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#### Abstract

In this paper the exact orders in approximation by the complex Bernstein-Stancu polynomials (depending on two parameters) and their derivatives on compact disks are obtained.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the recent paper [1] the following upper estimates and Voronovskaja's theorem in approximation by complex Bernstein-Stancu polynomials depending on two parameters were proved.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathbb{D}_{R}=\{z \in \mathbb{C} ;|z|<R\}$ be with $R>1$ and let us suppose that $f: \mathbb{D}_{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}_{R}$, i.e. $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k}$, for all $z \in \mathbb{D}_{R}$. Also, for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$ (independent of $n$ ) let us define the complex Bernstein-Stancu polynomials by

$$
S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} z^{k}(1-z)^{n-k} f[(k+\alpha) /(n+\beta)], z \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

(i) For $1 \leq r<R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\left\|S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)-f\right\|_{r} \leq \frac{M_{2, r}^{(\beta)}(f)}{n+\beta}
$$

where $0<M_{2, r}^{(\beta)}(f)=2 r^{2} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j(j-1)\left|c_{j}\right| r^{j-2}+2 \beta r \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j\left|c_{j}\right| r^{j-1}<\infty$. Here $\|f\|_{r}=\sup \{|f(z)| ;|z| \leq r\}$.

[^0](ii) If $1 \leq r<r_{1}<R$, then for all $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$, we have
$$
\left\|\left[S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)\right]^{(p)}-f^{(p)}\right\|_{r} \leq \frac{M_{2, r_{1}}^{(\beta)}(f) p!r_{1}}{(n+\beta)\left(r_{1}-r\right)^{p+1}}
$$
(iii) For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have
$$
\left\|S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)-f+\frac{\beta e_{1}-\alpha}{n+\beta} f^{\prime}-\frac{n e_{1}\left(1-e_{1}\right)}{2(n+\beta)^{2}} f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{1} \leq \frac{M_{1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)}{(n+\beta)^{2}},
$$
where $e_{1}(z)=z$ and $0<M_{1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)<\infty$ depends only on $\alpha, \beta$ and $f$.
Remark 1.2. Following exactly the lines in the proof of Theorem 1.1, (iii) in [1], it is immediate that in fact for any $1 \leq r<R$ we have an upper estimate of the form
$$
\left\|S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)-f+\frac{\beta e_{1}-\alpha}{n+\beta} f^{\prime}-\frac{n e_{1}\left(1-e_{1}\right)}{2(n+\beta)^{2}} f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{r} \leq \frac{M_{r}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)}{(n+\beta)^{2}}
$$
where the constant $M_{r}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)>0$ is independent of $n$ and depends on $f, r, \alpha$ and $\beta$. This estimate will be useful in Section 3.

The goal of this paper is to show that in Theorem 1.1, (i) and (ii), also lower estimates hold. Thus, in Section 2 we prove that if the analytic function $f$ is not a polynomial of degree $\leq 0$ and $1 \leq r<R$, then we have $\left\|S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)-f\right\|_{r} \geq$ $\frac{C_{r}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)}{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, that is in Theorem 1.1, (i), in fact the equivalence $\| S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)-$ $f \|_{r} \sim \frac{1}{n}$ holds. In Section 3 we prove that for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq r<R$, if $f$ is not a polynomial of degree $\leq p-1$ then we have $\left\|\left[S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)\right]^{(p)}-f^{(p)}\right\|_{r} \sim \frac{1}{n}$, where the constants in the equivalence depend only on $f, \alpha, \beta, r$ and $p$.

Since the case $\alpha=\beta=0$ (i.e. the case of classical Bernstein polynomials) was already considered in [2], in the rest of the paper we will exclude it.

