### REVUE D'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET DE THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx., vol. 38 (2009) no. 2, pp. 164–169 ictp.acad.ro/jnaat

# EXTENSIONS OF SEMI-HÖLDER REAL VALUED FUNCTIONS ON A QUASI-METRIC SPACE

## COSTICĂ MUSTĂŢA\*

**Abstract.** In this note the semi-Hölder real valued functions on a quasi-metric (asymmetric metric) space are defined. An extension theorem for such functions and some consequences are presented.

MSC 2000. 26A16, 46A22. Keywords. Semi-Hölder functions, extensions.

### 1. PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a non-empty set. A function  $d : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  is called a quasi-metric on X [9] (see also [1]) if the following conditions hold:

AM1)  $d(x,y) = d(y,x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$ 

AM2)  $d(x,z) \leq d(x,y) + d(y,z)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

When X is non-empty set and d a quasi-metric on X, the pair (X, d) is called a quasi-metric space.

The function  $\overline{d}: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  defined by  $\overline{d}(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  is also a quasi-metric on X, called the conjugate quasi-metric of d.

Obviously, the function  $d^s(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), \overline{d}(x, y)\}\$  is a metric on X. Each quasi-metric d on X induces a topology  $\tau(d)$ , which has as a base the family of balls (forward open balls [4]).

(1.1) 
$$B^+(x,\varepsilon): \{y \in X : d(x,y) < \varepsilon\}, \ x \in X, \ \varepsilon > 0.$$

This topology is called the *forward topology* of X ([4]), [1]) and is denoted also by  $\tau_+$ .

The topology induced by the quasi-metric  $\overline{d}$  is called the *backward topology* and is denoted by  $\tau_{-}$ .

The topology  $\tau_+$  is a  $T_0$  topology. If the condition AM1) is replaced by the condition

AM0)  $d(x, y) \ge 0$  and d(x, y) = 0, for all  $x, y \in X$ then the topology  $\tau_+$  is a  $T_1$  topology.

<sup>\*</sup>Institutul de Calcul "T. Popoviciu", Cluj-Napoca, Romania, e-mail: cmustata2001@ yahoo.com, cmustata@ictp.acad.ro.

Let (X, d) be quasi-metric space. A sequence  $(x_k)_{k\geq 1} d$ -converge to  $x_0 \in X$ , respectively  $\overline{d}$ -converge to  $x_0 \in X$ , iff

(1.2) 
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} d(x_0, x_k) = 0, \text{ respectively}$$
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \overline{d}(x_0, x_k) = \lim_{k \to \infty} d(x_k, x_0) = 0$$

A set  $K \subset X$  is called *d*- compact if every open cover of *K* with respect to the topology  $\tau_+$  has a finite subcover. We say that *K* is *d*-sequentially compact if every sequence in *K* has a *d*-converget subsequence with limit in *K* (Definition 4.1 in [1]).

Finally, the set Y in (X, d) is called  $(d, \overline{d})$ -sequentially compact if every sequence  $(y_n)_{n\geq 1}$  in Y has a subsequence  $(y_{n_k})_{k\geq 1}$  d-convergent to  $u \in Y$  and d-convergent to  $v \in Y$ . By Lemma 3.1 in [1] if the topology of X is  $T_1$ , i.e. d verifies the axioms AM0) and AM2), it follows that u = v.

The following definition of a *d-semi-Hölder* function (of exponent  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ) is inspired by the definition of semi-Lipschitz function in [9].

DEFINITION 1. Let Y be a non-empty subset of a quasi-metric space (X, d), and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  arbitrarily chosen, but fixed. A function  $f : Y \to \mathbb{R}$  is called d-semi-Hölder (of exponent  $\alpha$ ) if there exists  $L = L(f, Y) \ge 0$  (named a dsemi-Hölder constant for f) such that

(1.3) 
$$f(x) - f(y) \le Ld^{\alpha}(x, y),$$

for all  $x, y \in Y$ .

The smallest *d*-semi-Hölder constant for f, verifying (1.3), is denoted by  $||f|_{\alpha,Y}$  and

(1.4) 
$$||f|_{\alpha,Y} = \sup\left\{\frac{(f(x) - f(y)) \lor 0}{d^{\alpha}(x,y)} : d(x,y) > 0, \quad x,y \in Y\right\}.$$

This means that  $||f|_{\alpha,Y} = \inf\{L \ge 0 : L \text{ verifying } (1.3)\}.$ 

The set of all d-semi-Hölder function  $f: Y \to \mathbb{R}$  is denoted by  $\Lambda_{\alpha}(Y)$ , i.e.

