m}\right) \\
& +f\left(\frac{k+r_{1}+r_{3}+\ldots+r_{j+1}}{m}\right)+\ldots+f\left(\frac{k+r_{s-j+1}+\ldots+r_{s-1}+r_{s}}{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}$ are $s$ non-negative integer parameters, independent of the number $m$ and such that $0 \leq r_{1} \leq \ldots \leq r_{s}$ and $r_{1}+\ldots+r_{s}<m$.

If $p_{m}^{\prime}(0) \geq 0$ for every positive integer $m$ then $p_{m}(x) \geq 0, \forall x \in[0,1]$ so this condition assures the positivity of the operator $\left(L_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q} f\right)(x)$.

From Definition 2 ii), it results that

$$
p_{n, k}^{Q}(0)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \text { if } k=0 \\
0, \text { if } k \neq 0
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad p_{n, k}^{Q}(1)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } k=n \\
0, & \text { if } k \neq n\end{cases}\right.
$$

so the expression $\left(L_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q} f\right)(0)$ contains only a nonzero term, for $k=j=0$, while the only nonzero term in $\left(L_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q} f\right)(1)$ appears for $k=m-r_{1}-\ldots-r_{s}$ and $j=s$. Consequently, it is easy to see that this approximation operator interpolates the function $f$ at both ends of the interval $[0,1]$, that is

$$
\left(L_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q} f\right)(0)=f(0), \quad\left(L_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q} f\right)(1)=f(1)
$$

We remark that for $s=0$ the operator $L_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q}$ reduces to the binomial operator of T. Popoviciu $T_{m}^{Q}$.

In the following we will compute the values of this operator for the test functions $e_{n}(x)=x^{n}$, for $n=0,1,2$. For this we need Manole's results contained in the next

Proposition 5. [13], [14]. The values of the binomial operators of T. Popoviciu type on the test functions are:

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{m}^{Q} e_{i} & =e_{i}, \quad \text { for } i=0,1 \text { and }  \tag{3}\\
\left(T_{m}^{Q} e_{2}\right)(x) & =x^{2}+x(1-x) d_{m}^{Q}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{m}^{Q}=1-\frac{m-1}{m} \frac{\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{-2} p_{m-2}(1)}{p_{m}(1)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $Q^{\prime}$ is the Pincherle derivative of delta operator $Q$.
LEMMA 6. If $L_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q}$ is the approximation operator defined by (2) then we have the following relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q} e_{i} & =e_{i}, \text { for } i=0,1 \text { and } \\
\left(L_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q} e_{2}\right)(x) & =x^{2}+x(1-x) A_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q}=\frac{1}{m^{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\cdot\left[\left(m-r_{1}-\ldots-r_{s}\right)^{2} d_{m-r_{1} \ldots-r_{s}}^{Q}+r_{1}^{2}+\ldots+r_{s}^{2}+\frac{2}{s-1}\left(s d_{s}-1\right) \sum_{\substack{u, v=1 \\ u \neq v}}^{s} r_{u} r_{v}\right]
$$

Proof. First we make the convention that $\binom{s}{j}=0$, if $s<0$ or $j<0$.

Because $\left(p_{m}(x)\right)$ is a basic sequence for the delta operator $Q$ according to Proposition 3 it is a polynomial sequence of binomial type and using Definition 2 we have $\sum_{k=0}^{m} p_{m, k}^{Q}(x)=1$ so we can write

$$
\left(L_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q} e_{0}\right)(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{m-r_{1} \ldots-r_{s}} p_{m-r_{1} \ldots-r_{s}, k}^{Q}(x) \sum_{j=0}^{s} p_{s, j}^{Q}(x)=1=e_{0}(x)
$$

