

## ON THE LEIBNIZ FORMULA FOR DIVIDED DIFFERENCES

MIRCEA IVAN\*

**Abstract.** We give an identity for the Hermite-Lagrange interpolating polynomial and a short proof of Leibniz-type formula for divided differences in case of coalescing knots.

**MSC 2000.** 41A05.

**Keywords.** Interpolation, divided difference, Lagrange polynomial, Hermite interpolating polynomial.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $x_0, \dots, x_n$ , be distinct points and  $f$  be a function defined on the set  $\{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ . In most books on Numerical Analysis divided differences for distinct points are defined recursively:

$$[x_0]f = f(x_0), \quad \dots, \\ [x_0, \dots, x_n]f = \frac{[x_1, \dots, x_n]f - [x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}]f}{x_n - x_0}.$$

Divided differences might fairly be ascribed to Newton [9]. A. M. Ampère [1] called these quantities “*les fonctions interpolaires*” (see also [11, page 1]).

In a personal message, Erik Meijering [8] let us know that the term “divided difference” was used first in 1842 by Augustus de Morgan in Chapter XVIII, “On Interpolation and Summation”, of his book [5, p. 550] (see also [16]).

Newton’s formula for interpolation by a polynomial was given by Isaac Newton as Lemma 5 of Book III of his *Principia Mathematica* of 1687 [9] but it was known to him before since he mentioned it in a letter to the German scientist Henry Oldenburg dated October 24, 1676.

Newton proved that the polynomial

$$P_n(X) = f(x_0) + (X - x_0)[x_0, x_1]f + \dots + (X - x_0) \dots (X - x_{n-1})[x_0, \dots, x_n]f.$$

is the unique polynomial of degree at most  $n$  such that

$$P_n(x_i) = f(x_i), \quad i = 0, \dots, n.$$

---

\*Department of Mathematics, Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, Str. C. Daicoviciu 15, 400020 Cluj-Napoca, Romania, e-mail: [mircea.ivan@math.utcluj.ro](mailto:mircea.ivan@math.utcluj.ro).

In 1795, J. L. Lagrange<sup>1</sup> obtained the following form of Newton's interpolating polynomial:

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n f(x_i) \frac{\ell(x)}{(x-x_i)\ell'(x_i)},$$

where  $\ell(x) = (x-x_0)(x-x_1)\dots(x-x_n)$ .

The polynomial  $P_n$  of degree at most  $n$  such that

$$P_n(x_i) = f(x_i), \quad i = 0, \dots, n,$$

is nowadays generally called the *Lagrange interpolation polynomial*, of the function  $f$  with respect to  $x_0, \dots, x_n$ , and is denoted by  $L[x_0, \dots, x_n]f$ .

However, in 2002, Erik Meijering [8] discovered that early from the year 1779, Edward Waring [15] obtained “*the Lagrange Formula*”.

Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that

$$\alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_n = m + 1.$$

Consider the numbers  $y_{ij}$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$ ;  $j = 0, \dots, \alpha_i - 1$ , and the distinct points  $x_0, \dots, x_n$ .

In 1878 Charles Hermite [7]<sup>2</sup> proved that there exists a unique polynomial  $H_m$  of degree at most  $m$ , called the *Hermite-Lagrange interpolating polynomial*, such that

$$H_m^{(j)}(x_i) = y_{ij},$$

for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ ;  $j = 0, \dots, \alpha_i - 1$ .

Suppose that the function  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  possesses the derivatives  $f^{(\alpha_i-1)}(x_i)$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . We denote the *Hermite-Lagrange interpolating polynomial*  $H_m$ , satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad H_m^{(j)}(x_i) = f^{(j)}(x_i),$$

$i = 0, \dots, n$ ;  $j = 0, \dots, \alpha_i - 1$ , by:

$$H_m[\underbrace{x_0, \dots, x_0}_{\alpha_0 \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{x_n, \dots, x_n}_{\alpha_n \text{ times}}]f, \quad \text{or} \quad H_m \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \dots & x_n \\ \alpha_0 & \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} f.$$

## 2. AN IDENTITY FOR THE HERMITE-LAGRANGE POLYNOMIALS

Rename the knots  $(\underbrace{x_0, \dots, x_0}_{\alpha_0 \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{x_n, \dots, x_n}_{\alpha_n \text{ times}})$  to  $(t_0, \dots, t_m)$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *The following formula*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & H_m[t_0, \dots, t_m]((X-t_0)\dots(X-t_i)f) \\ &= (X-t_0)\dots(X-t_i)H_m[t_{i+1}, \dots, t_m]f, \end{aligned}$$

<sup>1</sup>cf. J. L. Lagrange, “*Leçons élémentaires sur les mathématiques données à l’École Normale*”, in Oeuvres de Lagrange, J.-A. Serret (ed.), vol. 7, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1877, pp. 183–287. Lecture notes first published in 1795.

