

ON AN ITERATIVE ALGORITHM OF UĹM-TYPE FOR SOLVING EQUATIONS

IOANNIS K. ARGYROS* and SANJAY K. KHATTRI†

Abstract. We provide a semilocal convergence analysis of an iterative algorithm for solving nonlinear operator equations in a Banach space setting. This algorithm is of order $1.839\dots$, and has already been studied in [3, 8, 18, 20]. Using our new idea of recurrent functions we show that a finer analysis is possible with sufficient convergence conditions that can be weaker than before, and under the same computational cost. Numerical examples are also provided in this study.

MSC 2000. 65G99; 65H10; 65B05; 47H17; 49M15.

Keywords. Banach space, iterative algorithm, semilocal convergence, divided difference of operator, Fréchet-derivative.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this study, we are concerned with the problem of approximating a locally unique solution x^* of equation:

$$(1) \quad F(x) = 0,$$

where F is a nonlinear operator defined on an open subset D of a Banach space X with values in a Banach space Y . Many problems in computational mathematics can be written in the form (1) [8, 14, 16]. Potra in [18] used the UĹm-type method [20] (UTM):

$$(2) \quad x_{n+1} = x_n - A_n^{-1}F(x_n) \quad (n \geq 0) \quad (x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0 \in D),$$

where,

$$(3) \quad A_n = [x_n, x_{n-1}; F] + [x_{n-2}, x_n; F] - [x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}; F],$$

to provide a local as well as a semilocal convergence analysis under hypotheses on the first $[\cdot, \cdot; F]$ and second $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot; F]$ order divided differences of operator F .

Here, an operator belonging to the space $L(X, Y)$ (the Banach space of linear and bounded operators from X into Y) is called a divided difference of

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Cameron University, Lawton, Oklahoma 73505-6377, USA, email: iargyros@cameron.edu.

†Department of Engineering, Stord Haugesund University College, Norway, email: sanjay.khattri@hsh.no.

order one for the operator $F : X \rightarrow Y$ on the points $x, y \in X$ if the following properties hold:

$$(4) \quad [x, y; F](y - x) = F(y) - F(x) \quad \text{for } x \neq y;$$

if F is Fréchet-differentiable at $x \in X$, then

$$(5) \quad [x, x; F] = F'(x).$$

An operator belonging to the space $L(X, L(X, Y))$ denoted by $[x, y, z; F]$ is called a divided difference of order two for the operator $F : X \rightarrow Y$ on the points $x, y, z \in X$ if:

$$(6) \quad [x, y, z; F](z - x) = [y, z; F] - [x, y; F],$$

for the distinct points x, y, z if F is twice Fréchet-differentiable at $x \in X$, then

$$(7) \quad [x, x, x; F] = \frac{1}{2}F''(x).$$

Potra showed that the R -order of the method is given by the positive solution of the scalar equations:

$$(8) \quad t^3 - t^2 - t - 1 = 0,$$

which is approximately 1.839... Other methods using divided differences of order can be found in [1-21], and references therein.

Here, we are motivated by optimization considerations, and we show that it is possible to provide under the same computational cost an analysis with the following advantages:

Semilocal case:

- (a) finer error bounds on the distances $\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|, \|x_n - x^*\|$ ($n \geq 0$),
- (b) weaker sufficient convergence conditions and,
- (c) an at least as precise information on the location of the solution x^* .

Local case:

- (a) finer error bounds on the distances involved,
- (b) and at least as large radius of convergence.

The semilocal convergence is provided in §2 followed by local in §3. Numerical examples are also provided in §4.

2. SEMILOCAL CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS FOR (UTM)

We need the following result on majorizing sequence for (UTM).

