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Goal of the lecture-series:
Consider an operator (of fixed point type) equation

$$
T u=u
$$

in a Banach space $X$. One says that the equation has a variational form if it is equivalent (has the same solutions) to an equation of the form

$$
E^{\prime}(u)=0,
$$

where $E: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a $C^{1}$-functional and $E^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is its Fréchet derivative. Hence the solutions of the operator equation are the critical points of the functional $E$. The task is to find critical points of extremum and saddle points and to localize such points in bounded sets, for instance in balls.

The basic results are the abstract Fermat's Theorem (for extremum points), Ekeland's Variational Principle and the Ambrossetti-Rabinowitz MountainPass Theorem (for saddle points) and their localization versions due to Schechter. We shall explain the role for the localization of critical points of the Leray-Schauder boundary condition from the fixed point theory. Applications are given to elliptic problems.

## 1 The Fréchet Derivative and Fermat's Theorem

Let $(X,|\cdot|)$ be a real Banach space, $U \subset X$ an open set, $E: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a functional and $u \in U$ a given point.

Definition 1.1 (a) The derivative of $E$ in direction $v \in X$ at $u$ is defined as

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{-1}(E(u+t v)-E(u))
$$

if this limit exists.
(b) $E$ is said to be Gateaux differentiable at $u$ if there exists an $E^{\prime}(u) \in$ $X^{*}$ such that

$$
\left(E^{\prime}(u), v\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{-1}(E(u+t v)-E(u))
$$

for all $v \in X$. The element $E^{\prime}(u)$ is called the Gateaux derivative of $E$ at $u$.
(c) $E$ is said to be Fréchet differentiable at $u$ if there exists an $E^{\prime}(u) \in$ $X^{*}$ such that

$$
E(u-v)-E(u)=\left(E^{\prime}(u), v\right)+\omega(u, v)
$$

and

$$
\omega(u, v)=o(|v|) \text {, i.e., } \frac{\omega(u, v)}{|v|} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } v \rightarrow 0 .
$$

The element $E^{\prime}(u)$ is called the Fréchet derivative of $E$ at $u$.
Proposition 1.2 (a) (Exercise) If $E$ is Fréchet differentiable at $u$, then $E$ is Gateaux differentiable at $u$, the two derivatives coincide and $E$ is continuous at $u$.
(b) If $E$ is Gâteaux differentiable in an open neighborhood $V$ of $u$ and $E^{\prime}: V \rightarrow X^{*}$ is continuous at $u$, then $E$ is Fréchet differentiable at $u$.

Proof. (b) Let $r \in(0,1]$ be such that $B_{r}(u) \subset V$. Since $E^{\prime}: V \rightarrow X^{*}$ is continuous at $u$, for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a $\delta \in(0, r]$ with

$$
\left|E^{\prime}(u+t v)-E^{\prime}(u)\right|<\varepsilon \text { for }|v|<\delta,|t| \leq 1 .
$$

On the other hand, for each $v \in B_{r}(0)$ the function

$$
t \in(0,1] \mapsto\left(E^{\prime}(u+t v), v\right)
$$

is the derivative of the function

$$
g(t)=E(u+t v) \quad(t \in[0,1]) .
$$

Consequently

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left(E^{\prime}(u+t v), v\right) d t=g(1)-g(0)=E(u+v)-E(u) .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(u+v)-E(u)-\left(E^{\prime}(u), v\right)=\int_{0}^{1}\left(E^{\prime}(u+t v)-E^{\prime}(u), v\right) d t \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\omega(u, v)=\int_{0}^{1}\left(E^{\prime}(u+t v)-E^{\prime}(u), v\right) d t .
$$

For all $v \in B_{\delta}(0)$, one has

$$
\left|\omega(u, v) \leq \int_{0}^{1}\right| E^{\prime}(u+t v)-E^{\prime}(u)| | v|d t<\varepsilon| v \mid
$$

Hence $\omega(u, v)=o(|v|)$ as $v \rightarrow 0$. Finally (1) shows that $E^{\prime}(u)$ is the Fréchet derivative of $E$ at $u$.

