

ON THE EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF EXTENSIONS OF  
SEMI-HÖLDER REAL-VALUED FUNCTIONS

COSTICĂ MUSTĂŢA\*

**Abstract.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a quasi-metric space,  $y_0 \in X$  a fixed element and  $Y$  a subset of  $X$  such that  $y_0 \in Y$ . Denote by  $(\Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y, d), \|\cdot\|_{Y,d}^\alpha)$  the asymmetric normed cone of real-valued  $d$ -semi-Hölder functions defined on  $Y$  of exponent  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , vanishing in  $y_0$ , and by  $(\Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y, \bar{d}), \|\cdot\|_{Y,\bar{d}}^\alpha)$  the similar cone if  $d$  is replaced by conjugate  $\bar{d}$  of  $d$ .

One considers the following claims:

- (a) For every  $f$  in the linear space  $\Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y) = \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y, d) \cap \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y, \bar{d})$  there exist  $F \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X, d)$  such that  $F|_Y = f$  and  $\|F\|_{Y,d}^\alpha = \|f\|_{Y,d}^\alpha$ ;
- (b) For every  $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y)$  there exists  $\bar{F} \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X, \bar{d})$  such that  $\bar{F}|_Y = f$  and  $\|\bar{F}\|_{Y,\bar{d}}^\alpha = \|f\|_{Y,\bar{d}}^\alpha$ ;
- (c) The extension  $F$  in (a) is unique;
- (d) The extension  $\bar{F}$  in (b) is unique;
- (e) The annihilator  $Y_{\bar{d}}^\perp$  of  $Y$  in  $\Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X, \bar{d})$  is proximal for the elements of  $\Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X)$  with respect to the distance generated by  $\|\cdot\|_{Y,\bar{d}}^\alpha$ ;
- (f) The annihilator  $Y_d^\perp$  of  $Y$  in  $\Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X, d)$  is proximal for the elements of  $\Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X)$  with respect to the distance generated by  $\|\cdot\|_{Y,d}^\alpha$ ;
- (g)  $Y_{\bar{d}}^\perp$  in the claim (e) is Chebyshevian;
- (h)  $Y_d^\perp$  in the claim (f) is Chebyshevian.

Then the following equivalences hold:

- (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (e); (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (f); (c)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (g); (d)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (h).

**MSC 2000.** 46A22, 41A50, 41A52.

**Keywords.** Extensions, semi-Lipschitz functions, semi-Hölder functions, best approximation, quasi-metric spaces.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  a function with the properties:

(QM<sub>1</sub>)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x) = 0$  iff  $x = y$ ,

(QM<sub>2</sub>)  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ ,

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Then the function  $d$  is called a *quasi-metric* on  $X$  and the pair  $(X, d)$  is called *quasi-metric space* ([13]).

---

\*“T. Popoviciu” Institute of Numerical Analysis, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, e-mail: [cmustata@ictp.acad.ro](mailto:cmustata@ictp.acad.ro), [cmustata2001@yahoo.com](mailto:cmustata2001@yahoo.com).

Because, in general,  $d(x, y) \neq d(y, x)$ , for  $x, y \in X$  one defines the conjugate quasi-metric  $\bar{d}$  of  $d$ , by the equality  $\bar{d}(x, y) = d(y, x)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Let  $Y$  be a nonvoid subset of  $(X, d)$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  a fixed number.

DEFINITION 1. a) A function  $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called  $d$ -semi-Hölder (of exponent  $\alpha$ ) if there exists a constant  $K_Y(f) \geq 0$  such that

$$(1) \quad f(x) - f(y) \leq K_Y(f)d^\alpha(x, y),$$

for all  $x, y \in Y$ .

b)  $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called  $\bar{d}$ -semi-Hölder (of exponent  $\alpha$ ) if there exists a constant  $\bar{K}_Y(f) \geq 0$  such that

$$(2) \quad f(x) - f(y) \leq \bar{K}_Y(f) \cdot d^\alpha(y, x),$$

for all  $x, y \in Y$ .