LEMMA 1. *Let $\alpha, \phi, \gamma, a, b, c, p$, and q be given non-negative constants. Assume:*

$$(9) \quad \phi + \gamma < p + qc,$$

then, the polynomial g given by

$$(10) \quad g(s) = (qc + \alpha + \phi)s^2 + (p + \alpha + \gamma)s + \phi + \gamma - p - qc,$$

has a unique positive root $\delta \in (0, 1)$;

moreover, suppose

$$(11) \quad \alpha(b + c) + \phi(a + c) + \gamma(a + b) < 1;$$

$$(12) \quad \delta_0 \leq \delta;$$

$$(13) \quad f_2(\delta) \leq 0;$$

where,

$$(14) \quad \delta_0 = \frac{pc + qb(a+b)}{1 - [\alpha(b+c) + \phi(a+c) + \gamma(a+b)]},$$

and

$$f_2(s) = psc + q(s+1)s^2 + \alpha[(1+s+s^2)c + (1+s)c + b] \\ + \phi[(1+s+s^2)c + a + b + c] + \gamma[(1+s)c + a + 2b + c] - 1.$$

Then, scalar sequence $\{t_n\}$ ($n \geq -2$) given by

$$t_{-2} = 0, \quad t_{-1} = a, \quad t_0 = a + b, \quad t_1 = a + b + c, \\ t_{n+2} = t_{n+1} + M_{n+1}(t_{n+1} - t_n),$$

where

$$(15) \quad M_{n+1} = \frac{p(t_{n+1}-t_n) + q(t_n-t_{n-2})(t_n-t_{n-1})/\mu_n}{\mu_{n+1}},$$

is non-decreasing, bounded from above by

$$(16) \quad t^{**} = \frac{c}{1-\delta} + a + b,$$

and converges to its unique least upper bound t^* such that $t \in [0, t^{**}]$. Moreover, the following estimates hold for all $n \geq 0$:

$$(17) \quad 0 \leq t_{n+2} - t_{n+1} \leq \delta(t_{n+1} - t_n) \leq \delta^{n+1}c \quad (n \geq 0)$$

and

$$(18) \quad 0 \leq t^* - t_n \leq \frac{\delta^n c}{1-\delta} \quad (n \geq 1),$$

where,

$$(19) \quad \mu_{n+1} = 1 - [\alpha(t_{n+1} + t_n - 2a - b) + \phi(t_{n+1} + t_{n-1} - a - b) \\ + \gamma(t_n + t_{n-1} - a - b - c)].$$

Proof. We shall show using induction that

$$(20) \quad M_{n+1} \leq \delta$$

and

$$(21) \quad \mu_{n+1} < 1,$$

hold for all n . It will then follow that (2.9) also holds. Estimates (20) and (21) hold true for $n = 0$, by (2.3) and (2.4), respectively. Let us assume (17), (20) and (21) hold for all $k \leq n$. It then follows from the induction hypotheses:

$$\begin{aligned} t_{k+2} &\leq t_{k+1} + \delta(t_{k+1} - t_k) \leq t_k + \delta(t_k - t_{k-1}) + \delta(t_{k+1} - t_k) \\ &\leq t_1 + \delta(t_1 - t_0) + \cdots + \delta(t_{k+1} - t_k) \\ &\leq a + b + c + \delta c + \cdots + \delta^{k+1}c \\ &= a + b + \frac{1-\delta^{k+2}}{1-\delta}c < a + b + \frac{c}{1-\delta} = t^{**}. \end{aligned}$$

Estimates (20) and (21) will be true if

$$\begin{aligned} p\delta^k c + q\delta^{k-1}c \left(\delta^{k-1} + \delta^{k-2} \right) c &\leq \delta - \delta [\alpha(t_{k+1} + t_k - t_0 - t_{-1}) \\ &\quad + \phi(t_{k+1} + t_{k-1} - t_0 - t_{-2}) + \gamma(t_k + t_{k-1} - t_{-1} - t_{-2})] \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(22) \quad p\delta^k c + q\delta^{k-1} \left(\delta^{k-1} + \delta^{k-2} \right) c^2 + \delta \left[\alpha \left(\frac{1-\delta^{k+1}}{1-\delta}c + \frac{1-\delta^k}{1-\delta}c + b \right) + \right. \\ \left. + \phi \left(\frac{1-\delta^{k+1}}{1-\delta}c + \frac{1-\delta^{k-1}}{1-\delta} + a + b \right) + \gamma \left(\frac{1-\delta}{1-\delta}c + \frac{1-\delta^{k-1}}{1-\delta}c + a + 2b \right) \right] - \delta \leq 0.$$