We say that $E \in C^{1}(U)$ if $E$ is Fréchet differentiable in $U$ and its Fréchet derivative $E^{t}: U \rightarrow X^{*}$ is continuous, equivalently, if $E$ is Gateaux differentiable in $U$ and its Gâteaux differentiable derivative $E^{\prime}: U \rightarrow X^{*}$ is continuous. We say that $E \in C^{1}(\bar{U})$ if $E \in C^{1}(U)$ and $E, E^{\prime}$ can be extended continuously to $\bar{U}$.

Example 1.3 (Exercise) Let $X=\mathbf{R}^{N}, \Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{N}$ open, $E: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. If $E$ is differentiable in a neighborhood of a point $x \in \Omega$ in the classical sense and its partial derivatives $\partial E / \partial x_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, N$ are continuous at $x$, then $E$ is Fréchet differentiable at $x$ and its Fréchet derivative coincides with its gradient, i.e., $E^{\prime}(x)=\nabla E(x)$.

Example 1.4 (Exercise) Let $X$ be a Hilbert space and

$$
E(u)=\frac{1}{2}|u|^{2} ; \quad u \in X .
$$

Then $E \in C^{1}(X)$ and

$$
\left(E^{\prime}(u), v\right)=(u, v), \quad v \in X
$$

Example 1.5 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{N}$ be a bounded open set, $p \in(1, \infty), q$ the conjugate exponent of $p$, and $F: \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a function with $F(x, 0)=0$ on $\Omega$. Assume that $F(., z)$ is measurable for all $z \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $F(x,$.$) is continuously$ differentiable for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Let $f: \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ be defined as

$$
f(x, .)=\nabla F(x, .) \quad(f \text { is of potential type })
$$

and assume that $f$ is $(p, q)$-Caratheodory. Then the functional $E: L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ $\rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ given by

$$
E(u)=\int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) d x
$$

belongs to $C^{1}\left(L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
E^{\prime}=N_{f}, \quad \text { i.e., } \\
\left(E^{t}(u), v\right)=\int_{\Omega}(f(x, u(x)), v(x)) d x, \quad v \in L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathbf{R}^{n}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. For $u, v \in L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ define the measurable function $\theta_{u, v}: \Omega \rightarrow[0,1]$, by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{u, v}(x)= & \inf \{t \in[0,1]: F(x, v(x)+u(x))-F(x, v(x))= \\
& (u(x), f(x, v(x)+t u(x)))\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $F(x, 0)=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|E(u)| & \leq \int_{\Omega}|F(x, u(x))| d x \leq \int_{\Omega}|u(x)|\left|f\left(x, \theta_{u, 0}(x) u(x)\right)\right| d x \\
& \leq|u|_{L^{p}}\left|N_{f}\left(\theta_{u, 0} u\right)\right|_{L^{q}}<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $E$ is well defined. On the other hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(u+v)-E(u)= & \int_{\Sigma_{2}}\left(v(x), N_{f}\left(u+\theta_{u, v} v\right)\right) d x=\int_{\Omega}\left(v(x), N_{f}(u)(x)\right) d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left(v(x), N_{f}\left(u+\theta_{u, v} v\right)(x)-N_{f}(u)(x)\right) d x \\
= & \left(N_{f}(u), v\right)+\omega(u, v)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\omega(u, v)=\int_{\Omega}\left(v(x), N_{f}\left(u+\theta_{u, v} v\right)(x)-N_{f}(u)(x)\right) d x
$$

One has

$$
|\omega(u, v)| \leq|v|_{L^{p}}\left|N_{f}\left(u+\theta_{u, v} v\right)-N_{f}(u)\right|_{L^{q}},
$$

whence, using the continuity of the superposition operator,

$$
\frac{\omega(u, v)}{|v|_{L^{p}}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad v \rightarrow 0
$$

Thus $E^{\prime}(u)=N_{f}(u)$.