The smallest constant  $K_Y(f)$  in (1) is denoted by  $\|f\|_{Y,d}^\alpha$  and one shows that

$$(3) \quad \|f\|_{Y,d}^\alpha := \sup \left\{ \frac{(f(x)-f(y)) \vee 0}{d^\alpha(x,y)} : d(x, y) > 0; x, y \in Y \right\}.$$

Analogously one defines  $\|f\|_{Y,\bar{d}}^\alpha$ .

Observe that the function  $f$  is  $d$ -semi-Hölder on  $Y$  iff  $-f$  is  $\bar{d}$ -semi-Hölder on  $Y$ . Moreover

$$(4) \quad \|f\|_{Y,d}^\alpha = \|-f\|_{Y,\bar{d}}^\alpha.$$

DEFINITION 2. ([14]). Let  $(X, d)$  be a quasi-metric space and  $Y \subseteq X$  a nonempty set. The function  $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called  $\leq_d$ -increasing on  $Y$  if  $f(x) \leq f(y)$  whenever  $d(x, y) = 0$ ,  $x, y \in Y$ .

The set of all  $\leq_d$ -increasing functions on  $Y$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{R}_{\leq_d}^Y$  and it is a cone in the linear space  $\mathbb{R}^Y$  of all real-valued functions on  $Y$ .

The set

$$(5) \quad \Lambda_\alpha(Y, d) := \{f \in \mathbb{R}_{\leq_d}^Y; f \text{ is } d\text{-semi-Hölder and } \|f\|_{Y,d}^\alpha < \infty\}$$

is also a cone, called the cone of  $d$ -semi-Hölder functions on  $Y$ .

If  $y_0 \in Y$  is arbitrary, but fixed, one considers the cone

$$(6) \quad \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y, d) := \{f \in \Lambda_\alpha(Y, d) : f(y_0) = 0\}.$$

Then the functional  $\|\cdot\|_{Y,d}^\alpha : \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y, d) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is subadditive, positively homogeneous and the equality  $\|f\|_{Y,d}^\alpha = \|-f\|_{Y,d}^\alpha = 0$  implies  $f \equiv 0$ . This means that  $\|\cdot\|_{Y,d}^\alpha$  is an asymmetric norm (see [13], [14]), on the cone  $\Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y, d)$ .

The pair  $(\Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y, d), \|\cdot\|_{Y,d}^\alpha)$  is called the asymmetric normed cone of  $d$ -semi-Hölder real-valued function on  $Y$  (compare with [14]).

Analogously, one defines the asymmetric normed cone  $(\Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y, \bar{d}), \|\cdot\|_{Y,\bar{d}}^\alpha)$  of all  $\bar{d}$ -semi-Hölder real-valued functions on  $Y$ , vanishing at the fixed point  $y_0 \in Y$ .

By the above definitions it follows that

$$f \in (\Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y, d), \| \cdot \|_{Y,d}^\alpha) \text{ iff } -f \in (\Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y, \bar{d}), \| \cdot \|_{Y,\bar{d}}^\alpha)$$

and, moreover,  $\|f\|_{Y,d}^\alpha = \|-f\|_{Y,\bar{d}}^\alpha$ .

Defining  $\Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y)$  by

$$(7) \quad \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y) = \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y, d) \cap \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y, \bar{d}),$$

It follows that  $\Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y)$  is a linear subspace. The following, theorem holds.

**THEOREM 3.** *For every  $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y)$  there exist at least one function  $F \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y, d)$  and at least one function  $\bar{F} \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y, \bar{d})$  such that*

- a)  $F|_Y = \bar{F}|_Y = f$ .
- b)  $\|F\|_{Y,d}^\alpha = \|f\|_{Y,d}^\alpha$  and  $\|\bar{F}\|_{Y,\bar{d}}^\alpha = \|f\|_{Y,\bar{d}}^\alpha$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 2 and Remark 3 in [11] it follows that the functions defined by the formulae:

