

A MEAN THEOREM CONCERNING THE BEHAVIOUR  
OF SOME NONLINEAR FUNCTIONALS

by

RADU PRECUP

(Cluj-Napoca)

1. In the paper [3] Tiberiu Popoviciu has proved the following mean theorem concerning the behaviour of some linear functionals, in relation to the convexity of order  $n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ ):

THEOREM A([3]). If the linear functional  $F$ , defined on  $C[a,b]$ , satisfies the conditions: 1)  $F(1)=F(x)=\dots=F(x^n)=0$ ; 2)  $F(f) \geq 0$ , for every function  $f \in C[a,b]$ , convex of order  $n$ ; then for each  $f \in C[a,b]$ , there exist  $n+2$  distinct points  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n+2}$  in  $[a,b]$ , such that  $F(f)=K[\xi_1, \xi_2; \dots, \xi_{n+2}; f]$ , where  $K$  is a positive number not depending on  $f$ .

In [5] we have said that a real function  $f$ , defined on an interval, is strong  $(P_0, P_{n+1}, P_{n+2})$  - quasi-convex ( $n \geq 0$ ), if it satisfies the inequality:

$$(1) \quad 0 < \max \left\{ -[x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n+3}; f], [x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{n+3}; f] \right\},$$

for any system of distinct points  $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{n+3}$  in its domain of definition and for every integers  $i$  and  $k$  such that  $1 \leq k < i \leq n+3$ ,  $i+k \geq 2$ . For  $n=0$ , the strong  $(P_0, P_1, P_2)$  - quasi-convexity coincides with the usual strict quasi-convexity.

In this paper, an analogous of theorem A, concerning the behaviour of some nonlinear functionals, in relation to the strong

$(P_0, P_{n+1}, P_{n+2})$  - quasi-convexity, will be given.

Let  $F$  be a functional, defined on  $C[a,b]$ , which is assumed to satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $F(\lambda f) = \lambda F(f)$ , for every  $f \in C[a,b]$  and  $\lambda > 0$ ;
- (ii)  $F(\lambda f) = |\lambda| F(f)$ , for every  $f \in P_{n+1}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (iii)  $F(f+g) \leq F(f) + F(g)$ ; if  $f \in P_{n+1}$  or  $g \in P_{n+1}$ ;
- (iv)  $F(f) > 0$ , for every strong  $(P_0, P_{n+1}, P_{n+2})$ -quasi-convex function:  $f \in C[a,b]$ .

**THEOREM 1.** If the functional  $F$ , defined on  $C[a,b]$ , satisfies the conditions (i) - (iv), then for each function  $f \in C[a,b]$  for which  $F(f) < 0$ , there exist  $n+2$  distinct points  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n+2}$  in  $[a,b]$ , such that

$$(2) \quad F(f) = K [\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n+2}; f],$$

where  $K$  is a positive number not depending on  $f$ .

Theorem A was generalized in abstract linear spaces by Elena Popoviciu [2] and M. Ivan [1]. Theorem 1 is also a particular case of a mean theorem that, in the following section, will be formulated and proved as part of the theory of the interpolation in abstract linear spaces.

2. Let  $X$  be a real linear space,  $S_0 \subsetneq S_1 \subsetneq S_2$  three linear subspaces of  $X$ ,  $S_1$  a maximal proper subspace of  $S_2$  and  $\tilde{S}_0$  a maximal proper subspace of  $S_1$ , with  $S_0 \subset \tilde{S}_0$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_1$  and  $\mathcal{U}_2$  be two sets of linear interpolating operators relative to  $S_1$ , respectively to  $S_2$ , that is: if  $U_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1$ , then  $U_1 : X \rightarrow S_1$  and  $U_1 x = x$  for any  $x \in S_1$  and if  $U_2 \in \mathcal{U}_2$ , then  $U_2 : X \rightarrow S_2$  and  $U_2 x = x$  for any  $x \in S_2$ . If we fix  $y_1 \in S_1 \setminus \tilde{S}_0$  and  $y_2 \in S_2 \setminus S_1$ , then we can associate [1] to each operator  $U_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1$ , the divided