## 2. EXACT ORDER OF APPROXIMATION FOR COMPLEX BERNSTEIN-STANCU POLYNOMIALS

The main result of this section is the following.
Theorem 2.1. Let $R>1,0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$ with $\alpha+\beta>0, \mathbb{D}_{R}=\{z \in \mathbb{C} ;|z|<R\}$ and let us suppose that $f: \mathbb{D}_{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}_{R}$, that is we can write $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k}$, for all $z \in \mathbb{D}_{R}$. If $f$ is not a polynomial of degree 0 and $1 \leq r<R$, then we have

$$
\left\|S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)-f\right\|_{r} \geq \frac{C_{r}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)}{n+\beta}, n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

where the constant $C_{r}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)$ depends only on $f, r, \alpha$ and $\beta$.
Proof. For all $z \in \mathbb{D}_{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)(z)-f(z)=\frac{1}{n+\beta}\left\{-(\beta z-\alpha) f^{\prime}(z)+\frac{z(1-z)}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\frac{1}{n+\beta}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \cdot\left[(n+\beta)^{2}\left(S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)(z)-f(z)+\frac{\beta z-\alpha}{n+\beta} f^{\prime}(z)-\frac{n z(1-z)}{2(n+\beta)^{2}} f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)-\frac{\beta z(1-z)}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that in the case $\alpha=\beta=0$ in [2], necessarily $f$ was supposed to be not a polynomial of degree $\leq 1$.

In what follows we will apply to the above identity the following obvious property:

$$
\|F+G\|_{r} \geq\left|\|F\|_{r}-\|G\|_{r}\right| \geq\|F\|_{r}-\|G\|_{r}
$$

It follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)-f\right\|_{r} \geq \frac{1}{n+\beta}\left\{\left\|-\left(\beta e_{1}-\alpha\right) f^{\prime}+\frac{e_{1}\left(1-e_{1}\right)}{2} f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{r}-\frac{1}{n+\beta} .\right. \\
& \left.\quad \cdot\left[\left\|(n+\beta)^{2}\left(S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)-f+\frac{\beta e_{1}-\alpha}{n+\beta} f^{\prime}-\frac{n e_{1}\left(1-e_{1}\right)}{2(n+\beta)^{2}} f^{\prime \prime}\right)-\frac{\beta e_{1}\left(1-e_{1}\right)}{2} f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{r}\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since by Remark 1.2 we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|(n+\beta)^{2}\left(S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)-\right. \\
\left.\leq+\frac{\beta e_{1}-\alpha}{n+\beta} f^{\prime}-\frac{n e_{1}\left(1-e_{1}\right)}{2(n+\beta)^{2}} f^{\prime \prime}\right)-\frac{\beta e_{1}\left(1-e_{1}\right)}{2} f^{\prime \prime} \|_{r} \leq \\
\leq M_{r}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)+\beta\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{r},
\end{gathered}
$$

and denoting $H(z)=-(\beta z-\alpha) f^{\prime}(z)+\frac{z(1-z)}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)$, if we prove that $\|H\|_{r}>0$, then it is clear that there exists an index $n_{0}$ depending only on $f, \alpha$ and $\beta$, such that

$$
\left\|S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)-f\right\|_{r} \geq \frac{1}{n+\beta} \cdot \frac{\|H\|_{r}}{2}, \forall n \geq n_{0}
$$

For $n \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, n_{0}-1\right\}$ we have $\left\|S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)-f\right\|_{r} \geq \frac{A_{n, r}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)}{n+\beta}$ with $A_{n, r}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)=$ $(n+\beta) \cdot\left\|S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)-f\right\|_{r}>0$, which finally implies $\left\|S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)-f\right\|_{r} \geq \frac{C_{r}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)}{n+\beta}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $C_{r}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)=\min \left\{A_{1, r}^{(\alpha, \beta)}, A_{2, r}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f), \ldots, A_{n_{0}-1, r}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f), \frac{\|H\|_{r}}{2}\right\}$.

Therefore it remains to show that $\|H\|_{r}>0$. Indeed, suppose that $\|H\|_{r}=$ 0 . We have two possibilities: 1) $0=\alpha<\beta$ or 2$) 0<\alpha \leq \beta$.