(1.5)  $\Lambda_{\alpha}(Y) := \{ f : Y \to \mathbb{R}, f \text{ is } d\text{-semi-Hölder of exponent } \alpha \}.$ 

This set is a cone in the linear space  $\mathbb{R}^{Y}$  of all functions  $f: Y \to \mathbb{R}$ , i.e.  $\Lambda_{\alpha}(Y)$  is closed with respect to pointwise operations of multiplication with nonnegative real numbers of a function in  $\Lambda_{\alpha}(Y)$ , and of addition of two functions in  $\Lambda_{\alpha}(Y)$ .

The functional  $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha,Y}$ :  $\Lambda_{\alpha}(Y) \to [0,\infty)$  is nonnegative and sublinear, and the pair  $(\Lambda_{\alpha}(Y), \|\cdot\|_{\alpha,Y})$  is called the asymmetric normed cone of *d*-semi Hölder functions on *Y* (compare with [10]).

The cone  $(\Lambda_{\alpha}(Y), \|\cdot\|_{\alpha,Y})$  is different from the cone of *d*-semi-Lipschitz function  $(\alpha = 1)$  considered in [9]. For example, if one considers Y = [0,1]d(x,y) = |x-y| and  $f:[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(x) = x \sin \frac{1}{x}$ ,  $x \in (0,1]$ ; f(0) = 0, then it is known that  $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha}(X,d)$  if and only if  $\alpha \in (0, 1/2]$  (see[14], Problem 153) and in this case  $\|f\|_{\alpha,Y} \leq \{1 + 2\ln(1 + 2\pi) + 2\pi\}^{1/2}$ .

#### 2. EXTENSIONS OF d-SEMI-HÖLDER FUNCTIONS

Let (X, d) be a quasi-metric space,  $Y \subset X$  and  $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha}(Y)$ . A function  $F \in \Lambda_{\alpha}(X)$  is called an extension of f (preserving the semi-Hölder constant L(f, Y) if

(2.1) 
$$F|_{Y} = f \text{ and } L(F, X) = L(f, Y)$$

The existence of extension in  $\Lambda_{\alpha}(X)$  for each  $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha}(X)$  is assured by the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. Let (X, d) be a quasi-metric space,  $Y \subset X$  and  $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha}(Y)$ with d-semi-Hölder constant L(f, Y). Then there exist  $F \in \Lambda_{\alpha}(X)$  such that

$$F|_Y = f$$
 and  $L(F, X) = L(f, Y)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G: X \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

(2.2) 
$$G(x) = \sup_{y \in Y} \{ f(y) - L(f, Y) \cdot d^{\alpha}(y, x) \}, \ x \in X.$$

Let  $y_0 \in Y$  be a fixed element, and  $x \in X$ . For every  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) - L(f,Y) \cdot d^{\alpha}(y,x) &= f(y) - f(y_0) - L(f,Y) \ d^{\alpha}(y,x) + f(y_0) \\ &\leq L(f,Y) d^{\alpha}(y,y_0) - L(f,Y) d^{\alpha}(y,x) + f(y_0) \\ &= f(y_0) + L(f,Y) [d^{\alpha}(y,y_0) - d^{\alpha}(y,x)] \\ &\leq f(y_0) + L(f,Y) \ d^{\alpha}(x,y_0). \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows that the set

$$\{f(y) - L(f, Y)d^{\alpha}(y, x) : y \in Y\}$$

is bounded from above, and G(x) exists for every  $x \in X$ . By the definition of G(x), for every  $y \in Y$ 

$$G(x) \ge f(y) - L(f, Y)d^{\alpha}(y, x), \ x \in X,$$

and for x = y one obtains

$$G(y) \ge f(y).$$

On the other hand, for  $y \in Y$  and every  $y' \in Y$ ,

$$f(y') - f(y) \le L(f, Y) \cdot d^{\alpha}(y', y).$$

It follows

$$f(y') - L(f, Y) \cdot d^{\alpha}(y', y) \le f(y),$$

and taking the supremum with respect to  $y' \in Y$  one obtains

$$G(y) \le f(y), y \in Y.$$

Consequently  $G|_Y = f$ .