difference functional  $[U_1 ; \cdot] : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which satisfies:  $[U_1 ; U_1 x] = [U_1 ; x]$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $[U_1 ; x] = 0$  for any  $x \in \tilde{S}_0$  and  $[U_1 ; y_1] = 1$  and to each operator  $U_2 \in \mathcal{U}_2$ , the divided difference  $[U_2 ; \cdot] : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $[U_2 ; U_2 x] = [U_2 ; x]$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $[U_2 ; x] = 0$  for any  $x \in S_1$  and  $[U_2 ; y_2] = 1$ . Obviously, the divided differences of all operators of  $\mathcal{U}_1 (\mathcal{U}_2)$  take the same value on each element of  $S_1$  (respectively,  $S_2$ ). In the sequel,  $S_1^+$  denotes the set  $\{x \in S_1; [U_1 ; x] > 0 \text{ for any } U_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1\}$ ;  $S_2^+$  denotes the set  $\{x \in S_2; [U_2 ; x] > 0 \text{ for any } U_2 \in \mathcal{U}_2\}$  and, in the set of all operators from  $X$  into  $X$ , the notation  $U' \triangleleft U$  stands for  $UU' = U'U = U'$ .

We say that the triplet  $(U_1^*, U_1, U_1^*)$  is a decomposition of the operator  $U_2 \in \mathcal{U}_2$ , if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$1^{\circ} \quad U_1^*, U_1, U_1^* \in \mathcal{U}_1, \quad U_1^*, U_1, U_1^* \triangleleft U_2;$$

2<sup>o</sup> there exists  $y \in S_2^+$  such that

$$(3) \quad [U_1^* ; y] < [U_1 ; y] < [U_1^* ; y].$$

In [5] we have proved that if  $(U_1^*, U_1, U_1^*)$  is a decomposition of an operator  $U_2$ , then (3) holds for every  $y \in S_2^+$ .

We say that the element  $x \in X$  is strong  $(S_0, S_1, S_2)$  - quasi-convex, respectively strong  $(S_0, S_1, S_2)$  - quasi-concave, if for each decomposition  $(U_1^*, U_1, U_1^*)$  of some operator from  $\mathcal{U}_2$ , the inequality

$$(4) \quad A(x) = \max \{-[U_1^* ; x], [U_1^* ; x]\} > 0,$$

respectively

$$(5) \quad B(x) = \min \{-[U_1^* ; x], [U_1^* ; x]\} < 0,$$

holds.

Denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  the sets of the functionals admitting the representation from (4), respectively from (5). We can easily

see that if  $F \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $F$  satisfies the following conditions:

$$(6) \quad F(\lambda x) = \lambda F(x), \text{ for every } x \in X \text{ and } \lambda > 0;$$

$$(7) \quad F(\lambda x) = |\lambda| F(x), \text{ for every } x \in S_1 \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbb{R};$$

$$(8) \quad F(x+y) \leq F(x) + F(y), \text{ if } x \in S_1 \text{ or } y \in S_1;$$

(9)  $F(x) > 0$ , for each strong  $(S_0, S_1, S_2)$ -quasi-convex element  $x \in X$ ;  
while if  $F \in \mathcal{B}$ , then (6), (7) and also the following relations:

$$(10) \quad F(x+y) \geq F(x) + F(y), \text{ if } x \in S_1 \text{ or } y \in S_1;$$

(11)  $F(x) < 0$ , for each strong  $(S_0, S_1, S_2)$ -quasi-concave element  $x \in X$ ,  
hold.

The following assertion is a trite remark: if  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  
for certain element  $x \in X$  one has  $A(x) < 0$ , then there exists a  
functional  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $B(x) < 0$  too; but, it is a remarkable  
fact that the same conclusion is true even if in the place of  $A$   
stands an arbitrary functional  $F$  satisfying the conditions (6)-(9):

THEOREM 2. If the functional  $F$ , defined on  $X$ , satisfies  
the relations (6)-(9), then for each element  $x \in X$  for which  
 $F(x) < 0$ , there exists a functional  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $B(x) < 0$ .