Estimate (22) motivates us to introduce functions f_k ($k \geq 2$) on $[0, \infty)$ (for $\delta = s$) by:

$$(23) \quad f_k(s) = pcs^{k-1} + qc^2s^{k-1}(s+1) + \alpha \left[(1+s+\cdots+s^k)c \right. \\ \left. + (1+s+\cdots+s^{k-1})c + b \right] + \phi \left[(1+s+\cdots+s^k)c \right. \\ \left. + (1+s+\cdots+s^{k-2})c + a + b \right] \\ \left. + \gamma \left[(1+s+\cdots+s^{k-1})c + (1+s+\cdots+s^{k-2})c + a + 2b \right] - 1.$$

We shall show instead of (20) and (21) that

$$(24) \quad f_k(\delta) \leq 0.$$

We need a relationship between two consecutive functions f_k :

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{k+1}(s) &= pcs^k + pcs^{k-1} - pcs^{k-1} + qc^2s^k (s+1) \\
&\quad + qc^2s^{k-1} (s+1) - qc^2s^{k-1} (s+1) \\
&\quad + \alpha \left[(1+s+\dots+s^k+s^{k+1})c + (1+s+\dots+s^{k-1}+s^k)c + b \right] \\
&\quad + \phi \left[(1+s+\dots+s^k+s^{k+1})c \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (1+s+\dots+s^{k-2}+s^{k-1})c + a + b \right] \\
&\quad + \gamma \left[(1+s+\dots+s^k+s^{k+1})c \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (1+s+\dots+s^{k-2}+s^{k-1})c + a + 2b \right] - 1 \\
(25) \quad &= f_k(s) + g(s)s^{k-1}c,
\end{aligned}$$

where, function g is given by (10). In view of (10) and (25) we get

$$(26) \quad f_k(\delta) = f_2(\delta) \quad (k \geq 2).$$

Hence, (24) is true if $f_2(\delta) \leq 0$, which is true by (13).

Define function f_∞ on $[0, 1)$ by

$$(27) \quad f_\infty(s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(s).$$

Then, we have by (24) and (27):

$$(28) \quad f_\infty(\infty) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(\delta) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0.$$

The induction for (17), (20) and (21) is completed. Hence, we showed sequence $\{t_n\}$ is non-decreasing, bounded above by t^{**} and as such it converges to t^* . Finally, estimate (18) follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
(29) \quad &0 \leq t_{k+m} - t_n = (t_{k+m} - t_{k+m-1}) \\
&\quad + (t_{k+m-1} - t_{k+m-2}) + \dots + (t_{k+1} - t_k) \\
&\leq \left(\delta^{k+m-1} + \delta^{k+m-2} + \dots + \delta^k \right) c = \frac{1-\delta^{k+m}}{1-\delta} \delta^k c,
\end{aligned}$$

by letting $m \rightarrow \infty$. That completes the proof of the lemma. \square

We can show the main semilocal convergence result for (UTM).