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} F(f)(x) &= \inf_{y \in Y} \{f(y) + \|f\|_{Y,d}^\alpha d^\alpha(x, y)\}, \quad x \in X, \\ G(f)(x) &= \sup_{y \in Y} \{f(y) - \|f\|_{Y,d}^\alpha d^\alpha(y, x)\}, \quad x \in X, \end{aligned}$$

are elements of  $\Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X, d)$  and, respectively, the functions given by

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{F}(f)(x) &= \inf_{y \in Y} \{f(y) + \|f\|_{Y,\bar{d}}^\alpha d^\alpha(y, x)\}, \quad x \in X, \\ \bar{G}(f)(x) &= \sup_{y \in Y} \{f(y) - \|f\|_{Y,\bar{d}}^\alpha d^\alpha(x, y)\}, \quad x \in X \end{aligned}$$

are elements of  $\Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X, \bar{d})$  such that

$$(10) \quad F(f)|_Y = G(f)|_Y = f \text{ and } \|F(f)\|_{Y,d}^\alpha = \|G(f)\|_{Y,d}^\alpha = \|f\|_{Y,d}^\alpha,$$

respectively

$$(11) \quad \bar{F}(f)|_Y = \bar{G}(f)|_Y = f \text{ and } \|\bar{F}(f)\|_{Y,\bar{d}}^\alpha = \|\bar{G}(f)\|_{Y,\bar{d}}^\alpha = \|f\|_{Y,\bar{d}}^\alpha.$$

□

For  $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y)$  let us consider the following (nonempty) sets of extensions:

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{E}_d(f) := \{H \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X, d) : H|_Y = f \text{ and } \|H\|_{Y,d}^\alpha = \|f\|_{Y,d}^\alpha\}$$

and

$$(13) \quad \mathcal{E}_{\bar{d}}(f) := \{\bar{H} \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X, \bar{d}) : \bar{H}|_Y = f \text{ and } \|\bar{H}\|_{Y,\bar{d}}^\alpha = \|f\|_{Y,\bar{d}}^\alpha\}.$$

The sets  $\mathcal{E}_d(f)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\bar{d}}(f)$  are convex and

$$(14) \quad F(f)(x) \geq H(x) \geq G(f)(x), \quad x \in X$$

for all  $H \in \mathcal{E}_d(f)$ ;

$$(15) \quad \bar{F}(f)(x) \geq \bar{H}(x) \geq \bar{G}(f)(x), \quad x \in X,$$

for all  $\bar{H} \in \mathcal{E}_{\bar{d}}(f)$ .

Also, for  $F \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X)$ ,  $F|_Y \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(Y)$  and

$$F - H \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X, \bar{d}), \text{ for all } H \in \mathcal{E}_d(F|_Y),$$

$$F - \bar{H} \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X, d) \text{ for all } \bar{H} \in \mathcal{E}_{\bar{d}}(F|_Y).$$

Let  $(X, d)$  be a quasi-metric space,  $y_0 \in X$  fixed and  $Y \subseteq X$  such that  $y_0 \in Y$ .  
Let

$$(16) \quad Y_d^\perp := \{G \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X, d) : G|_Y = 0\}$$

and

$$(17) \quad Y_{\bar{d}}^\perp := \{\bar{G} \in \Lambda_{\alpha,d}(X, \bar{d}) : \bar{G}|_Y = 0\}.$$

Obviously, for  $F \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X)$

$$(18) \quad F - \mathcal{E}_d(F|_Y) \subset \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X, \bar{d})$$

and

$$(19) \quad F - \mathcal{E}_{\bar{d}}(F|_Y) \subset \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X, d).$$

In the sequel we prove a result of Phelps type ([1], [10], [12]) concerning the existence and uniqueness of the extensions preserving the smallest semi-Hölder constants and a problem of best approximation by elements of  $Y_d^\perp$  and  $Y_{\bar{d}}^\perp$ , respectively.