Example 1.6 (Exercise) If $f, F$ are as in Example 5 with $p \in\left(1,2^{*}\right]$ and $E: H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega, \mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$,

$$
E(u)=\frac{1}{2}|u|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) d x,
$$

then

$$
E^{\prime}(u)=u-(-\Delta)^{-1} N_{f}(u) .
$$

Hence the weak solutions of the Dirichlet problem $-\Delta u=f(x, u)$ in $\Omega ; u=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ are the critical points of the above functional.

Theorem 1.7 (Fermat) Let $E: D \subset X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be any functional. If $u_{0} \in$ int $D$ is a point of local extremum of $E$ and $E$ is Fréchet differentiable at $u_{0}$, then $E^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=0$.

Proof. Assume that $u_{0}$ is a point of local minimum, i.e., $E\left(u_{0}\right) \leq E(u)$ for all $u$ in a neighborhood of $u_{0}$. Since $E$ is differentiable at $u_{0}$, one has

$$
0 \leq E\left(u_{0}+v\right)-E\left(u_{0}\right)=\left(E^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right), v\right)+\omega\left(u_{0}, v\right)
$$

and

$$
\frac{\omega\left(u_{0}, v\right)}{|v|} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } v \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Setting $v=t w$, where $t>0$ and $w \in X,|w|=1$, dividing by $t$ and then letting $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$we obtain

$$
\left(E^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right), w\right) \geq 0 .
$$

Similarly, replacing $w$ by $-w$, we obtain

$$
\left(E^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right), w\right) \leq 0
$$

for all $w \in X,|w|=1$. As a result $E^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=0$.
Proposition 1.8 Let $X$ be a reflexive Banach space, $D \subset X$ a closed convex set and $E: D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a weakly l.s.c. functional on $D$. If either $D$ is bounded or $E$ is coercive, then $E$ is bounded from below and attains its infimum.

Corollary 1.9 If $X$ is a reflexive Banach space and $E: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is coercive, weakly l.s.c. on $X$ and Fréchet differentiable in $X$, then there exists $u_{0} \in X$ with

$$
E\left(u_{0}\right)=\inf _{X} E, \quad E^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=0 .
$$

Exercise 1.10 (a) If the function $F$ from Example 6 is concave in its second variable, then $E$ is strictly convex.
(b) Give a sufficient condition on the growth of $F$ such that $E$ is cocrcive.

Hint. (b) $|F(x, z)| \leq a|z|^{2}+b(x)$ for sufficiently small $a>0$. Use Poincaré's inequality.

## 2 Ekeland's Variational Principle

Theorem 2.1 (Ekeland) Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and let $E$ : $X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a lower semicontinuous function bounded from below. Then given $\varepsilon>0$ and $u_{0} \in X$, there exists a point $u \in X$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
E(v)-E(u)+\varepsilon d(u, v) \geq 0 \text { for all } v \in X,  \tag{2}\\
E(u) \leq E\left(u_{0}\right)-\varepsilon d\left(u, u_{0}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Corollary 2.2 Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, for each $\varepsilon>0$, there exists an element $u \in X$ such that (2) holds and

$$
E(u) \leq \inf _{X} E+\varepsilon .
$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 2.1 to an element $u_{0} \in X$ with $E\left(u_{0}\right) \leq \inf _{X} E+\varepsilon$.