Case 1). We obtain $H(z)=-\beta z f^{\prime}(z)+\frac{z(1-z)}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)=0$, for all $|z| \leq r$ and denoting $y(z)=f^{\prime}(z)$, it follows that $y(z)$ is an analytic function in $\mathbb{D}_{R}$, solution of the differential equation $-\beta z y(z)+\frac{z(1-z)}{2} y^{\prime}(z)=0,|z| \leq r$, which after simplification with $z \neq 0$ becomes $-\beta y(z)+\frac{(1-z)}{2} y^{\prime}(z)=0,|z| \leq r$. Now, seeking $y(z)$ in the form $y(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}$ and replacing it in the differential equation, by the identification of the coefficients we easily obtain $b_{k}=0$ for all $k=0,1, \ldots$. Therefore $y(z)=0$ for all $|z| \leq r$, which by the identity theorem on analytic (holomorphic) functions implies $y(z)=0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}_{R}$ and the contradiction that $f$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq 0$.

Case 2). Denoting $y(z)=f^{\prime}(z)$ by hypothesis it follows that $y(z)$ is an analytic function in $\mathbb{D}_{R}$ solution of the differential equation $(-\beta z+\alpha) y(z)+$ $\frac{z(1-z)}{2} y^{\prime}(z)=0,|z| \leq r$.

Taking $z=0$ it follows $\alpha y(0)=0$, which means $y(0)=0$. Seeking $y(z)$ in the form $y(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}$ and replacing it in the differential equation, by the
identification of the coefficients we easily obtain $b_{k}=0$ for all $k=1,2, \ldots$, which finally leads to the contradiction that $f$ is a constant.

Combining now Theorem 2.1 with Theorem 1.1, (i), we immediately get the following.

Corollary 2.2. Let $R>1,0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$ with $\alpha+\beta>0, \mathbb{D}_{R}=\{z \in$ $\mathbb{C} ;|z|<R\}$ and let us suppose that $f: \mathbb{D}_{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}_{R}$. If $f$ is not a polynomial of degree 0 and $1 \leq r<R$, then we have

$$
\left\|S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)-f\right\|_{r} \sim \frac{1}{n+\beta}, n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

where the constants in the equivalence depend on $f, r, \alpha$ and $\beta$.

## 3. EXACT ORDERS OF APPROXIMATION FOR DERIVATIVES OF COMPLEX BERNSTEIN-STANCU POLYNOMIALS

The main result of this section is the following.
TheOrem 3.1. Let $\mathbb{D}_{R}=\{z \in \mathbb{C} ;|z|<R\}$ be with $R>1,0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$ with $\alpha+\beta>0$ and let us suppose that $f: \mathbb{D}_{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}_{R}$, i.e. $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k}$, for all $z \in \mathbb{D}_{R}$. Also, let $1 \leq r<r_{1}<R$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. If $f$ is not a polynomial of degree $\leq p-1$, then we have

$$
\left\|\left[S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)\right]^{(p)}-f^{(p)}\right\|_{r} \sim \frac{1}{n+\beta}
$$

where the constants in the equivalence depend on $f, \alpha, \beta, r, r_{1}$ and $p$.
Proof. Taking into account Theorem 1.1, (ii), it remains only to prove the lower estimate for $\left\|\left[S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)\right]^{(p)}-f^{(p)}\right\|_{r}$.

Denoting by $\Gamma$ the circle of radius $r_{1}>r$ (with $r \geq 1$ ) and center 0 , by the Cauchy's formulas it follows that for all $|z| \leq r$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\left[S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)\right]^{(p)}(z)-f^{(p)}(z)=\frac{p!}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)(v)-f(v)}{(v-z)^{p+1}} \mathrm{~d} v
$$

where we have the inequality $|v-z| \geq r_{1}-r$ valid for all $|z| \leq r$ and $v \in \Gamma$.
As in the proof of Theorem 2.1 (keeping the notation for $H$ ), for all $v \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)(v)-f(v)= \\
& =\frac{1}{n+\beta}\left\{H(v)+\frac{1}{n+\beta}\left[( n + \beta ) ^ { 2 } \left(S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)(v)-f(v)+\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\quad \frac{\beta v-\alpha}{n+\beta} f^{\prime}(v)-\frac{n v(1-v)}{2(n+\beta)^{2}} f^{\prime \prime}(v)\right)-\frac{\beta v(1-v)}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(v)\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