Proof. Let  $s \in S_1^+$  be a fixed element and  $k > 0$ , the common  
value on  $s$  of the divided differences associated to the operators  
of  $\mathcal{U}_1$ . Since  $s$  is strong  $(S_0, S_1, S_2)$ -quasi-convex, by (9) we have  
 $F(s) > 0$ .

If we put  $y = F(s)x - F(x)s$  and we take into account the  
properties (6)-(8), we obtain :

$$F(y) = F(F(s)x - F(x)s) \leq F(F(s)x) + F(-F(x)s) =$$

$$= F(s)F(x) + |F(x)| F(s) = 0;$$

which shows that  $y$  is not strong  $(S_0, S_1, S_2)$ -quasi-convex.

Consequently, there exists an operator  $U_2 \in \mathcal{U}_2$  and a decomposition  
 $(U_1', U_1, U_1'')$  of  $U_2$ , such that  $\max\{-[U_1'; y], [U_1''; y]\} \leq 0$ , that  
is  $[U_1'; y] \geq 0$  and  $[U_1''; y] \leq 0$ . Therefore,  
 $F(s)[U_1'; x] - F(x)[U_1'; s] \geq 0$  and  $F(s)[U_1''; x] - F(x)[U_1''; s] \leq 0$ .

Hence, we deduce that  $\frac{F(s)}{k} [U_1''; x] \leq F(x) \leq \frac{F(s)}{k} [U_1'; x]$  and  
lastly that  $\frac{F(s)}{k} \min\{-[U_1'; x], [U_1''; x]\} \leq F(x) < 0$ .

Since  $F(s)/k > 0$ , we see that the functional we have looking  
for is  $B(z) = \min\{-[U_1'; z], [U_1''; z]\}$  ( $z \in X$ ) .

In what follows we will give the abstract version of theorem 1.  
To formulate it we need a continuity type property in linear spaces.

DEFINITION 1. We say that the element  $x \in X$  has the property  
(C), if for every decomposition  $(U_1', U_1, U_1'')$  of some operator of  $\mathcal{U}_2$   
and for each number  $\lambda$  lying between the numbers  $[U_1'; x]$  and  $[U_1''; x]$ ,  
there is at least one operator  $\bar{U}_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1$  such that  $[\bar{U}_1; x] = \lambda$ .

It is evident that if an element  $x \in X$  has the property (C),  
then for every  $s \in S_1$ , the element  $x + s$  also has this property.

THEOREM 3. If the functional  $F$ , defined on  $X$ , satisfies the  
conditions (6) - (9), then for each element  $x \in X$  having the pro-  
perty (C) and satisfying the inequality  $F(x) < 0$ , there exists an  
operator  $V_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1$  such that

$$(12) \quad F(x) = K [V_1; x],$$

where  $K$  is a positive number not depending on  $x$ .

Proof. Let us come back at the proof of theorem 2, more pre-  
cisely at the step where we have deduced the inequalities  $[U_1'; y] \geq 0$   
and  $[U_1''; y] \leq 0$ . If  $[U_1; y] = 0$  or  $[U_1', y] = 0$  or  $[U_1'', y] = 0$ , then

the required operator  $V_1$  is  $U_1$ ,  $U'_1$ , respectively  $U''_1$ . Otherwise, one has  $[U_1; y] \neq 0$ ,  $[U''_1; y] < 0 < [U'_1; y]$  and since  $y$ , as  $x$ , has the property (C), there is at least one operator  $V_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1$ , such that  $[V_1; y] = 0$  and therefore, that (i2) be fulfilled.

REMARKS. 1° In order to obtain theorem 1 from theorem 3, let us consider  $X = C[a,b]$ ,  $S_0 = \mathcal{P}_0$ ,  $S_1 = \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ ,  $S_2 = \mathcal{P}_{n+2}$ ,  $\tilde{S}_0 = \mathcal{P}_n$ , where  $\mathcal{P}_k$  ( $k \geq 0$ ) denotes the set of the polynomials by degree  $\leq k$ ; let  $\mathcal{U}_1$  and  $\mathcal{U}_2$  be the sets of all Lagrange interpolating operators on  $n+2$ , respectively on  $n+3$ , distinct points in  $[a,b]$ .

