

ITERATES OF MULTIVARIATE CHENEY-SHARMA OPERATORS

TEODORA CĂȚINAȘ AND DIANA OTROCOL

Abstract. Using the weakly Picard operators technique, we study the convergence of the iterates of some bivariate and trivariate Cheney-Sharma operators. Also, we generalize the procedure for the multivariate case.

Keywords: Cheney-Sharma operators, contraction principle, weakly Picard operators.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 41A36, 41A05, 41A25, 39B12, 47H10.

1. PRELIMINARIES

We recall some results regarding weakly Picard operators that will be used in the sequel (see, e.g., [17], [20]).

Let (X, d) be a metric space and $A : X \rightarrow X$ an operator. We denote by

$F_A := \{x \in X \mid A(x) = x\}$ -the fixed point set of A ;

$I(A) := \{Y \subset X \mid A(Y) \subset Y, Y \neq \emptyset\}$ -the family of the nonempty invariant subset of A

$A^0 := 1_X, A^1 := A, \dots, A^{n+1} := A \circ A^n, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 1.1. *The operator $A : X \rightarrow X$ is a Picard operator if there exists $x^* \in X$ such that:*

- (i) $F_A = \{x^*\}$;
- (ii) *the sequence $(A^n(x_0))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to x^* for all $x_0 \in X$.*

Definition 1.2. *The operator A is a weakly Picard operator if the sequence $(A^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges, for all $x \in X$, and the limit (which may depend on x) is a fixed point of A .*

Definition 1.3. *We define the operator $A^\infty, A^\infty : X \rightarrow X$, by*

$$A^\infty(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A^n(x).$$

Theorem 1.4. [17] *An operator A is a weakly Picard operator if and only if there exists a partition of $X, X = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$, such that*

- (a) $X_\lambda \in I(A)$, $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$;
 (b) $A|_{X_\lambda} : X_\lambda \rightarrow X_\lambda$ is a Picard operator, $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$.

2. CHENEY-SHARMA OPERATOR

In [21] there was given an extension to two variables of the second univariate operator of Cheney-Sharma introduced in [5].

Let f be a real-valued function defined on $D = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. The bivariate Cheney-Sharma operator is defined by

$$(S_{m,n}f)(x, y; \beta, b) = \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^n p_{m,i}(x; \beta) q_{n,j}(y; b) f\left(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{n}\right), \quad (1)$$

with

$$p_{m,i}(x; \beta) = \frac{\binom{m}{i} x(x+i\beta)^{i-1} (1-x) [1-x+(m-i)\beta]^{m-i-1}}{(1+m\beta)^{m-1}},$$

and

$$q_{n,j}(y; b) = \frac{\binom{n}{j} y(y+jb)^{j-1} (1-y) [1-y+(n-j)b]^{n-j-1}}{(1+nb)^{n-1}},$$

where β and b are nonnegative parameters.

For a function f defined on $D_1 = [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, the trivariate operator Cheney-Sharma is defined by [22]

$$(S_{m,n,l}f)(x, y, z; \beta, \gamma, \delta) = \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^l p_{m,i}(x; \beta) q_{n,j}(y; \gamma) r_{l,k}(z; \delta) f\left(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{n}, \frac{k}{l}\right), \quad (2)$$

with

$$p_{m,i}(x; \beta) = \frac{\binom{m}{i} x(x+i\beta)^{i-1} (1-x) [1-x+(m-i)\beta]^{m-i-1}}{(1+m\beta)^{m-1}},$$

$$q_{n,j}(y; \gamma) = \frac{\binom{n}{j} y(y+j\gamma)^{j-1} (1-y) [1-y+(n-j)\gamma]^{n-j-1}}{(1+n\gamma)^{n-1}},$$

and

$$r_{l,k}(z; \delta) = \frac{\binom{l}{k} z(z+k\delta)^{k-1} (1-z) [1-z+(l-k)\delta]^{l-k-1}}{(1+l\delta)^{l-1}}$$

where β, γ and δ are nonnegative parameters. This operator represents an extension to three variables of the second univariate operator of Cheney-Sharma [5].