In the case of the next test function $e_{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(L_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q} e_{1}\right)(x)= \\
& =\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-r_{1} \ldots-r_{s}} p_{m-r_{1} \ldots-r_{s}, k}^{Q}(x) \sum_{j=0}^{s} \frac{p_{j}(x) p_{s-j}(1-x)}{p_{s}(1)}\left[\binom{s}{j} k+\left(r_{1}+\ldots+r_{s}\right)\binom{s-1}{j-1}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{m}\left[\left(m-r_{1}-\ldots-r_{s}\right)\left(T_{m-r_{1} \ldots-r_{s}}^{Q} e_{1}\right)(x)\left(T_{s}^{Q} e_{0}\right)(x)+\right. \\
& \left.\quad \quad+\left(r_{1}+\ldots+r_{s}\right)\left(T_{m-r_{1} \ldots-r_{s}}^{Q} e_{0}\right)(x)\left(T_{s}^{Q} e_{1}\right)(x)\right] \\
& =\frac{\left(m-r_{1}-\ldots-r_{s}\right) x+\left(r_{1}+\ldots+r_{s}\right) x}{m} \\
& =x
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, for $e_{2}$ we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(L_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q} e_{2}\right)(x)= \\
& =\frac{1}{m^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-r_{1} \ldots-r_{s}} p_{m-r_{1} \ldots-r_{s}, k}^{Q}(x) \sum_{j=0}^{s} \frac{p_{j}(x) p_{s-j}(1-x)}{p_{s}(1)} \\
& \quad \cdot\left[\binom{s}{j} k^{2}+\left(r_{1}^{2}+\ldots+r_{s}^{2}\right)\binom{s-1}{j-1}+2 k\left(r_{1}+\ldots+r_{s}\right)\binom{s-1}{j-1}+2\binom{s-2}{j-2} \sum_{\substack{u, v=1 \\
u \neq v}}^{s} r_{u} r_{v}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the relation $\binom{s-2}{j-2}=\frac{j(j-1)}{s(s-1)}\binom{s}{j}=\frac{s}{s-1}\binom{s}{j} \frac{j^{2}}{s^{2}}-\frac{1}{s-1}\binom{s}{j} \frac{j}{s}$ in the last expression we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(L_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q} e_{2}\right)(x)= \\
& =\frac{1}{m^{2}}\left\{\left(m-r_{1}-\ldots-r_{s}\right)^{2}\left(T_{m-r_{1} \ldots-r_{s}}^{Q} e_{2}\right)(x)\left(T_{s}^{Q} e_{0}\right)(x)\right. \\
& \quad+\left(r_{1}^{2}+\ldots+r_{s}^{2}\right)\left(T_{m-r_{1} \ldots-r_{s}}^{Q} e_{0}\right)(x)\left(T_{s}^{Q} e_{1}\right)(x) \\
& \quad+2\left(m-r_{1}-\ldots-r_{s}\right)\left(r_{1}+\ldots+r_{s}\right)\left(T_{m-r_{1} \ldots-r_{s}}^{Q} e_{1}\right)(x)\left(T_{s}^{Q} e_{1}\right)(x) \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{2}{s-1} \sum_{\substack{u, v=1 \\
u \neq v}}^{n} r_{u} r_{v}\left[s\left(T_{s}^{Q} e_{2}\right)(x)-\left(T_{s}^{Q} e_{1}\right)(x)\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we use the relations (3) we can rewrite the last expression as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(L_{m, r_{1}, . ., r_{s}}^{Q} e_{2}\right)(x)= \\
& =\frac{1}{m^{2}}\left\{\left(m-r_{1}-\ldots-r_{s}\right)^{2}\left[x^{2}+x(1-x) d_{m-r_{1} \ldots-r_{s}}^{Q}\right]+\left(r_{1}^{2}+\ldots+r_{s}^{2}\right) x\right. \\
& \quad+2\left(m-r_{1}-\ldots-r_{s}\right)\left(r_{1}+\ldots+r_{s}\right) x^{2} \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{2}{s-1} \sum_{\substack{u, v=1 \\
u \neq v}}^{s} r_{u} r_{v}\left[s\left(x^{2}+x(1-x) d_{s}^{Q}\right)-x\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

After some simple computations we obtain the expression from the conclusion of lemma.

Using the well known theorem of Bohman-Korovkin and the expressions obtained in the above lemma for $L_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q} e_{i}, i=0,1,2$, we can state the following convergence theorem

Theorem 7. Let $f \in C[0,1]$. Let $Q$ be a delta operator having the basic sequence $p_{m}(x)$ with $p_{m}(1) \neq 0$ and $p_{m}^{\prime}(0) \geq 0$ for every positive integer $m$. If $d_{m}^{Q} \rightarrow 0$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$, then the operator $L_{m, r_{1}, ., r_{s}}^{Q} f$ converges to the function $f$, uniformly on $[0,1]$.