The divided differences associated with the operators of  $\mathcal{U}_1$  and  $\mathcal{U}_2$ , are the ordinary ones on  $n+2$ , respectively  $n+3$ , distinct points in  $[a,b]$ .

As follows from [5, theorem 3], the strong  $(\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_{n+1}, \mathcal{P}_{n+2})$  - quasi-convex elements of  $C[a,b]$ , are precisely those that satisfy the inequalities (1) and by [5, lemma 3] we can state that each function  $f \in C[a,b]$  has the property (C). To justify this assertion, let us consider the Lagrange interpolating operator  $U_2 = L(\mathcal{P}_{n+2}; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+3}; \cdot) \in \mathcal{U}_2$  and its decomposition [5]:

$$U'_1 = L(\mathcal{P}_{n+1}; x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n+3}; \cdot),$$

$$U_1 = L(\mathcal{P}_{n+1}; x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_{n+3}; \cdot),$$

$$U''_1 = L(\mathcal{P}_{n+1}; x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{n+3}; \cdot), \text{ where}$$

$a \leq x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{n+3} \leq b$ , and  $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n+3$ . Define the function  $D : [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which assigns to each  $t \in [0,1]$ , the divided difference of the function  $f$ , on the points contained by the vector  $(1-t)(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n+3}) + t(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{n+3})$ . We can easily see that the function  $D$  is continuous on  $[0,1]$  and since  $D(0) = [U'_1; f]$  and

$D(1) = [U''_1; f]$ , it follows that for each  $\lambda$  lying between  $[U'_1; f]$  and  $[U''_1; f]$ , there is at least one  $t \in [0,1]$  such that  $D(t) = \lambda$ ; this shows that  $f$  has the property (C).

2° The assumption that  $F(f) < 0$ , is essential in order that the conclusion of theorem 1 be true. Indeed, if the function  $f$  is concave of order  $n$ , then  $F(f) > 0$ , while all divided differences of  $f$  on  $n+2$  distinct points, are negative. So, (2) is not possible.

3° Other functionals than those of  $\mathcal{A}$ , which satisfy (6) - (9), can be given by using linear positive operators  $L : X \rightarrow X$ , preserving the strong  $(S_0, S_1, S_2)$  - quasi-convexity property and satisfying  $L(S_1) \subset S_1$ . Indeed, if  $L$  is such an operator, then the functional  $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $F(x) = \max \{-[U'_1; Lx], [U''_1; Lx]\}$  ( $x \in X$ ), where  $U'_1$  and  $U''_1$  arise from a decomposition  $(U'_1, U_1, U''_1)$  of some operator of  $\mathcal{U}_2$ , satisfies (6) - (9).

REFERENCES

- [1] Ivan, M., Différences divisées généralisées et fonctionnelles de forme simple, *Anal.Numer.Théor.Approx.*, 9,1, 55-58 (1980).
- [2] Popoviciu, E., Teoreme de medie din analiza matematică și legătura lor cu teoria interpolării, Ed.Dacia, Cluj 1972.
- [3] Popoviciu, T., Notes sur les fonctions convexes d'ordre supérieur (IX), *Bull.Math.de la Soc.Roumaine des Sci.*, 43, 1 - 2, 85 - 141 (1941).
- [4] Precup, R., Sur une notion de quasi-convexité dans des espaces abstraits, Itinerant Seminar on Functional Equations, Approx. and Convexity, Cluj-Napoca, 1984, 143 - 150 .
- [5] Precup, R., Quasi-convexity in linear spaces, Itinerant Seminar on Functional Equations, Approx. and Convexity, Cluj-Napoca, 1985, 159 - 164.
- [6] Precup, R., Proprietăți de slură și unele aplicații ale lor (Dissertation), Cluj-Napoca, 1985.