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be an asymmetric norm (see [13], [14]) and let  $M$  be a nonempty set of  $X$ . The set  $M$  is called *proximal* for  $x \in X$  iff there exists at least one element  $m_0 \in M$  such that

$$\|x - m_0\| = \inf\{\|x - m\| : m \in M\} = \rho(x, M).$$

If  $M$  is proximal for  $x$ , then the set  $P_M(x) = \{m_0 \in M : \|x - m_0\| = \rho(x, M)\}$  is called the set of *elements of best approximations* for  $x$  in  $M$ . If  $\text{card } P_M(x) = 1$  then the set  $M$  is called Chebyshevian for  $x$ .

The set  $M$  is called proximal if  $M$  is proximal for every  $x \in X$ , and Chebyshevian if  $M$  is Chebyshevian for every  $x \in X$ .

Now, consider the following two problems of best approximation:

**P<sub>d</sub>(F)**. For  $F \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X)$  find  $G_0 \in Y_d^\perp$  such that

$$(20) \quad \|F - G_0\|_{Y, \bar{d}}^\alpha = \inf\{\|F - G\|_{Y, \bar{d}}^\alpha : G \in Y_d^\perp\} = \rho_{\bar{d}}(F, Y_d^\perp)$$

and

**P<sub>d</sub>(F)**. For  $F \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X)$  find  $\bar{G}_0 \in Y_{\bar{d}}^\perp$  such that

$$(21) \quad \|F - \bar{G}_0\|_{Y, d}^\alpha = \inf\{\|F - \bar{G}\|_{X, d}^\alpha : \bar{G} \in Y_{\bar{d}}^\perp\} = \rho_d(F, Y_{\bar{d}}^\perp).$$

Let

$$(22) \quad P_{Y_{\bar{d}}^\perp}(F) := \{\bar{G}_0 \in Y_{\bar{d}}^\perp : \|F - \bar{G}_0\|_{X, d}^\alpha = \rho_d(F, Y_{\bar{d}}^\perp)\}$$

and

$$(23) \quad P_{Y_d^\perp}(F) := \{G_0 \in Y_d^\perp : \|F - G_0\|_{X, \bar{d}}^\alpha = \rho_{\bar{d}}(F, Y_d^\perp)\}.$$

The following theorem holds.

THEOREM 4. *If  $F \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X)$  then*

$$(24) \quad P_{Y_d^\perp}(F) = F - \mathcal{E}_d(F|_Y),$$

$$(25) \quad P_{Y_{\bar{d}}^\perp}(F) = F - \mathcal{E}_{\bar{d}}(F|_Y)$$

and

$$(26) \quad \rho_d(F, Y_d^\perp) = \|F|_Y\|_{Y,d}^\alpha,$$

$$(27) \quad \rho_{\bar{d}}(F, Y_{\bar{d}}^\perp) = \|F|_Y\|_{Y,\bar{d}}^\alpha.$$

*Proof.* Let  $F \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X) (= \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X, d) \cap \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X, \bar{d}))$

Then, for every  $\bar{G} \in Y_{\bar{d}}^\perp$ ,

$$\|F|_Y\|_{Y,d}^\alpha = \|F|_Y - \bar{G}|_Y\|_{Y,d}^\alpha \leq \|F - \bar{G}\|_{X,d}^\alpha.$$

Taking the infimum with respect to  $\bar{G} \in Y_{\bar{d}}^\perp$ , one obtains  $\|F|_Y\|_{Y,d}^\alpha \leq \rho_d(F, Y_d^\perp)$ . On the other hand, for every  $H \in \mathcal{E}_d(F|_Y)$ ,

$$\|F|_Y\|_{Y,d}^\alpha = \|H\|_{X,d}^\alpha = \|F - (F - H)\|_{X,d}^\alpha.$$

Because  $F - H \in Y_d^\perp$ , it follows  $\|F|_Y\|_{Y,d}^\alpha \geq \rho_d(F, Y_d^\perp)$ .