Now, let  $u, v \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choosing  $y \in Y$  such that

$$G(u) \le f(y) - L(f, Y) \ d^{\alpha}(y, u) + \varepsilon,$$

it follows

$$G(u) - G(v) \le f(y) - L(f, Y)d^{\alpha}(y, u) + \varepsilon - f(y) + L(f, Y)d^{\alpha}(y, v)$$
  
=  $L(f, Y)[d^{\alpha}(y, v) - d^{\alpha}(y, u)] + \varepsilon$   
 $\le L(f, Y)d^{\alpha}(u, v) + \varepsilon.$ 

Because  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrarily chosen, one obtains:

$$G(u) - G(v) \le L(f, Y) \ d^{\alpha}(u, v),$$

for  $u,v\in X,$  i.e.  $G\in \Lambda_{\alpha}(X).$  Moreover  $L(G,X)\leq L(f,Y).$  Because  $G|_{Y}=f$  one obtains also

$$L(f,Y) = L(G|_{Y,Y}) \le L(G,X)$$

and consequently, L(f, Y) = L(G, X).

REMARK 3. Observe that the function  $F: X \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

(2.3) 
$$F(x) = \inf_{y \in Y} \{ f(y) + L(f,Y) \ d^{\alpha}(x,y) \}, \ x \in X.$$

is another extension of  $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha}(f, Y)$ .

Moreover, if H is any extension of f, i.e.  $H|_Y=f$  and L(H,X)=L(f,Y) then

(2.4) 
$$G(x) \le H(x) \le F(x), \ x \in X$$

where G is defined by (2.2) and F is defined by (2.3).

From (2.4) it follows that G is the minimal extension of f, and F is the maximal extension of f.

Indeed let H an extension of  $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha}(Y)$ . Then, for arbitrary  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$  we have

$$H(x) - H(y) \le L(f, Y)d^{\alpha}(x, y)$$

implying

$$H(x) \le H(y) + L(f, Y)d^{\alpha}(x, y)$$
  
=  $f(y) + L(f, y)d^{\alpha}(x, y)$ 

Taking the infimum with respect to  $y \in Y$  one obtain

$$H(x) \le F(x), \ x \in X$$

Analogously,

$$H(y) - H(x) \le L(f, Y) \cdot d^{\alpha}(y, x)$$

implies

$$f(y) - L(f, Y)d^{\alpha}(y, x) \ge H(x),$$

and taking the supremum with respect to  $y \in Y$  one obtain

$$G(x) \ge H(x), x \in X.$$

It follows (2.4).

REMARK 4. For  $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha}(Y)$  denote by  $\mathcal{E}(f)$  the (non-empty) set of all extensions of f i.e.

(2.5) 
$$\mathcal{E}(f) := \{ H \in \Lambda_{\alpha}(X) : H|_{Y} = f \text{ and } L(H, X) = L(f, Y) \}$$

Obviously,  $\mathcal{E}(f)$  is a convex subset of the cone  $\Lambda_{\alpha}(X)$ .

REMARK 5. Let  $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha}(Y)$  and let  $||f|_{\alpha \cdot Y}$  be the smallest *d*-semi-Hölder constant for f on Y (see (1.4)). Then the functions G and F defined by (2.2) and (2.3), where  $L(f,Y) = ||f|_{\alpha,Y}$  are extensions for f, preserving the constant  $||f|_{\alpha,Y}$ .

Consider a fixed element  $y_0 \in Y$ , and let

(

(2.6) 
$$\Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y) := \{ f \in \Lambda_{\alpha}(Y) : f(y_0) = 0 \}.$$

Then the functional  $|||_{\alpha,Y} : \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y) \to [0,\infty)$  defined by

(2.7) 
$$\|f\|_{\alpha,Y} = \sup\{\frac{(f(x) - f(y)) \lor 0}{d^{\alpha}(x,y)} : d(x,y) > 0; x, y \in Y\}$$

is a quasi-norm on  $\Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y)$ , i.e. the following properties hold:

- a)  $||f|_{\alpha,Y} \ge 0$ ; f = 0 iff  $-f \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y)$  and  $||f|_{\alpha,Y} = ||-f|_{\alpha,Y} = 0$ ,  $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y)$ ;
- b)  $||af|_{\alpha,Y} = a ||f|_{\alpha,Y}$  for every  $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y)$  and  $a \ge 0$ ;
- c)  $||f+g|_{\alpha,Y} \leq ||f|_{\alpha,Y} + ||g|_{\alpha,Y}$  for all  $f, g \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y)$ .