Corollary 2.3 Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, if $X$ is a Banach space with norm $|$.$| , and E$ is a $C^{1}$ functional, there exists a sequence ( $u_{k}$ ) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow \inf _{X} E \quad \text { and } \quad E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{k}$, by Corollary 2.2 there is an element $u_{k}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
E(v)-E\left(u_{k}\right)+\frac{1}{k}\left|u_{k}-v\right| & \geq 0, \quad v \in X  \tag{5}\\
E\left(u_{k}\right) & \leq \inf _{X} E+\frac{1}{k} .
\end{align*}
$$

Take any $w \in X$ and let $v=u_{k}+t w, t \in \mathbf{R}$. It follows that

$$
E\left(u_{k}+t w\right)-E\left(u_{k}\right)+\frac{1}{k}|t||w| \geq 0
$$

Then for $|t|$ small enough,

$$
t\left(E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), w\right)+o(|t|)+\frac{1}{k}|t||w| \geq 0
$$

For $t>0, t \rightarrow 0^{+}$, we deduce

$$
\left(E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right): w\right) \geq-\frac{1}{k}|w|
$$

while for $t<0, t \rightarrow 0^{-}$, we obtain

$$
\left(E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), w\right) \leq \frac{1}{k}|w| .
$$

Hence $\left|\left(E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), w\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{k}|w|$. Therefore $\left|E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{k}$.

Definition 2.4 We say that functional E satisfies the Palais-Smale (compactness) condition if any sequence satisfying (4) has a convergent subsequence.

Corollary 2.5 Under the assumptions of Corollary 2.3, if in addition $E$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition, then there is a point $u \in X$ with

$$
E(u)=\inf _{X} E \quad \text { and } \quad E^{\prime}(u)=0 .
$$

Theorem 2.6 (Schechter's first theorem) If $X$ is a Hilbert space with inner product (.,.) and norm $||,. R>0$ and $E: \bar{B}_{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a $C^{1}$ functional bounded from bellow with $\left(E^{\prime}(u), u\right) \geq a>-\infty$ for every $u \in X,|u|=R$, then there exists a sequence ( $u_{k}$ ) such that either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{k}\right|<R, \quad E\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow \inf _{X} E \quad \text { and } \quad E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|u_{k}\right| & =R, \quad\left(E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), u_{k}\right) \rightarrow b \leq 0,  \tag{7}\\
E\left(u_{k}\right) & \rightarrow \inf _{X} E \quad \text { and } \quad E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)-\frac{\left(E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), u_{k}\right)}{R^{2}} u_{k} \rightarrow 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. As in the proof of the previous Corollary, there exists a sequence ( $u_{k}$ ) satisfying (5). If for a given $k,\left|u_{k}\right|<R$, then the reasoning which follows in the proof of Corollary 2.3 remains true. Hence if at least for a subsequence $\left|u_{k}\right|<R$, we are done. Assume that $\left|u_{k}\right|=R$ for all $k$ (except eventually a finite number).
(a) We first prove that (passing eventually to a subsequence) $\left(E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), u_{k}\right)$ $\rightarrow b \leq 0$. To this end take $v=(1-t) u_{k}$ with $0<t<1$ and apply ( 5 ). We obtain

$$
-t\left(E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), u_{k}\right)+w\left(u_{k},-t u_{k}\right)+\frac{t}{k}\left|u_{k}\right| \geq 0
$$

equivalently

$$
-\left(E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), u_{k}\right)+\frac{\omega\left(u_{k},-t u_{k}\right)}{t}+\frac{1}{k} R \geq 0 .
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$we derive

$$
\left(E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), u_{k}\right) \leq \frac{1}{k} R
$$

whence the conclusion (recall the assumption $\left(E^{\prime}(u), u\right) \geq a>-\infty$ for every $u \in X,|u|=R)$.
(b) Next we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), w\right) \geq-\frac{1}{k} \text { for every } w \in X \text { with }|w|=1 \text { and }\left(u_{k}, w\right) \leq 0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Apply (5) with $v=u_{k}+t w$, where $w \in X,|w|=1,\left(u_{k} ; w\right) \leq 0$ and $t>0$. We need $|v| \leq R$, i.e., $\left|u_{k}+t w\right|^{2} \leq R^{2}$. This gives $R^{2}+2 t\left(u_{k}, w\right)+t^{2} \leq R^{2}$, that is $2 t\left(u_{k}, w\right)+t^{2} \leq 0$. This is truc for $t \in\left(0,-2\left(u_{k}, w\right)\right]$. Now (5) implies