THEOREM 2. *Let F be a nonlinear operator defined on an open subset D of a Banach space X with values in a Banach space Y . Let $[\cdot, \cdot; F]$, $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot; F]$ be divided differences of first and second order of F on D , respectively. Let $x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0 \in D$ be three given points from D , and assume A_0 is invertible. Let*

$a, b, c, p, q, \alpha, \phi, \gamma$ be non-negative numbers such that for all $x, y, z, u, v \in D$:

$$(30) \quad \|x_{-1} - x_0\| \leq a, \quad \|x_{-2} - x_{-1}\| \leq b, \quad \|A_0^{-1}F(x_0)\| \leq c,$$

$$(31) \quad \|A_0^{-1}([x, y; F] - [u, v; F])\| \leq p(\|x - u\| + \|y - v\|),$$

$$(32) \quad \|A_0^{-1}([x, y, z; F] - [u, v, z; F])\| \leq q\|x - u\|,$$

$$(33) \quad \|A_0^{-1}([x, y; F] - [x_0, x_{-1}; F])\| \leq \alpha(\|x - x_0\| + \|y - x_{-1}\|),$$

$$(34) \quad \|A_0^{-1}([x, y; F] - [x_{-2}, x_0; F])\| \leq \phi(\|x - x_{-2}\| + \|y - x_0\|),$$

$$(35) \quad \|A_0^{-1}([x, y; F] - [x_{-2}, x_{-1}; F])\| \leq \gamma(\|x - x_{-2}\| + \|y - x_{-1}\|),$$

hypotheses of Lemma 1 hold and

$$(36) \quad \bar{U}(x_0, t^*) := \{x \in X : \|x - x^*\| \subseteq t^*\} \subseteq D.$$

Then, sequence $\{x_n\}$ ($n \geq -2$) generated by (UTM) is well defined, remains in $\bar{U}(x_0, t^*)$ for all $n \geq 0$ and converges to a solution $x^* \in \bar{U}(x_0, t^*)$ of equation $F(x) = 0$. Moreover, the following estimates hold for all $n \geq 0$:

$$(37) \quad \|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}\| \leq L_{n+1}\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \leq t_{n+2} - t_{n+1},$$

$$(38) \quad \|x_n - x^*\| \leq t^* - t_n,$$

where

$$(39) \quad L_{n+1} = \frac{p\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + q\|x_n - x_{n-2}\| \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|}{d_{n+1}},$$

$$d_{n+1} = 1 - [\alpha(\|x_{n+1} - x_0\| + \|x_n - x_{-1}\|) + \phi(\|x_{n-1} - x_{-2}\| + \|x_{n+1} - x_0\|) + \gamma(\|x_{n-1} - x_{-2}\| + \|x_n - x_{-1}\|)].$$

Furthermore, if there exists $r \geq t^*$ such that

$$(40) \quad U(x_0, r) \subseteq D$$

and

$$(41) \quad \phi(t^* + r) + (\phi + \gamma)(a + b) \leq 1,$$

then, the solution x^* is unique in $U(x_0, r)$.

Proof. We shall show using induction on $k \geq 0$:

$$(42) \quad \|t_{k+1} - t_k\| \leq t_{k+1} - t_k.$$

Estimate (42) holds for $k = -2, -1, 0$ by (14), and (30). We also have have $x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0 \in \bar{U}(x_0, t^*)$. Let us assume (42), and $x_k \in \bar{U}(x_0, t^*)$ hold for all

$n \leq k + 1$. We have using (33)-(35):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|A_0^{-1}(A_{k+1} - A_0)\| = \\
& = \|A_0^{-1}([x_{k+1}, x_k; F] - [x_0, x_{-1}; F]) \\
& \quad + A_0^{-1}([x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}; F] - [x_{-2}, x_0; F]) + A_0^{-1}([x_{k-1}, x_k; F] - [x_{-2}, x_{-1}; F])\| \\
& \leq \alpha(\|x_{k+1} - x_0\| + \|x_k - x_{-1}\|) + \phi(\|x_{k-1} - x_{-2}\| + \|x_{k+1} - x_0\|) \\
& \quad + \gamma(\|x_{k-1} - x_{-2}\| + \|x_k - x_{-1}\|) \\
& \leq \alpha(t_{k+1} + t_k - 2a - b) + \phi(t_{k+1} + t_{k-1} - a - b) + \\
(43) \quad & + \gamma(t_{k+1} + t_{k-1} - a - b - c) < 1 \quad (\text{by(22)}).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (43) and the Banach lemma on invertible operators [8, 14] that A_{k+1}^{-1} exists and