REMARK 6. Let  $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha}(X)$  and  $Y_1 \subset Y_2 \subset X$ . Suppose that  $q \geq ||f|_{\alpha,X}$ and let

$$G_1(x) = \sup_{y \in Y_1} \{ f(y) - qd^{\alpha}(y, x) \}, \ x \in X,$$
  
$$G_2(x) = \sup_{y \in Y_2} \{ f(y) - qd^{\alpha}(y, x) \}, \ x \in X$$

Then  $G_1(x) \leq G_2(x) \leq f(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$  and  $G_1|_{Y_1} = G_2|_{Y_1} = f|_{Y_1}$ . Also, if

$$F_1(x) = \inf_{y \in Y_1} \{ f(y) + qd^{\alpha}(x, y) \}, \ x \in X$$

and

$$F_2(x) = \inf_{y \in Y_2} \{ f(y) + qd^{\alpha}(x, y) \}, \ x \in X$$

then

$$F_1(x) \ge F_2(x) \ge f(x)$$
, for all  $x \in X$ 

and

$$F_1|_{Y_1} = F_2|_{Y_1} = f|_{Y_1}.$$

Consequently, if  $(Y_n)_{n\geq 1}$  is a sequence in  $2^X$  such that  $Y_1 \subset Y_2 \subset ... \subset Y_n \subset ..., f \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X)$  and  $q \geq ||f|_{\alpha,X}$  then the sequences  $(G_n)_{n\geq 1}$  and  $(F_n)_{n\geq 1}$ , where

$$G_n(x) = \sup_{y \in Y_n} \{ f(y) - qd^{\alpha}(y, x) \}, \ x \in X$$

and

$$F_n(x) = \inf_{y \in Y_n} \{ f(y) + q d^{\alpha}(x, y) \}, \ x \in X$$

are monotonically increasing, respectively decreasing,  $G_n, F_n \in \Lambda_{\alpha}(X)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, ..., \text{ and } G_n(x) \leq f(x) \leq F_n(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

Because, for every  $y \in Y_n$ 

$$f(y) - qd^{\alpha}(y, x) \le G_n(x) \le F_n(x) \le f(y) + qd^{\alpha}(x, y)$$

it follows that,

$$F_n(x) - G_n(x) \le q[d^{\alpha}(x, y) + d^{\alpha}(y, x)].$$

Taking the infimum with respect to  $y \in Y_n$  one obtains:

(2.8) 
$$F_n(x) - G_n(x) \le q \inf_{y \in Y_n} [d^{\alpha}(x, y) + d^{\alpha}(y, x)].$$

for every  $x \in X$ .

If  $Y_n$  is  $d^s$ -dense in X, where  $d^s(x, y) = d(x, y) \lor d(y, x)$  for every  $x, y \in X$ then, by (2.8) it follows that  $F_n(x) = G_n(x), x \in X$ . Consequently, a function  $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha}(Y)$  where Y is  $d^s$ -dense in X has an unique extension  $F \in \Lambda_{\alpha}(X)$ .

### REFERENCES

- COLLINS, J. and ZIMMER, J., An asymmetric Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, Topology and its Applications, 154, pp. 2312–2322, 2007.
- [2] MATOUSKOVA, E., Extensions of continuous and Lipschitz functions, Canad. Math. Bull, 43, no. 2, pp. 208–217, 2000.
- [3] MCSHANE, E.T., Extension of range of functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 40, pp. 837– 842, 1934.
- [4] MENUCCI, A., On asymmetric distances. Technical Report, Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 2007, http://cvgmt.sns.it/people/menucci.
- [5] MICULESCU, R., Some observations on generalized Lipschitz functions, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 37, no.3, pp. 893–903, 2007.
- [6] MUSTĂŢA, C., Extension of semi-Lipschitz functions on quasi-metric spaces, Rev. Anal. Number. Théor. Approx., 30, no. 1, pp. 61–67, 2001.
- [7] MUSTĂŢA, C., Best approximation and unique extension of Lipschitz functions, J. Approx. Theory, 19, no. 3, pp. 222–230, 1977.
- [8] MUSTĂŢA,C., A Phelps type theorem for spaces with asymmetric norms, Bul. Stiinţ. Univ. Baia Mare, Ser. B. Matematică-Informatică 18, pp. 275–280, 2002.
- [9] ROMAGUERA, S. and SANCHIS, M., Semi-Lipschitz functions and best approximation in quasi-metric spaces, J. Approx. Theory, 103, pp. 292–301, 2000.
- [10] ROMAGUERA, S. and SANCHIS, M., Properties of the normed cone of semi-Lipschitz functions, Acta Math Hungar, 108 no. 1–2, pp. 55–70, 2005.
- [11] SÁNCHEZ-ÁLVAREZ, J.M., On semi-Lipschitz functions with values in a quasi-normed linear space, Applied General Topology, 6, no. 2, pp. 216–228, 2005.
- [12] WEAVER, N., Lattices of Lipschitz functions, Pacific Journal of Math., 164, pp. 179–193, 1994.
- [13] WELLS, J.H. and WILLIAMS, L.R. Embeddings and Extensions in Analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.
- [14] \* \* \*, The Otto Dunkel Memorial Problem Book, New York, 1957.