$$
t\left(E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), w\right)+w\left(u_{k}, t w\right)+\frac{t}{k} \geq 0
$$

or equivalently

$$
\left(E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), w\right)+\frac{\omega\left(u_{k}, t w\right)}{t}+\frac{1}{k} \geq 0 .
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$we obtain the desired conclusion.
(c) Finally we prove that $\bar{w}_{k}:=E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)-\frac{\left(E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), u_{k}\right)}{R^{2}} u_{k} \rightarrow 0$. We apply (8) with $w=-\frac{\bar{w}_{k}}{\mid \bar{w}_{k}}$. It is easy to check that $\left(u_{k}, \bar{w}_{k}\right)=0$. Hence $\left(E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), w\right) \geq$ $-\frac{1}{k}$. This gives

$$
\left|\bar{w}_{k}\right|^{2}=\left|E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{\left(E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), u_{k}\right)^{2}}{R^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{k}\left|\bar{w}_{k}\right| .
$$

Thus $\left|\bar{w}_{k}\right| \leq \frac{1}{k}$ and so $\bar{w}_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as desired.
Definition 2.7 We say that functional E satisfies the Palais-Smale-Schechter (compactness) condition in $\bar{B}_{R}$ if any sequence satisfying (6) or (7) has a convergent subsequence.

Corollary 2.8 Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.6, if in addition E satisfies the Palais-Smale-Schechter condition and the Leray-Schauder boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(u)+\mu u \neq 0 \text { for }|u|=R \text { and } \mu>0, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there is a point $u \in \bar{B}_{R}$ with

$$
E(u)=\inf _{\bar{B}_{R}} E \quad \text { and } \quad E^{\prime}(u)=0 .
$$

Proof of Ekeland's Theorem. We may assume that $\varepsilon=1$. For $u \in X$ consider the set

$$
X(u)=\{v \in X: E(v)-E(u)+d(u, v) \leq 0\} .
$$

Onc has $u \in X(u)$ and if $v \in X(u)$, then $X(v) \subset X(u)$. Let $\varepsilon_{k}>0, \varepsilon_{k} \rightarrow 0$ and let $u_{k}$ be such that

$$
u_{k+1} \in X\left(u_{k}\right), \quad E\left(u_{k+1}\right) \leq \inf _{X\left(u_{k}\right)} E+\varepsilon_{k+1} .
$$

Since $u_{k+1} \in X\left(u_{k}\right)$ we have

$$
E\left(u_{k+1}\right)-E\left(u_{k}\right)+d\left(u_{k+1}, u_{k}\right) \leq 0 .
$$

Hence the sequence $E\left(u_{k}\right)$ is decreasing. It is also bounded since $E$ is bounded from below. Thus it converges. On the other hand, from the last inequality we deduce that

$$
E\left(u_{m}\right)-E\left(u_{k}\right)+d\left(u_{m}, u_{k}\right) \leq 0 \text { for } k<m .
$$

It follows that the sequence $\left(u_{k}\right)$ is Cauchy. Let $u$ be its limit. From $u_{k} \in$ $X\left(u_{0}\right)$ we obtain

$$
E\left(u_{k}\right)-E\left(u_{0}\right)+d\left(u_{k}, u_{0}\right) \leq 0,
$$

whence for $k \rightarrow \infty$ we derive (3). To prove (2) take any $v \in X$. Two cases are possible: (a) $v \in \cap X\left(u_{k}\right)$. Then

$$
E\left(u_{k+1}\right) \leq \inf _{X\left(u_{k}\right)} E+\varepsilon_{k+1} \leq E(v)+\varepsilon_{k+1} \quad \text { for all } k .
$$

Using the lower semicontinuity of $E$ we deduce $E(u) \leq E(v)$ and so (2). (b) $v \notin \cap X\left(u_{k}\right)$. Then $v \not \ddagger X\left(u_{k}\right)$ for cvery $k \geq m$ and some $m$. Consequently

$$
E(v)-E\left(u_{k}\right)+d\left(v, u_{k}\right)>0, \quad k \geq m .
$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain (2).