## 3. SPECIAL CASES

1. If $r_{1}=\ldots=r_{s}=r$ the compound operator defined by (2) reduces to the operator which we have studied in (7]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{m, r, s}^{Q} f\right)(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{m-s r} p_{m-s r, k}^{Q}(x) \sum_{j=0}^{s} p_{s, j}^{Q}(x) f\left(\frac{k+j r}{m}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(S_{m, r, s}^{Q} e_{2}\right)(x)=x^{2}+\frac{x(1-x)}{m^{2}}\left[(m-r s)^{2} d_{m-r s}^{Q}+s^{2} r^{2} d_{s}^{Q}\right]$.
2. For $Q=D$ one obtains the operator introduced and studied by D.D. Stancu in [27]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(L_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{D} f\right)(x)= \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{m-r_{1} \ldots-r_{s}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
m-r_{1}-\ldots-r_{s}
\end{array}\right) x^{k}(1-x)^{m-r_{1}-\ldots-r_{s}-k} \sum_{j=0}^{s} x^{j}(1-x)^{s-j} F_{m, k, j}^{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}(f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have $d_{m}^{D}=\frac{1}{m}$, so it results

$$
\left(L_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{D} e_{2}\right)(x)=x^{2}+\frac{x(1-x)}{m}\left[1+\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{s} r_{j}\left(r_{j}-1\right)\right] .
$$

2.1. For $s=1$ the above operator reduces to the following operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{m, r}^{D} f\right)(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{m-r}\binom{m-r}{k} x^{k}(1-x)^{m-r-k}\left[(1-x) f\left(\frac{k}{m}\right)+x f\left(\frac{k+r}{m}\right)\right] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which was constructed by D.D. Stancu in [26] using a probabilistic approach.
The above mentioned author have found the eigenvalues for this operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{0}(m, r) & =\lambda_{1}(m, r)=1 \\
\lambda_{i}(m, r) & =\left(1-\frac{r}{m}\right)\left(1-\frac{r+1}{m}\right) \ldots\left(1-\frac{r+j-2}{m}\right)\left(1+\frac{(j-1)(r-1)}{m}\right), \\
& \quad \text { for } 2 \leq j \leq m-r+i .
\end{aligned}
$$

We mention also that D. D. Stancu in [25] obtained a quadrature formula using this operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} f(x) \mathrm{d} x= \\
& \begin{aligned}
=\frac{1}{(m-r+1)(m-r+2)}[ & \sum_{k=0}^{r-1}(m-r-k+1) f\left(\frac{k}{m}\right)+(m-2 r+2) \sum_{k=r}^{m-r} f\left(\frac{k}{m}\right) \\
& \left.+\sum_{k=m-r+1}^{m}(k-r+1) f\left(\frac{k}{m}\right)\right]+\rho_{m, r}(f),
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

where, if we suppose that $f \in C^{2}[0,1]$, the remainder has the following simple form

$$
\rho_{m, r}(f)=-\frac{1}{2 m}\left[1+\frac{r(r-1)}{m}\right] f^{\prime \prime}(\xi), 0<\xi<1 .
$$

For $f \in C^{(s+1)}[0,1]$ O. Agratini gave an estimate for the difference

$$
\left|\left(L_{m, r}^{D, \alpha} f\right)^{(s)}-f^{(s)}(x)\right|, \quad s \leq m-r
$$

in which appears the first modulus of continuity $\omega_{1}$ for the derivatives of order $s$ and $s+1$ of $f$ (see [1]).
The bivariate analogue of the operator defined by (7), having as domain the square $[0,1] \times[0,1]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(L_{m, n, r, s}^{D} f\right)(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{m-r} \sum_{j=0}^{n-s}\binom{m-r}{k}\binom{n-s}{j} x^{k}(1-x)^{m-r-k} y^{j}(1-y)^{n-s-j} \\
& \cdot {\left[(1-x)(1-y) f\left(\frac{k}{m}, \frac{j}{n}\right)+x(1-y) f\left(\frac{k+r}{m}, \frac{j}{n}\right)+\right.} \\
&\left.+(1-x) y f\left(\frac{k}{m}, \frac{j+s}{n}\right)+x y f\left(\frac{k+r}{m}, \frac{j+s}{n}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

was studied by D.D. Stancu in [28]. In the same paper a cubature formula (using this operator) was constructed.
2.2. The operator obtained for $s=1$ and $r=2, L_{m, 2}^{D}$ has been studied by H. Brass [4].
3. If we consider the delta operator $Q=\frac{\nabla_{\alpha}}{\alpha}=\frac{I-E^{-\alpha}}{\alpha}$ with the basic sequence $p_{m}(x)=x^{[m,-\alpha]}=x(x+\alpha) \ldots(x+(m-1) \alpha)$ then we obtain the following operator