$$\begin{aligned}
(44) \quad & \|A_{k+1}^{-1}A_0\| \leq d_{k+1}^{-1} \\
& \leq \{1 - [\alpha(t_{k+1} + t_k - 2a - b) + \phi(t_{k+1} + t_{k-1} - a - b) \\
& \quad + \gamma(t_{k+1} + t_{k-1} - a - b - c)]\}^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (2), (44), (45), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{k+2} - x_{k+1}\| & = \|(A_{k+1}^{-1}A_0)(A_0^{-1}F(x_{k+1}))\| \\
& \leq \|A_{k+1}^{-1}A_0\| \|A_0^{-1}F(x_{k+1})\| \\
& \leq L_{k+1} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\| \leq t_{k+2} - t_{k+1},
\end{aligned}$$

which shows (37) and (42) for all n . By Lemma 2.1, sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in a Banach space X and as such it converges to some $x^* \in \overline{U}(x_0, t^*)$ (since $\overline{U}(x_0, t^*)$ is a closed set). Estimate (38) follows from (37) by using standard majorization techniques [8, 14, 16].

Using (31), (32) and the induction hypotheses, we obtain in turn

$$\begin{aligned}
(45) \quad & \|A_0^{-1}([x_k, x_{k+1}; F] - A_k)\| = \\
& = \|A_0^{-1}([x_k, x_{k+1}; F] - [x_k, x_k; F] + [x_k, x_k; F] - A_k)\| \\
& = \|A_0^{-1}\{[x_k, x_{k+1}; F] - [x_k, x_k; F] - ([x_k, x_k, x_{k-1}; F] \\
& \quad - [x_{k-2}, x_k, x_{k-1}; F])(x_k - x_{k-1})\}\| \\
& \leq p\|x_{k+1} - x_k\| + q\|x_k - x_{k-2}\|\|x_k - x_{k-1}\| \\
& \leq p(t_{k+1} - t_k) + q(t_k - t_{k-2})(t_k - t_{k-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

We also need the estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{k+2} - x_{k+1}\| &= \|(A_{k+1}^{-1}A_0)(A_0^{-1}F(x_{k+1}))\| \\
&= \|(A_{k+1}^{-1}A_0)A_0^{-1}(F(x_{k+1}) - F(x_k) - A_k(x_{k+1} - x_k))\| \\
(46) \quad &\leq \|A_{k+1}^{-1}A_0\| \|A_0^{-1}([x_k, x_{k+1}; F] - A_k)\| \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|.
\end{aligned}$$

The fact that x^* is a solution of equation $F(x) = 0$ follows by letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A_0^{-1}F(x_{k+1})\| &= \|A_0^{-1}([x_k, x_{k+1}; F] - A_k)(x_{k+1} - x_k)\| \\
(47) \quad &\leq p \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2 + q \|x_k - x_{k-2}\| \|x_k - x_{k-1}\| \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, to show the uniqueness part, let $y^* \in U(x_0, r)$ be a solution of equation $F(x) = 0$. We can write for $L = [y^*, x^*; F]$:

$$(48) \quad F(y^*) - F(x^*) = L(y^* - x^*).$$

We shall show linear operator L is invertible. Using (33)-(35), (40) and (41), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|A_0^{-1}([x_0, x_{-2}; F] + [x_{-1}, x_0; F] - [y^*, x^*; F] - [x_{-2}, x_{-1}; F])\| \leq \\
&\leq \|A_0^{-1}([x_0, x_{-2}; F] - [y^*, x^*; F])\| \\
&\quad + \|A_0^{-1}([x_{-1}, x_0; F] - [x_{-2}, x_{-1}; F])\| \\
&\leq \phi(\|x_0 - y^*\| + \|x_{-2} - x^*\|) + \gamma(\|x_{-1} - x_{-2}\| + \|x_0 - x_{-1}\|) \\
(49) \quad &< \phi(r + t^* + b + a) + \gamma(b + a) \leq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (49) and the Banach lemma on invertible operators, L^{-1} exists. We deduce from (48) that $x^* = y^*$. That completes the proof of the Theorem. \square