Theorem 2.1. [21] *If f is a real-valued function defined on D then we have*

$$(S_{m,n}e_{ij})(x, y) = x^i y^j, \quad i, j = 0, 1,$$

and therefore, $\text{span}\{e_{00}, e_{10}, e_{01}, e_{11}\} \subset F_{S_{m,n}}$, where $F_{S_{m,n}}$ denotes the fixed points set of $S_{m,n}$.

Theorem 2.2. [22] *If f is a real-valued function defined on D_1 then we have*

$$(S_{m,n,l}e_{ijk})(x, y, z) = x^i y^j z^k, \quad i, j, k \in \{0, 1\},$$

and therefore, $\text{span}\{e_{000}, e_{100}, e_{001}, e_{001}, e_{110}, e_{011}, e_{101}, e_{111}\} \subset F_{S_{m,n,l}}$, where $F_{S_{m,n,l}}$ denotes the fixed points set of $S_{m,n,l}$.

3. ITERATES OF CHENEY-SHARMA OPERATOR

Using the weakly Picard operators technique and the contraction principle, we study the convergence of the iterates of the bivariate Cheney-Sharma operator given in (1).

A similar approach for the univariate case was given in [4]. Some other linear and positive operators lead to similar results in [1], [2], [7], [18] and [19]. The limit behavior for the iterates of some classes of positive linear operators were also studied, for example, in [3], [8]-[16].

Let f be a real-valued function defined on D .

Theorem 3.1. *The operator $S_{m,n}$ is a weakly Picard operator and*

$$\begin{aligned} (S_{m,n}^\infty f)(x, y; \beta, b) = & (1-x)(1-y)f(0,0) + (1-x)yf(1,0) \\ & + x(1-y)f(0,1) + xyf(1,1). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Proof. Taking into account the interpolation properties (Theorem 2.1), of $S_{m,n}$, consider

$$X_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4} = \{f \in C(D) \mid f(0,0) = \alpha_1, f(1,0) = \alpha_2, f(0,1) = \alpha_3, f(1,1) = \alpha_4\}, \quad (4)$$

and denote by

$$f_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4}^*(x, y) := (1-x)(1-y)\alpha_1 + (1-x)y\alpha_2 + x(1-y)\alpha_3 + xy\alpha_4,$$

with $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in \mathbb{R}$.

We have the following properties:

- (i) $X_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4}$ is closed subset of $C(D)$;
- (ii) $X_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4}$ is an invariant subset of $S_{m,n}$, for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in \mathbb{R}$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_+$;
- (iii) $C(D) = \bigcup_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in \mathbb{R}} X_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4}$ is a partition of $C(D)$;
- (iv) $X_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4} \cap F_{S_{m,n}} = \{f_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4}^*\}$.

The statements (i) and (iii) are obvious.

(ii) By interpolation properties of $S_{m,n}$ we have that $X_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4}$ is an invariant subset of $S_{m,n}$, for any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in \mathbb{R}$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_+$;

(iv) We prove that

$$S_{m,n}|_{X_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4}} : X_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4} \rightarrow X_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4}$$

is a contraction for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in \mathbb{R}$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

Let $f, g \in X_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4}$. From (1) and (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |S_{m,n}(f)(x, y) - S_{m,n}(g)(x, y)| = \\ & = |S_{m,n}(f - g)(x, y)| \leq \\ & \leq |p_{m,0}(x; \beta) q_{n,0}(y; b) [f(0, 0) - g(0, 0)]| \\ & \quad + \left| \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n p_{m,i}(x; \beta) q_{n,j}(y; b) [f\left(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{n}\right) - g\left(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{n}\right)] \right| \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n p_{m,i}(x; \beta) q_{n,j}(y; b) |f\left(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{n}\right) - g\left(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{n}\right)| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^m p_{m,i}(x; \beta) \sum_{j=1}^n q_{n,j}(y; b) \|f - g\|_\infty \\ & = \left[\sum_{i=0}^m p_{m,i}(x; \beta) - p_{m,0}(x; \beta) \right] \left[\sum_{j=0}^n q_{n,j}(y; b) - q_{n,0}(y; b) \right] \|f - g\|_\infty \\ & = \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{x}{1+m\beta}\right)^{m-1} \right] \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{y}{1+nb}\right)^{n-1} \right] \|f - g\|_\infty \\ & \leq \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+m\beta}\right)^{m-1} \right] \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+nb}\right)^{n-1} \right] \|f - g\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the Chebyshev norm.