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(L_{m, r_{1}}^{\frac{\nabla_{\alpha}}{\alpha}}, \ldots, r_{s} f\right)(x)= & \sum_{k=0}^{m-r_{1} \ldots-r_{s}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
m-r_{1}-\ldots-r_{s}
\end{array}\right) x^{[k,-\alpha]}(1-x)^{\left[m-r_{1}-\ldots-r_{s}-k,-\alpha\right]}  \tag{8}\\
& \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{s} x^{[j,-\alpha]}(1-x)^{[s-j,-\alpha]} F_{m, k, j}^{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}(f) .
\end{align*}
$$

Taking into account that $d_{m}^{\frac{\nabla \alpha}{\alpha}}=\frac{1+\alpha m}{(1+\alpha) m}$, we obtain the following expression for this operator on $e_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(L_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{\frac{\nabla_{\alpha}}{\alpha}} e_{2}\right)(x)=x^{2}+\frac{x(1-x)}{m^{2}}[ & \left(m-r_{1}-\ldots-r_{s}\right)^{2} \frac{1+\alpha\left(m-r_{1}-\ldots-r_{s}\right)}{1+\alpha} \\
& \left.+r_{1}^{2}+\ldots+r_{s}^{2}+\frac{2 \alpha}{1+\alpha} \sum_{\substack{u, v=1 \\
u \neq v}}^{s} r_{u} r_{v}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

3.1. If $r_{1}=\ldots=r_{s}=r$ in the relation (8) then this operator reduces to the operator studied by D.D. Stancu and J.W. Drane in [33] and the expression 5 reduces to $A_{m, r, s}^{\frac{\nabla \alpha}{\alpha}}=\frac{s r^{2}(1+\alpha s)+(m-s r)(1+\alpha(m-s r))}{m^{2}(1+\alpha)}$.
4. For $Q$ arbitrary and $s=1$ the operator defined by (2) reduces to the operator

$$
\left(L_{m, r}^{Q} f\right)(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{m-r} p_{m-r, k}^{Q}\left[(1-x) f\left(\frac{k}{m}\right)+x f\left(\frac{k+r}{m}\right)\right]
$$

and

$$
\left(L_{m, r}^{Q} e_{2}\right)(x)=x^{2}+\frac{x(1-x)}{m^{2}}\left[r^{2}+(m-r)^{2} d_{m-r}^{Q}\right] .
$$

## 4. AN INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION FOR THE REMAINDER

We consider the following approximation formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\left(L_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q} f\right)(x)+\left(R_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q} f\right)(x) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 6 it results that the degree of exactness of this formula is 1.
If $f \in C^{2}[0,1]$, using the Peano's theorem, the remainder in the above formula can be represented under the form

$$
\left(R_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q} f\right)(x)=\int_{0}^{1} G_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q}(t ; x) f^{\prime \prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

where $G_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q}(t ; x)=\left(R_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q} \varphi_{x}\right)(t)$ and $\varphi_{x}(t)=(x-t)_{+}=\frac{x-t+|x-t|}{2}$.

Because for a fixed value of $x, G_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q}(t ; x)$ is negative we can apply the mean value theorem and we obtain that it exists $\xi \in[0,1]$ such that

$$
\left(R_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q} f\right)(x)=f^{\prime \prime}(\xi) \int_{0}^{1} G_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q}(t ; x) \mathrm{d} t
$$

Because the Peano kernel $G_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q}(t ; x)$ is independent of the function $f$ we can take $f(x)=x^{2}$ in the previous relation and we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} G_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q}(t ; x) \mathrm{d} t & =\frac{1}{2}\left(R_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q} e_{2}\right)(x) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} x(1-x) A_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q}$ is defined by (5).
So, for every function $f \in C^{2}[0,1]$, we obtain a Cauchy-type form for the remainder in the approximation formula (9)

$$
\left(R_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q} f\right)(x)=\frac{x(x-1)}{2} A_{m, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}^{Q} f^{\prime \prime}(\xi),
$$

where $\xi \in[0,1]$.
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