REMARK 3. (a) A similar existence Theorem (without a uniqueness result) was provided in [18, p.91] using conditions (30)-(31), a decreasing majorizing sequence, and some different sufficient convergence conditions. Therefore a direct comparison is not possible. However, in §4, we show that the results obtained in Theorem 2.2 can be weaker than the corresponding ones of Theorem 5.1 in [18, p.91].

(b) Note that t^{**} given by (16) can replace t^* in hypotheses (36) and (41) of Theorem 2.2. \square

3. LOCAL CONVERGENCE OF (UTM)

We can show the local convergence result for (UTM).

THEOREM 4. Let $F: D \subseteq X \rightarrow Y$ and let $x^* \in D$ be such that $F'(x^*)^{-1}$ exists. Assume that for all $x, y, u, v, z \in D$:

$$(50) \quad \|F'(x^*)^{-1}([x^*, x^*; F] - [x, x^*; F])\| \leq p_0 \|x^* - x\|,$$

$$(51) \quad \|F'(x^*)^{-1}([z, x^*; F] - [z, x; F])\| \leq p_1 \|x^* - x\|,$$

$$(52) \quad \|F'(x^*)^{-1}([x, x^*, y; F] - [z, x^*, y; F])\| \leq q_0 \|x - z\|,$$

$$(53) \quad \|F'(x^*)^{-1}([u, x, y; F] - [v, x, y; F])\| \leq q_* \|u - v\|$$

and

$$(54) \quad U(x^*, r^*) \subseteq D,$$

where

$$(55) \quad r^* = \frac{2}{p_0 + 2p_1 + \sqrt{(p_0 + 2p_1)^2 + 16(q_0 + q_*)}}.$$

Then, sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (UTM) is well defined, remains in $U(x^*, r^*)$ for all $n \geq 0$ and converges to x^* , provided that $x_0 \in U(x^*, r^*)$. Moreover, the following estimates hold:

$$(56) \quad \|x_{n+1} - x^*\| \leq \frac{e_n}{h_n} \|x_n - x^*\|,$$

where

$$(57) \quad e_n = p_1 \|x_n - x^*\| + q_* \|x_n - x_{n-2}\| \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|$$

and

$$(58) \quad h_n = 1 - (p_0 + p_1) \|x_n - x^*\| - q_0 \|x_n - x_{n-2}\| \|x_{n-1} - x^*\|.$$

Proof. It follows as the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [8, p.87] but uses the needed conditions (50)-(53) instead of:

$$(59) \quad \|F'(x^*)^{-1}([x, y; F] - [u, v; F])\| \leq p_* (\|x - u\| + \|y - v\|)$$

and

$$(60) \quad \|F'(x^*)^{-1}([u, x, y; F] - [v, x, y; F])\| \leq q_* \|u - v\|.$$

□

REMARK 5. (a) Clearly

$$(61) \quad p_0 \leq p_*,$$

$$(62) \quad p_1 \leq p_*,$$

$$(63) \quad q_0 \leq q_*,$$

hold in general, and p_*/p_0 , p_*/p_1 and q_*/q_0 can be arbitrarily large [7, 8]. If equality holds in (61)-(63), then our results reduce to the ones in [18]. Otherwise they constitute an improvement with advantages as noted in the Introduction of this study. (b) The radius of convergence r^* obtained in Theorem 3.1 is smaller in general than the corresponding one of Newton's method. Indeed from the hypotheses (59) it follows that F is Fréchet-differentiable on D and its Fréchet derivative satisfies