<sup>2</sup>Also in Oeuvres de Charles Hermite, vol. III, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1912, pp. 432–443.

is satisfied for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$ .

*Proof.* Use the notations:

$$\begin{aligned} P &= H_m \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ \alpha_0 & \alpha_1 & \cdots & \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} ((X - x_0)f), \\ Q &= (X - x_0)H_m \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ \alpha_0 - 1 & \alpha_1 & \cdots & \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} f. \end{aligned}$$

It is sufficient to prove that  $P = Q$ .

For  $i = 0$ , we have:

$$Q^{(j)}(x_0) = j \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{j-1} \left( H_m \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ \alpha_0 - 1 & \alpha_1 & \cdots & \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} f \right) \Big|_{x=x_0}$$

( $1 \leq j \leq \alpha_0 - 1$ ) hence

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{(j)}(x_0) &= \begin{cases} 0, & j = 0 \\ j f^{(j-1)}(x_0), & 1 \leq j \leq \alpha_0 - 1 \end{cases} \\ &= \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^j ((x - x_0)f(x)) \Big|_{x=x_0} \\ &= P^{(j)}(x_0) \quad (0 \leq j \leq \alpha_0 - 1). \end{aligned}$$

For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{(j)}(x_i) &= (x_i - x_0) \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^j \left( H_m \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ \alpha_0 - 1 & \alpha_1 & \cdots & \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} f \right) \Big|_{x=x_i} \\ &\quad + j \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{j-1} \left( H_m \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ \alpha_0 - 1 & \alpha_1 & \cdots & \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} f \right) \Big|_{x=x_i} \\ &= \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^j ((x - x_0)f(x)) \Big|_{x=x_i} \\ &= P^{(j)}(x_i) \quad (0 \leq j \leq \alpha_i - 1). \end{aligned}$$

The degrees of the polynomials  $P$  and  $Q$  are at most  $m$ , and  $Q^{(j)}(x_i) = P^{(j)}(x_i)$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq \alpha_i - 1$ . Hence  $P = Q$ , and the proof is completed.  $\square$

Identifying the coefficients of  $X^m$  in Eq. (2.1) we reobtain the following reduction formula for the divided difference in the case of multiple knots

$$(2.2) \quad [t_0, \dots, t_m]((X - t_0) \cdots (X - t_i) f) = [t_{i+1}, \dots, t_m]f, \quad i = 0, \dots, m - 1.$$

### 3. THE LEIBNIZ-TYPE FORMULA FOR DIVIDED DIFFERENCES

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be functions defined on an interval containing the points  $t_0, \dots, t_n$  not necessarily distinct. The following Leibniz-type formula for divided differences is satisfied

$$(3.1) \quad [t_0, \dots, t_m](fg) = \sum_{k=0}^m [t_0, \dots, t_k]f [t_k, \dots, t_m]g.$$

Formula (3.1) is generally credited to Steffensen, because of his paper [14]. However our earliest reference for Eq. (3.1) is that of Tiberiu Popoviciu [12, p. 12, Eq. (18)]. In 1940 Tiberiu Popoviciu [13, p. 65] protested: “*Je me suis décidé de revenir sur ces questions en remarquant l'apparition de quelques travaux où mes résultats ne sont pas cités; voir, par exemple, [14]*” (“I decided to come back upon these problems, because I have noticed some works, e.g., [14], in which results from my dissertation [12] are not quoted”).

On the occasion of Tiberiu Popoviciu’s 50<sup>th</sup> birthday, Academician Miron Nicolescu, G. Pic, D. V. Ionescu, E. Gergely, L. Némethi, L. Bal and F. Radó published an overview of Popoviciu’s mathematical activity [10]. In this paper the authors reiterated the priority of Tiberiu Popoviciu in what concerns the Leibniz formula for divided differences.