From [2, Lemma 8] it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & |S_{m,n}(f)(x, y) - S_{m,n}(g)(x, y)| = \\ & \leq \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+m\beta}\right)^{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+nb}\right)^{n-1} \right] \|f - g\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S_{m,n}(f)(x, y) - S_{m,n}(g)(x, y)\|_\infty \\ & \leq \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+m\beta}\right)^{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+nb}\right)^{n-1} \right] \|f - g\|_\infty, \quad \forall f, g \in X_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $S_{mn}|_{X_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4}}$ is a contraction for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in \mathbb{R}$.

On the other hand, we have that

$$f_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4}^*(x, y) := (1-x)(1-y)\alpha_1 + (1-x)y\alpha_2 + x(1-y)\alpha_3 + xy\alpha_4$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_{m,n}((1-x)(1-y)\alpha_1 + (1-x)y\alpha_2 + x(1-y)\alpha_3 + xy\alpha_4) &= \\ &= (1-x)(1-y)\alpha_1 + (1-x)y\alpha_2 + x(1-y)\alpha_3 + xy\alpha_4. \end{aligned}$$

From the contraction principle we have that $f_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4}^*$ is the unique fixed point of $S_{m,n}$ in $X_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4}$ and $S_{m,n}|_{X_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4}}$ is a Picard operator, so (3) holds. Consequently, taking into account (ii), by Theorem 1.4 it follows that the operator $S_{m,n}$ is a weakly Picard operator. We remark that $F_{S_{m,n}} = \text{span}\{e_{00}, e_{10}, e_{01}, e_{11}\}$. \square

Next, we study the convergence of the iterates of the trivariate Cheney-Sharma operator given in (2).

Let f be a real-valued function defined on D_1 .

Theorem 3.2. *The operator $S_{m,n,l}$ is a weakly Picard operator and*

$$\begin{aligned} (S_{m,n,l}^\infty f)(x, y, z; \beta, \gamma, \delta) &= \tag{5} \\ &= (1-x)(1-y)(1-z)f(0,0,0) + x(1-y)(1-z)f(1,0,0) \\ &\quad + (1-x)y(1-z)f(0,1,0) + (1-x)(1-y)zf(0,0,1) + xy(1-z)f(1,1,0) \\ &\quad + x(1-y)zf(1,0,1) + (1-x)yzf(0,1,1) + xyzf(1,1,1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof follows the same steps as in Theorem 3.1. Using the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{m,n,l}(f)(x, y, z) - S_{m,n,l}(g)(x, y, z)| &\leq \\ &\leq \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+m\beta}\right)^{m-1}\right] \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+n\gamma}\right)^{n-1}\right] \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+l\delta}\right)^{l-1}\right] \|f - g\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

and further [2, Lemma 8]

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_{m,n,l}(f)(x, y, z) - S_{m,n,l}(g)(x, y, z)\|_\infty &\leq \\ &\leq \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+m\beta}\right)^{m-1}\right] \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+n\gamma}\right)^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+l\delta}\right)^{l-1} \|f - g\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

$\forall f, g \in X_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4}$, we prove that $S_{m,n,l}$ is a contraction. \square

We generalize these results to multivariate case.