$$(64) \quad \|F'(x^*)^{-1}(F'(x) - F'(y))\| \leq 2p_* \|x - y\|$$

and

$$(65) \quad \|F'(x^*)^{-1} (F'(x) - F'(x^*))\| \leq 2p_2 \|x - x^*\|.$$

The radius of convergence r^* is then given for $q_0 = q_* = 0$:

$$(66) \quad r_A^* = \frac{1}{2p_2 + p_*}$$

for

$$(67) \quad p_2 \leq p_*,$$

whereas the one obtained by Theorem 4.1 in [18] is given by

$$(68) \quad r_R^* = \frac{1}{3p_*},$$

found by Rheinboldt in [16]. Note that

$$(69) \quad r_R^* \leq r_A^*.$$

If strict inequality holds in (67), then so does in (69). \square

4. A NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

We provide a numerical example to show that Theorem 2.2 can be used to solve equation (1) but not corresponding Theorem 5.1 in [18]. Let $X = Y = \mathbb{R}^2$ be equipped with the max-norm, $x_0 = (1, 1)^T$, $D = U(x_0, 1 - \lambda)$, $\lambda \in [0, 1/2)$ and define function F on D for $x = (\mu_1, \mu_2)$ by

$$(70) \quad F(x) = (\mu_1^3 - \lambda, \mu_2^3 - \lambda)^T.$$

Using (70) we obtain the Fréchet-derivative

$$(71) \quad F'(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 3\mu_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3\mu_2^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define

$$(72) \quad [x, y; F] = \int_0^1 F'(y + t(x - y)) dt.$$

Let $x_{-2} = (1.02, 1.02)^T$, $x_{-1} = (1.01, 1.01)$, $\lambda = 0.49$. Using (30)-(35) and (72), we get

$$\begin{aligned} a = b = 0.1, \quad c = 0.170011334, \quad \|A_0^{-1}\| = 0.33335557, \\ p = 3 \|A_0^{-1}\| (2 - \lambda), \quad q = 3 \|A_0^{-1}\|, \\ \alpha = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \lambda + a + \|x_0 + x_{-1}\|) \|A_0^{-1}\|, \\ \phi = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \lambda + 2 \|x_0\| + \|x_{-2} - x_0\|) \|A_0^{-1}\|, \\ \gamma = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \lambda + b + \|x_0 + x_{-1}\|) \|A_0^{-1}\|, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$p = 1.510100673, \quad q = 1.000066671, \quad \alpha = \phi = \gamma = 0.42169478.$$

Moreover, using (10)-(13) and (16), we obtain (9), (11) become

$$\begin{aligned} 0.84338956 &< 1.680123342, \\ 0.160253576 &< 1, \end{aligned}$$

respectively,

$$\delta_0 = 0.305966463, \quad \delta = 0.310470973 > \delta_0, \quad f_2(\delta) = -0.310669379 < 0$$

and

$$t^{**} = 0.267566675.$$

That is, the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. Moreover, using Remark 2.3(b) and (41), we see that we can set $r = 1 - \lambda = 0.51$. Hence, there exists a unique solution:

$$x^* = \left(\sqrt[3]{0.49}, \sqrt[3]{0.49} \right)^T = (0.788373516, 0.78373516)^T$$

of equation $F(x) = 0$ in $\bar{U}(x_0, r)$, which can be obtained as the limit of (UTM). However, hypotheses of Theorem 5.1 in [18] do not hold. The sufficient convergence condition corresponding to (11) is given by

$$(73) \quad c \leq \lambda = \frac{1}{3} \frac{p+qa+2\lambda_0}{(p+qa+\lambda_0)^2} [1 - qa(a+b)]^2,$$

where

$$\lambda_0 = \left\{ (p+qa)^2 + 3q(1 - qa(a+b)) \right\}^{1/2}.$$

We have

$$\lambda_0 = 1.520496025, \quad \lambda = 0.08533979$$

and, so (73) is violated, since

$$c = 0.170011334 > \lambda = 0.08533979.$$

Hence, there is no guarantee that (UTM) starting at x_0 converges to x^* .

