

NOTE ON AN ABSTRACT CONTINUATION THEOREM

RADU PRECUP*

Received: December 15, 1992

AMS subject classification: 47H10, 47H11, 54H25

REZUMAT. -Notă asupra unei teoreme abstracte de continuare. În această notă se arată că teorema de transversalitate topologică a lui Granas și proprietatea de invarianță la omotopie a indexului de punct fix, pot fi deduse dintr-o teoremă abstractă de continuare demonstrată în [6], [8]. Aceste aplicații ca și aplicația privind proprietatea de omotopie a aplicațiilor zero-epi în sensul lui Furi-Martelli-Vignoli [9] arată că teorema noastră de continuare permite o abordare unitară a unor principii de bază ale analizei neliniare. În context, teorema de transversalitate topologică a lui Granas pentru aplicații cu valori într-o submulțime închisă și convexă a unui spațiu Banach E , este extinsă la cazul aplicațiilor cu valori într-un retract al lui E .

1. Introduction. In this note our general continuation theorem given in [6], [8] is used in order to derive two useful principles in nonlinear analysis, namely, the topological transversality theorem of A. Granas [2] and the homotopy invariance property of the fixed point index. These applications, together with that in [9] concerning the homotopy property of zero-epi maps in the sense of Furi-Martelli-Vignoli, show that our continuation theorem permits an unified approach to some basic principles in nonlinear analysis. In context, Granas transversality theorem for maps with values into a closed convex subset of a Banach space E is extended to maps with values into a retract of E .

For other consequences of the abstract continuation theorem, several applications and related topics we send to [3], [4], [5] and [7].

*"Babeș-Bolyai" University, Faculty of Mathematics, 3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

2. **The abstract continuation theorem.** Let X be a normal topological space, A a proper closed subset of X , Y a set, B a proper subset of Y ,

$$H: [0,1] \times X \rightarrow Y$$

a map and let d be a certain function which is defined at least on the following family of subsets of X :

$$\{H(a(\cdot), \cdot)^{-1}(B); a \in C(X; [0,1]) \text{ constant on } A\} \cup \{\emptyset\}.$$

The nature of the values of d is not important.

THEOREM 1. *Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $\text{cl}(\cup\{H(t, \cdot)^{-1}(B); t \in [0,1]\}) \cap A = \emptyset$;
- (ii) the map $F = H(0, \cdot)$ satisfies

$$d(H(a(\cdot), \cdot)^{-1}(B)) = d(F^{-1}(B)) * d(\emptyset) \tag{1}$$

for any function $a \in C(X; [0,1])$ constant on A and such that

$$H(a(\cdot), \cdot)|_A = F|_A.$$

Then there exists at least one $x \in X \setminus A$ solution to $H(1, x) \in B$.

Moreover, $F = H(1, \cdot)$ also satisfies condition (1) and

$$d(H(1, \cdot)^{-1}(B)) = d(H(0, \cdot)^{-1}(B)). \tag{2}$$

The proof of the first part was given in [6]. For the last part, formula (2), we use the same argument as in the proof of the second part of Theorem 1 in [8].

The meaning of Theorem 1 is that property (1), which is stronger than $F^{-1}(B) * \emptyset$, is invariable to homotopy. In applications, Theorem 1 ensures the solvability of the inclusion $H(1, x) \in B$ when it is known that $H(0, \cdot)$ satisfies (1).

A map f in the class of all maps of the form $H(a(\cdot), \cdot)$, where $a \in C(X; [0,1])$ is constant on A , is said to be d -essential

if it satisfies condition (1). Therefore, Theorem 1 says that the d -essentiality property is invariable to homotopy.

3. Applications. Let E be a real Banach space and K a retract of E (this means that there is a continuous map $R: E \rightarrow K$ such that $R(x) = x$ on K). All topological notions referring to subsets of K will be understood with respect to the topology induced on K .

Let U be an open bounded subset of K and $h: [0,1] \times \bar{U} \rightarrow K$ be compact.

a) A first application depends upon the concept of **fixed point index**. For a compact map $f: \bar{U} \rightarrow K$ such that $\text{Fix}(f) \cap \partial U = \emptyset$ the fixed point index is the integer number

$D_{LS}(I - fR, R^{-1}(U), 0)$ where D_{LS} is the Leray-Schauder degree. We shall denote it by $i(f, U, K)$ (see [1, pp 238]).

COROLLARY 1 (Leray-Schauder). Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $h(t, x) \neq x$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ and $x \in \partial U$;
- (ii) $i(h(0, \cdot), U, K) \neq 0$.