Theorem 3.3. *Consider a function $f \in C(D_p)$, with $D_p = [0, 1] \times \dots \times [0, 1]$. The p -variate Cheney-Sharma operator, denoted by S_{i_1, \dots, i_p} ,*

is a weakly Picard operator and

$$\left(S_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^\infty f\right)(x_1, \dots, x_p) = \sum_{\alpha_i \in \{0,1\}, i=\overline{1,p}} s_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^\infty(x_1, \dots, x_p) f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p), \quad (6)$$

where $\alpha_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $i = 1, \dots, p$ and

$$s_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^\infty(x_1, \dots, x_p) = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_p^{\alpha_p} (1 - x_1)^{(1-\alpha_1)} \cdot \dots \cdot (1 - x_p)^{(1-\alpha_p)}.$$

Proof. The proof follows the same steps as in Theorem 3.1. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Agratini, I.A. Rus, *Iterates of a class of discrete linear operators via contraction principle*, Comment. Math. Univ. Caroline, **44**(2003), 555-563.
- [2] O. Agratini, I.A. Rus, *Iterates of some bivariate approximation process via weakly Picard operators*, Nonlinear Analysis Forum, **8**(2)(2003), 159-168.
- [3] F. Altomare, M. Campiti, *Korovkin-type Approximation Theory and its Applications*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 17, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1994.
- [4] A.M. Bica, *On iterates of Cheney-Sharma operator*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl., **11**(2009), No. 2, 271-273.
- [5] E.W. Cheney, A. Sharma, *On a generalization of Bernstein polynomials*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma, **5**(1964), 77-84.
- [6] G. Coman, T. Căținaș, *Interpolation operators on a triangle with one curved side*, BIT Numerical Mathematics, **50**(2010), No. 2, 243-267.
- [7] T. Căținaș, D. Otrocol, *Iterates of Bernstein type operators on a square with one curved side via contraction principle*, Fixed Point Theory, to appear.
- [8] I. Gavrea, M. Ivan, *The iterates of positive linear operators preserving the affine functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **372**(2010), 366-368.
- [9] I. Gavrea, M. Ivan, *The iterates of positive linear operators preserving the constants*, Appl. Math. Lett., **24**(2011), No. 12, 2068-2071.
- [10] I. Gavrea, M. Ivan, *On the iterates of positive linear operators*, J. Approximation Theory, **163**(2011), No. 9, 1076-1079.
- [11] H. Gonska, D. Kacsó, P. Pițul, *The degree of convergence of over-iterated positive linear operators*, J. Appl. Funct. Anal., **1**(2006), 403-423.
- [12] H. Gonska, P. Pițul, I. Rașa *Over-iterates of Bernstein-Stancu operators*, Calcolo, **44**(2007), 117-125.
- [13] H. Gonska, I. Rașa *The limiting semigroup of the Bernstein iterates: degree of convergence*, Acta Math. Hungar., **111**(2006), No. 1-2, 119-130.
- [14] S. Karlin, Z. Ziegler, *Iteration of positive approximation operators*, J. Approximation Theory **3**(1970), 310-339.
- [15] R.P. Kelisky, T.J. Rivlin, *Iterates of Bernstein polynomials*, Pacific J. Math., **21**(1967), 511-520.
- [16] I. Rașa, *Asymptotic behaviour of iterates of positive linear operators*, Jaen J. Approx., **1** (2009), no. 2, 195204.
- [17] I.A. Rus, *Generalized contractions and applications*, Cluj Univ. Press, 2001.
- [18] I.A. Rus, *Iterates of Stancu operators, via contraction principle*, Stud. Univ. Babeș-Bolyai Math., **47**(2002), No. 4, 101-104.

- [19] I.A. Rus, *Iterates of Bernstein operators, via contraction principle*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **292**(2004), 259-261.
- [20] I.A. Rus, A. Petruşel, M.A. Şerban, *Weakly Picard operators: Weakly Picard operators, equivalent definitions, applications and open problems*, Fixed Point Theory, **7** (2006), 3-22.
- [21] D.D. Stancu, L.A. Căbulea, D. Pop, *Approximation of bivariate functions by means of the operator $S_{m,n}^{\alpha,\beta;a,b}$* , Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., **47**(2002), No. 4, 105-113.
- [22] I. Taşcu, *On the approximation of trivariate functions by means of some tensor-product positive linear operators*, Facta Universitatis (Nis), Ser. Math. Inform., **21** (2006), 23-28.