REFERENCES

- [1] S. AMAT and S. BUSQUIER, *A modified secant method for semismooth equations*, Appl. Math. Lett., **16** (2003) no. 6, pp. 877–881.
- [2] S. AMAT and S. BUSQUIER, *On a higher order Secant method*, Appl. Math. Comput., **141** (2003), pp. 321–329.
- [3] S. AMAT, S. BUSQUIER and V. F. CANDELA, *A class of quasi-Newton generalized Steffensen methods on Banach spaces*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., **149** (2002), no. 2, pp. 397–406.
- [4] S. AMAT, S. BUSQUIER and J. M. GUTIÉRREZ, *On the local convergence of secant-type methods*, Int. J. Comput. Math., **81** (2004), pp. 1153–1161.

- [5] N. ANDERSON and Å. BJÖRCK, *A new high order method of regula falsi type for computing a root of an equation*, BIT, **13** (1973), pp. 253–264.
- [6] I. K. ARGYROS *The secant method in generalized Banach spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput., **39** (1990), pp. 111–121.
- [7] I. K. ARGYROS *A unifying local–semilocal convergence analysis and applications for two-point Newton-like methods in Banach space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **298** (2004), pp. 374–397.
- [8] I. K. ARGYROS, *Newton Methods*, Nova Science Publ. Inc., New York, 2005.
- [9] R. P. BRENT, *Algorithms for Minimization without Derivatives*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1973.
- [10] E. CĂȚINAȘ, *On some iterative methods for solving nonlinear equations*, Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx., **23** (1994), pp. 47–53. [✉](#)
- [11] J. A. EZQUERRO and M. A. HERNÁNDEZ, *Multipoint super-Halley type approximation algorithms in Banach spaces*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim., **21** (2000), no. 7-8, pp. 845–858.
- [12] M. A. HERNÁNDEZ, M. J. RUBIO and J. A. EZQUERRO, *Secant-like methods for solving nonlinear integral equations of the Hammerstein type*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., **115** (2000), pp. 245–254.
- [13] M. A. HERNÁNDEZ and M. J. RUBIO, *Semilocal convergence of the secant method under mild convergence conditions of differentiability*, Comput. Math. Appl., **44** (2002), pp. 277–285.
- [14] L. V. KANTOROVICH and G. P. AKILOV, *Functional Analysis*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1982.
- [15] M. A. MERTVECOVA, *An analog of the process of tangent hyperbolas for general functional equations* (Russian), Dokl. Akad. Navk. SSSR, **88** (1953), pp. 611–614.
- [16] J. M. ORTEGA, and W. C. RHEINBOLDT, *Iterative Solution of Nonlinear Equations in Several Variables*, Academic Press, New York 1970.
- [17] I. PĂVĂLOIU, *A convergence theorem concerning the method of chord*, Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx., **22** (1993), no. 1, pp. 93–95. [✉](#)
- [18] F. A. POTRA, *An iterative algorithm of order 1.839... for solving nonlinear operator equations*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim., **7** (1984–85), no. 1, pp. 75–106.
- [19] W. C. RHEINBOLDT, *An adaptive continuation process for solving systems of nonlinear equations*, Polish Academy of Science, Banach Ctr. Publ., **3** (1977), pp. 129–142.
- [20] S. UĽM, *Iteration methods with divided differences of the second order*, (Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **158** (1964), pp. 55–58, *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, **5**, pp. 1187–1190.
- [21] J. VANDERGRAFT, *Newton’s method for convex operators in partially ordered spaces*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., **4** (1967) pp. 406–432.

Received by the editors: September 12, 2012.