Then there exists at least one fixed point of $h(1, \cdot)$ in U . Moreover,

$$i(h(1, \cdot), U, K) = i(h(0, \cdot), U, K).$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 1 to: $X = \bar{U}$, $A = \partial U$, $Y = E$, $B = \{0\}$, $H(t, x) = x - h(t, x)$, $d(\phi) = 0$,

$$d(H(a(\cdot), \cdot)^{-1}(B)) = i(h(a(\cdot), \cdot), U, K).$$

In this case, condition (1) is satisfied and its equality part expresses just the boundary value dependence of the degree.

Remark. Condition (ii) in Corollary 1 clearly holds if $h(0,x) = x_0$ for all $x \in \bar{U}$ (recall $x_0 \in U$).

b) The next application depends upon the concept of **essential map**. A compact map $f: \bar{U} \rightarrow K$ is said to be admissible if it is fixed point free on ∂U . An admissible map is essential if each admissible extension g of $f|_{\partial U}$ has at least one fixed point in U .

COROLLARY 2 (Granas). *Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $h(t,x) \neq x$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ and all $x \in \partial U$;
- (ii) $h(0, \cdot)$ is essential.

Then there exists at least one fixed point of $h(1, \cdot)$ in U . Moreover, the map $h(1, \cdot)$ is essential too.

Proof. The conclusion follows from Theorem 1 if for each admissible extension g of $h(1, \cdot)|_{\partial U}$ (in particular for $g = h(1, \cdot)$) we set: $X = \bar{U}$, $A = \partial U$, $Y = E$, $B = \{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} H(t,x) &= x - h(2t,x) && \text{for } t \in [0,1/2] \\ &= x - 2(1-t)h(1,x) - (2t-1)g(x) && \text{for } t \in [1/2,1] \end{aligned}$$

and $d(\phi) = 0$, $d(C) = 1$ for $C \neq \phi$.

Remark 2. Condition (ii) in Corollary 2 also holds for $h(0,x) = x_0$, $x \in \bar{U}$. This follows by Schauder's fixed point theorem.

Remark 3. Recall that every closed convex subset is a retract and that every retract is closed but not necessarily convex; for instance, $\partial B_1(0)$ is a retract of E if $\dim E = \infty$.

Remark 4. There are examples of compact maps having null index but which are essential. Here is one from [10]: Let E be

a real Hilbert space, U a bounded open subset of E with $0 \in U$ and let $f: \bar{U} \rightarrow E$ be compact such that $f(x) \neq x$ on ∂U and

$$(f(x), x) \geq (x, x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \partial U.$$

If E is infinite dimensional, one has

$$D_{LS}(I - f, U, 0) = 0$$

and f is essential. Therefore, such a map can stand for $h(0, \cdot)$ in Corollary 2, but not in Corollary 1 if E is infinite dimensional.

Remark 5. The main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1 is Urysohn's extension theorem in normal (T_4) topological spaces. Using the extension argument in a way adequate to the separation properties, we are able to prove Theorem 1 even for more general T_n spaces ($n < 4$); in particular, for Hausdorff locally convex spaces.

Remark 6. Note that the properties in Corollary 1 and Corollary 2 can be derived from Theorem 1 even for more general maps.

R E F E R E N C E S

1. K.Deimling, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
2. A.Granas, *Homotopy extension theorem in Banach spaces and some of its applications to the theory of non-linear equations*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 7(1959), 387-394.
3. R.Precup, *Topological transversality, perturbation theorems and second order differential equations*, Preprint Nr. 3(1989), "Babeş-Bolyai" Univ., Fac. of Math., 149-164.
4. R.Precup, *Generalized topological transversality and mappings of monotone type*, Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai 35(1990), 44-50.
5. R.Precup, *Generalized topological transversality and existence theorems*, Libertas Mathematica 11(1991), 65-79.
6. R.Precup, *Sur un principe topologique*, Preprint Nr. 6(1991), "Babeş-Bolyai" Univ., Fac. of Math., 163-168.
7. R.Precup, *Topological transversality and boundary problems for second order functional differential equations*, in *Differential Equations and Control Theory* (V.Barbu ed.), Longman Scientific & Technical, 1991, 283-288.
8. R.Precup, *On the topological transversality principle*, *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods & Applications*, 20(1993), in print.

RADU PRECUP

9. R.Precup, *On a topological principle II*, Preprint Nr. 6(1992), "Babeş Bolyai" Univ., Fac. of Math., in print.
10. V.Lakshmikantham, Yong Sun, *A theorem on the existence of two fixed points*, Journal of Mathematical and Physical Sciences 25(1991), 281-286.