## 3 The Mountain Pass Theorem

Theorem 3.1 (Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz) Let $X$ be a Banach space and $E \in C^{1}(X)$. Assume that there exist $u_{0}, u_{1} \in X$ and $r>0$ with $\left|u_{0}\right|<r<$ $\left|u_{1}\right|$ such that

$$
\max \left\{E\left(u_{0}\right), E\left(u_{1}\right)\right\}<\inf \{E(u): u \in X,|u|=r\} .
$$

Let

$$
\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C([0,1], X): \gamma(0)=u_{0}, \gamma(1)=u_{1}\right\}
$$

and

$$
c=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} E(\gamma(t)) .
$$

Then there exists a sequence of elements $u_{k} \in X$ with

$$
E\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow c, \quad E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

We note that (Exercise) if $v \in X^{*}$, then as a simple consequence of the definition of the norm of $v$, for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $u \in X$ with

$$
|u| \leq 1 \quad \text { and } \quad(v, u)>|v|-\varepsilon .
$$

The next Lemma guarantees that if $v$ depends continuously on a parameter $t$, then the corresponding element $u$ can be chosen so that it depends continuously on $t$ as well.

Lemma 3.2 Let $X$ be a Bunach space and $f \in C\left([0,1], X^{*}\right)$. Then for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a function $\varphi \in C([0,1], X)$ such that

$$
|\varphi(t)| \leq 1, \quad(f(t), \varphi(t))>|f(t)|-\varepsilon
$$

for all $t \in[0,1]$.
Proof. Let $t_{0} \in[0,1]$. According to the above remark there is $u_{0} \in X$ with $\left|u_{0}\right| \leq 1$ and $\left(f\left(t_{0}\right), u_{0}\right)>\left|f\left(t_{0}\right)\right|-\varepsilon$. Let

$$
U\left(t_{0}\right)=\left\{t \in[0,1]:\left(f(t), u_{0}\right)>|f(t)|-\varepsilon\right\} .
$$

Clearly $t_{0} \in U\left(t_{0}\right)$ and $U\left(t_{0}\right)$ is open in [0, 1]. Since [0, 1] $=\cup_{t \in[0,1} U(t)$, there is a finite open covering of $[0,1]: U\left(t_{1}\right), U\left(t_{2}\right), \ldots, U\left(t_{n}\right)$. Let $u_{i}, i=$ $1,2, \ldots, n$ be the corresponding elements, i.e.,

$$
U\left(t_{i}\right)=\left\{t \in[0,1]:\left(f(t), u_{i}\right)>|f(t)|-\varepsilon\right\} .
$$

Let $\rho_{i}(t)=\operatorname{dist}\left(t,[0,1] \backslash U\left(t_{i}\right)\right)$ and $\zeta_{i}(t)=\rho_{i}(t) / \sum_{j=1}^{n} \rho_{j}(t)$. Notice that $\zeta_{i}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ is continuous, $\zeta_{i}(t) \neq 0$ if and only if $t \in U\left(t_{i}\right)$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{n}$ $\zeta_{i}(t)=1$ for all $t$. Finally the desired function is

$$
\varphi(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \zeta_{i}(t) u_{i} .
$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Apply Corollary 2.2 to the metric space $\Gamma$ and to the functional $\psi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$,

$$
\psi(\gamma)=\max _{t \in[0,1]} E(\gamma(t))
$$

The functional is lower semicontinuous and bounded from below by $c$. It follows that for every natural number $k \geq 1$, there exists a $\gamma_{k} \in \Gamma$ with