Consequently,  $Y_d^\perp$  is proximal with respect to the distance  $\rho_d$  ( $\rho_d$ -proximal in short) and

$$\rho_d(F, Y_d^\perp) = \|F|_Y\|_{Y,d}^\alpha.$$

Now, let  $\bar{G}_0 \in P_{Y_{\bar{d}}^\perp}(F)$ . Then  $(F - \bar{G}_0)|_Y = F|_Y$  and  $\|F - \bar{G}_0\|_{X,d}^\alpha = \|F|_Y\|_{Y,d}^\alpha$ . This means that  $F - \bar{G}_0 \in \mathcal{E}_d(F|_Y)$ , i.e.,  $\bar{G}_0 \in F - \mathcal{E}_d(F|_Y)$ . Consequently,  $\bar{G}_0 \in P_{Y_{\bar{d}}^\perp}(F)$  implies  $\bar{G}_0 \in F - \mathcal{E}_d(F|_Y)$ .

Taking into account the first part of the proof it follows  $P_{Y_{\bar{d}}^\perp}(F) = F - \mathcal{E}_d(F|_Y)$ .

Analogously, one obtains  $\rho_{\bar{d}}(F, Y_{\bar{d}}^\perp) = \|F|_Y\|_{Y,\bar{d}}^\alpha$  and  $P_{Y_d^\perp}(F) = F - \mathcal{E}_{\bar{d}}(F|_Y)$ .  $\square$

By the equalities (22) and (23) it follows.

COROLLARY 5. *Let  $F \in \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X)$  and  $Y \subset X$  such that  $y_0 \in Y$ . Then*

- a)  $\text{card } \mathcal{E}_d(F|_Y) = 1$  iff  $Y_d^\perp$  is  $\rho_d$ -Chebyshevian;
- b)  $\text{card } \mathcal{E}_{\bar{d}}(F|_Y) = 1$  iff  $Y_{\bar{d}}^\perp$  is  $\rho_{\bar{d}}$ -Chebyshevian.

REMARK 6. Observe that the linear space  $\Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X) = \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X, d) \cap \Lambda_{\alpha,0}(X, \bar{d})$  is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$(28) \quad \|F\|_X^\alpha = \max\{\|F\|_{X,d}^\alpha, \|F\|_{X,\bar{d}}^\alpha\}.$$

In fact this space is in the space of all real-valued Lipschitz functions defined on the quasi-metric space  $(X, d^\alpha)$ , vanishing at a fixed point  $y_0 \in X$ . Obviously,

$$(29) \quad \|F\|_X^\alpha = \sup \left\{ \frac{|F(x) - F(y)|}{d^\alpha(x, y)} : d(x, y) > 0; x, y \in X \right\}$$

is a norm on  $\Lambda_{\alpha, 0}(X)$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 7.** *For every element  $f$  in the space  $\Lambda_{\alpha, 0}(Y) = \Lambda_{\alpha, 0}(Y, d) \cap \Lambda_{\alpha, 0}(Y, \bar{d})$  there exists  $F \in \Lambda_{\alpha, 0}(X)$  such that*

$$F|_Y = f \text{ and } \|F\|_X^\alpha = \|f\|_Y^\alpha.$$

The set of all extensions of  $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha, 0}(Y)$  preserving the norm  $\|f\|_Y^\alpha$  (of the form (29)), is denoted by  $\mathcal{E}(f)$ , i.e.,

$$(30) \quad \mathcal{E}(f) := \{F \in \Lambda_{\alpha, 0}(X) : F|_Y = f \text{ and } \|F\|_X^\alpha = \|f\|_Y^\alpha\}.$$

Denote by

$$(31) \quad Y^\perp := \{G \in \Lambda_{\alpha, 0}(X) : G|_Y = 0\}.$$

the annihilator of the set  $Y$  in Banach space  $\Lambda_{\alpha, 0}(X)$ , and one considers the following problem of best approximation:

**P.** For  $F \in \Lambda_{\alpha, 0}(X)$  find  $G_0 \in Y^\perp$  such that

$$\|F - G_0\|_X^\alpha = \inf \{\|F - G\|_X^\alpha : G \in Y^\perp\} = \rho(F, Y^\perp).$$