which replaced in the above Cauchy's formula implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)\right]^{(p)}(z)-f^{(p)}(z)=\frac{1}{n+\beta}\left\{H^{(p)}(z)+\frac{1}{n+\beta} .\right.} \\
& \quad \cdot\left[\frac{p!}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{(n+\beta)^{2}\left(S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)(v)-f(v)+\frac{\beta v-\alpha}{n+\beta} f^{\prime}(v)-\frac{n v(1-v)}{2(n+\beta)^{2}} f^{\prime \prime}(v)\right)}{(v-z)^{p+1}} \mathrm{~d} v-\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad-\frac{p!}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\beta v(1-v)}{2(v-z)^{p+1}} f^{\prime \prime}(v) \mathrm{d} v\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Passing now to absolute value, for all $|z| \leq r$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left[S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)\right]^{(p)}(z)-f^{(p)}(z)\right| \geq \frac{1}{n+\beta}\left\{\left|H^{(p)}(z)\right|-\frac{1}{n+\beta}\right. \\
& {\left[\left\lvert\, \frac{p!}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{(n+\beta)^{2}\left(S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)(v)-f(v)+\frac{\beta v-\alpha}{n+\beta} f^{\prime}(v)-\frac{n v(1-v)}{2(n+\beta)^{2}} f^{\prime \prime}(v)\right)}{(v-z)^{p+1}} \mathrm{~d} v-\right.\right.} \\
& \left.\left.\left.\frac{p!}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\beta v(1-v)}{2(v-z)^{p+1}} f^{\prime \prime}(v) \mathrm{d} v \right\rvert\,\right]\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where by using the Remark 1.2 , for all $|z| \leq r$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{p!}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{(n+\beta)^{2}\left(S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)(v)-f(v)+\frac{\beta v-\alpha}{n+\beta} f^{\prime}(v)-\frac{n v(1-v)}{2(n+\beta)^{2}} f^{\prime \prime}(v)\right)}{(v-z)^{p+1}} \mathrm{~d} v-\right. \\
& \quad-\frac{p!}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\beta v(1-v)}{2(v-z)^{p+1}} f^{\prime \prime}(v) \mathrm{d} v \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{p!}{2 \pi} \cdot \frac{2 \pi r_{1} M_{r}^{(\alpha, \beta)}}{\left(r_{1}-r\right)^{p+1}}+\frac{p!}{2 \pi} \cdot \frac{2 \pi r_{1} \beta r_{1}\left(1+r_{1}\right)\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\| \|_{1}}{2\left(r_{1}-r\right)^{p+1}} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Denoting now $F_{p}(z)=H^{(p)}(z)$, we prove that $\left\|F_{p}\right\|_{r}>0$. Indeed, if we suppose that $\left\|F_{p}\right\|_{r}=0$ then it follows that $f$ satisfies the differential equation

$$
-\beta z f^{\prime}(z)+\frac{z(1-z)}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)=Q_{p-1}(z), \forall|z| \leq r
$$

where $Q_{p-1}(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq p-1$. Simplifying with $z$, making the substitution $y(z)=f^{\prime}(z)$, searching $y(z)$ in the form $y(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}$ and then replacing in the differential equation, by simple calculations we easily obtain that $b_{k}=0$ for all $k \geq p-1$, that is $y(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq p-2$. This implies the contradiction that $f$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq p-1$. Continuing exactly as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 (with $\left\|S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)-f\right\|_{r}$ replaced by $\left\|\left[S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)\right]^{(p)}-f^{(p)}\right\|_{r}$ ), finally there exists an index $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on $f, r, r_{1}$ and $p$, such that for all $n \geq n_{0}$ we have

$$
\left\|\left[S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f)\right]^{(p)}-f^{(p)}\right\|_{r} \geq \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{C_{0}}{2} .
$$

Also, the cases when $n \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, n_{0}-1\right\}$ are similar with those in the proof of Theorem 2.1.
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