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi(\eta)-\psi\left(\gamma_{k}\right)+\frac{1}{k} d\left(\eta, \gamma_{k}\right) \geq 0, \quad \eta \in \Gamma  \tag{10}\\
c \leq \psi\left(\gamma_{k}\right) \leq \inf _{\Gamma} \psi+\frac{1}{k}=c+\frac{1}{k} \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let

$$
\Lambda_{k}=\left\{t \in[0,1]: E\left(\gamma_{k}(t)\right)=\psi\left(\gamma_{k}\right)\right\}
$$

For concluding the proof it is sufficient that there exists a $t_{k} \in \Lambda_{k}$ with $\left|E^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{k}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right|<\frac{2}{k}$. To this end we apply the above Lemma to the function

$$
f(t)=E^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{k}(t)\right) .
$$

Hence there exists a function $\varphi \in C(0,1], X)$ with $|\varphi(t)| \leq 1$ and

$$
\left(E^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{k}(t)\right), \varphi(t)\right)>\left|E^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{k}(t)\right)\right|-\frac{1}{k} \quad \text { on }[0,1]
$$

In (10) take $\eta=\gamma_{k}-\lambda w$ with $\lambda>0$ and

$$
w(t)=\zeta(t) \varphi(t),
$$

where $\zeta:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ is continuous, $\zeta(t)=1$ on $\Lambda_{k}$ and $\zeta(0)=\zeta(1)=0$. We have $d\left(\eta, \gamma_{k}\right)=\lambda|w| \leq \lambda$,

$$
\psi(\eta)=\max _{t \in[0,1]} E(\eta(t))=E\left(\eta\left(t_{\lambda}\right)\right)
$$

for some $t_{\lambda} \in[0,1]$. Hence

$$
E\left(\eta\left(t_{\lambda}\right)\right)-\max _{t \in[0,1]} E\left(\gamma_{k}(t)\right)+\frac{\lambda}{k} \geq 0
$$

Since

$$
E\left(\eta\left(t_{\lambda}\right)\right)-E\left(\gamma_{k}\left(t_{\lambda}\right)\right)=-\lambda\left(E^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{k}\left(t_{\lambda}\right)\right), w\left(t_{\lambda}\right)\right)+o(\lambda)
$$

we deduce that

$$
-\left(E^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{k}\left(t_{\lambda}\right)\right), w\left(t_{\lambda}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{\lambda} o(\lambda) \geq 0 .
$$

We may assume that $t_{\lambda} \rightarrow t_{k} \in \Lambda_{k}$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$
-\left(E^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{k}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), w\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{k} \geq 0
$$

Thus

$$
\left|E^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{k}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right|-\frac{1}{k}<\left(E^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{k}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), w\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \leq \frac{1}{k},
$$

whence $\left|E^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{k}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right|<\frac{2}{k}$.
The analogue of Theorem 2.4 for critical points of mountain pass type is the following result that we state without proof.

Theorem 3.3 (Schechter's second theorem) Let $X$ be a Hilbert space, $R>0$ and $E: \bar{B}_{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a $C^{1}$ functional with $\left(E^{\prime}(u), u\right) \geq-a>-\infty$ for every $u \in X,|u|=R$. Assume that there exist $u_{0}, u_{1} \in \bar{B}_{R}$ and $r>0$ with $\left|u_{0}\right|<r<\left|u_{1}\right|$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{E\left(u_{0}\right), E\left(u_{1}\right)\right\}<\inf \left\{E(u): u \in \bar{B}_{R},|u|=r\right\} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\Gamma_{R}=\left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1], \bar{B}_{R}\right): \gamma(0)=u_{0}, \gamma(1)=u_{1}\right\}
$$