**COROLLARY 8.** *The subspace  $Y^\perp$  is proximal in  $\Lambda_{\alpha, 0}(X)$  and the set of elements of best approximation for  $F \in \Lambda_{\alpha, 0}(X)$  is*

$$P_{Y^\perp}(F) = F - \mathcal{E}(F|_Y).$$

The distance of  $F$  to  $Y^\perp$  is given by

$$\rho(F, Y^\perp) = \|F|_Y\|_Y^\alpha.$$




The subspace  $Y^\perp$  is Chebyshevian for  $F$  iff  $\text{card } \mathcal{E}(F|_Y) = 1$ .

For  $f$  in the linear space  $\Lambda_{\alpha, 0}(Y)$ , the equalities  $F(f)(x) = \bar{F}(f)(x)$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $G(f)(x) = \bar{G}(f)(x)$ ,  $x \in X$  are verified iff  $\|f\|_{Y, d}^\alpha = \|f\|_{Y, \bar{d}}^\alpha$ . This means that  $\|f\|_{Y, d}^\alpha = \|-f\|_{Y, \bar{d}}^\alpha$  and, consequently,

$$\|f\|_Y^\alpha = \max\{\|f\|_{Y, d}^\alpha; \|f\|_{Y, \bar{d}}^\alpha\} = \|f\|_{Y, d}^\alpha.$$

By Theorem 3 in [14], it follows that  $\Lambda_{\alpha, 0}(Y)$  is a Banach space and  $(Y, d^\alpha)$  is a metric space.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. COBZAȘ, *Phelps type duality results in best approximation*, Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx., **31**, no. 1., pp. 29–43, 2002. 
- [2] J. COLLINS and J. ZIMMER, *An asymmetric Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem*, Topology Appl., **154**, no. 11, pp. 2312–2322, 2007.
- [3] P. FLECTHER and W.F. LINDGREN, *Quasi-Uniform Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1982.
- [4] M.G. KREIN and A.A. NUDEL'MAN, *The Markov Moment Problem and Extremum Problems*, Nauka, Moscow 1973 (in Russian), English translation: American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1977.
- [5] E. MATOUŠKOVA, *Extensions of continuous and Lipschitz functions*, Canad. Math. Bull., **43**, no. 2, pp. 208–217, 2000.
- [6] E.T. MCSHANE, *Extension of range of functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **40**, pp. 837–842, 1934.
- [7] A. MENNUCCI, *On asymmetric distances*, Technical report, Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 2004.
- [8] C. MUSTĂȚA, *Best approximation and unique extension of Lipschitz functions*, J. Approx. Theory, **19**, no. 3, pp. 222–230, 1977.
- [9] C. MUSTĂȚA, *Extension of semi-Lipschitz functions on quasi-metric spaces*, Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx., **30**, no. 1, pp. 61–67, 2001. 
- [10] C. MUSTĂȚA, *A Phelps type theorem for spaces with asymmetric norms*, Bul. Științ. Univ. Baia Mare, Ser. B. Matematică-Informatică, **18**, pp. 275–280, 2002.
- [11] C. MUSTĂȚA, *Extensions of semi-Hölder real valued functions on a quasi-metric space*, Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx., **38**, no. 2, pp. 164–169, 2009. 
- [12] R.R. PHELPS, *Uniqueness of Hahn-Banach extension and unique best approximation*, Trans. Numer. Math. Soc., **95**, pp. 238–255, 1960.
- [13] S. ROMAGUERA and M. SANCHIS, *Semi-Lipschitz functions and best approximation in quasi-metric spaces*, J. Approx. Theory, **103**, pp. 292–301, 2000.
- [14] S. ROMAGUERA and M. SANCHIS, *Properties of the normed cone of semi-Lipschitz functions*, Acta Math. Hungar, **108**, nos. 1–2, pp. 55–70, 2005.
- [15] J.H. WELLS and L.R. WILLIAMS, *Embeddings and Extensions in Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.

Received by the editors: April 13, 2010.