In a recent paper [4], de Boor wrote: “*My first reference for the Leibniz formula is [12], though Steffensen later devotes an entire paper, [14], to it and this has become the standard reference for it despite the fact that Popoviciu, in response, wrote his own overview of divided differences, [13], trying, in vain, to correct the record.*”

A new proof of Leibniz’s formula is obtained by Tiberiu Popoviciu as an application of his Fundamental Formula for Divided Differences [13, p. 70].

In the case of distinct knots, an interesting proof of (3.1) is given by de Boor [2, p. 5]. De Boor’s idea is used by DeVore and Lorentz [6, p. 121] to prove (3.1) in the case of coalescing points, but assuming that the derivatives of  $f$  and  $g$ , required for the divided difference  $[t_0, \dots, t_m]$ , are continuous at the corresponding points. A generalization of formula (3.1) is given by de Boor in [3].

### 4. THE PROOF

We give a proof of the Leibniz-type formula (3.1) in the case of coalescing points. Using the Newton form of the interpolating polynomial (1.1) and the reduction formula (2.2) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} [t_0, \dots, t_m](fg) &= [t_0, \dots, t_m](H[t_0, \dots, t_m](f) g) \\ &= [t_0, \dots, t_m]_t \left( \sum_{k=0}^m (t - t_0) \dots (t - t_{k-1}) [t_0, \dots, t_k](f) g(t) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=0}^m [t_0, \dots, t_k] f [t_0, \dots, t_m]_t ((t - t_0) \dots (t - t_{k-1}) g(t)) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^m [t_0, \dots, t_k] f [t_k, \dots, t_m] g,
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is completed.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] AMPÈRE, A.-M., *Essai sur un nouveau mode d'exposition des principes du calcul différentiel, du calcul aux différences et de l'interpolation des suites, considérées comme dérivant d'une source commune*, Ann. Math. Pures Appl. (Gergonne), **16**, pp. 329–349, 1825.
- [2] DE BOOR, C., *A practical guide to splines*, Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1978.
- [3] DE BOOR, C., *A Leibniz formula for multivariate divided differences*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., **41** (3), pp. 856–868, 2003.
- [4] DE BOOR, C., *Divided differences*, Surveys in Approximation Theory, **1**, pp. 46–69, 2005.
- [5] DE MORGAN, A., *The Differential and Integral Calculus*, Baldwin & Cradock, London, 1842.
- [6] DEVORE, R. A. AND LORENTZ, G. G., *Constructive Approximation*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1993.
- [7] HERMITE, C., *Sur la formule d'interpolation de Lagrange*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **84**, pp. 70–79, 1878.
- [8] MEIJERING, E., *A Chronology of Interpolation: From Ancient Astronomy to Modern Signal and Image Processing.*, Proceedings of the IEEE, **90** (3), pp. 319–342, 2002.
- [9] NEWTON, I., *Philosophiæ Naturalis Principia Mathematica*, Printed by Joseph Streater by order of the Royal Society, London, 1687.
- [10] NICOLESCU, M., PIC, G., IONESCU, D., GERGELY, E., NÉMETI, L., BAL, L. AND RADÓ, F., *The mathematical activity of Professor Tiberiu Popoviciu*, Studii și cerc. de matematică (Cluj), **8** (1–2), pp. 7–19, 1957.
- [11] NÖRLUND, N. E., *Leçons sur les séries d'interpolation*, Gauthier-Villars et C<sup>ie</sup>, Paris, 1926.
- [12] POPOVICIU, T., *Sur quelques propriétés des fonctions d'une ou de deux variables réelles*, Ph.D. thesis, Faculté des Sciences de Paris, 1933, published by Institutul de Arte Grafice "Ardealul" (Cluj, Romania).
- [13] POPOVICIU, T., *Introduction à la théorie des différences divisées*, Bull. Math. Soc. Roumaine Sci., **42** (1), pp. 65–78, 1940.
- [14] STEFFENSEN, J., *Note on divided differences*, Danske Vid. Selsk. Math.-Fys. Medd., **17** (3), pp. 1–12, 1939.
- [15] WARING, E., *Problems concerning interpolations*, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, **69**, pp. 59–67, 1779.
- [16] WHITTAKER, E. T. AND ROBINSON, G., *The Calculus of Observations*, Blackie & Son, Limited, London; Glasgow; Bombay, 1924.

Received by the editors: March 1, 2006.