and

$$
c_{R}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} E(\gamma(t)) .
$$

Then there exists a sequence of elements $u_{k} \in \bar{B}_{R}$ with

$$
E\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow c_{R}, \quad E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u_{k}\right| & =R, \quad\left(E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), u_{k}\right) \rightarrow b \leq 0, \\
E\left(u_{k}\right) & \rightarrow c_{R} \quad \text { and } \quad E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)-\frac{\left(E^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), u_{k}\right)}{R^{2}} u_{k} \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 3.4 (i) Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, if in addition $E$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition, then there is a point $u \in X \backslash\left\{u_{0}, u_{1}\right\}$ with

$$
E(u)=c \quad \text { and } \quad E^{\prime}(u)=0 .
$$

(ii) Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.3, if in addition E satisfies the Palais-Smale-Schechter condition and the Leray-Schauder boundary condition

$$
E^{\prime}(u)+\mu u \neq 0 \text { for }|u|=R \text { and } \mu>0,
$$

then there is a point $u \in \bar{B}_{R}$ with

$$
E(u)=c_{R} \quad \text { and } \quad E^{\prime}(u)=0 .
$$

## 4 Applications to Elliptic Problems

Consider the elliptic problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u=f(u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{13}\\ u>0 & \text { in } \Omega \\ u=0 & \text { on } \Omega .\end{cases}
$$

Here $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}(n \geq 3)$ is a bounded open set and $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{+}$is a continuous function.

The energy functional is $E: H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, E(u)=\int_{\Omega 2}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}-F(u)\right) d x$ with $E^{\prime}(u)=u-(-\Delta)^{-1} N_{f}(u)$.

Proposition 4.1 If there are $a, b \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$and $p \in\left[1,2^{*}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\tau) \leq a|\tau|^{p-1}+b \quad \text { for all } \tau \in \mathbf{R}, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $E$ satisfies the Palais-Smale-Schechter condition in any ball $\bar{B}_{R}$.
Proof. The operator $N_{f}$ sends bounded sets from $L^{p}(\Omega)$ into bounded sets of $L^{q}(\Omega)\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1\right)$. Also the embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \subset L^{p}(\Omega)$ is compact since $p<2^{*}$. It follows that the operator $(-\Delta)^{-1} N_{j}$ is compact from $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ to itself.

Proposition 4.2 Under the same assumption, the functional $E$ is bounded from below on any ball $\bar{B}_{R}$.

Proof. One has $\left.F(\tau) \leq \frac{a}{p}|\tau|^{p}+b \right\rvert\, \tau$. Hence

$$
E(u) \geq-\int_{\Omega} F(u) d x \geq-\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{a}{p}|u|^{p}+b|u|\right) d x
$$

Let $c_{1}, c_{2}$ be the embedding constants for $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \subset L^{p}(\Omega)$ and $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \subset$ $L^{1}(\Omega)$, i.e., $|u|_{L^{p}} \leq c_{1}|u|_{H_{0}^{1}}$ and $|u|_{L^{1}} \leq c_{1}|u|_{H_{0}^{1}}$ for all $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Then

$$
E(u) \geq-\frac{a}{p} c_{1}^{p} R^{p}-b c_{2} R>-\infty
$$

Proposition 4.3 Under the same assumption, if $\underline{p} \in[1,2)$, then the LeraySchauder boundary condition holds for any ball $\bar{B}_{R}$ of a sufficiently large radius $R$.

Proof. Assume the contrary. Then $E^{\prime}(u)+\mu u=0$, or equivalently $(-\Delta)^{-1} N_{f}(u)=(1+\mu) u$, for some $|u|_{H_{0}^{1}}=R$ and $\mu>0$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{2} & =|u|_{I_{0}^{1}}^{2}=\frac{1}{1+\mu}\left(N_{f}(u), u\right) \leq \frac{1}{1+\mu}|f(u)|_{H^{-1}}|u|_{H_{0}^{1}} \\
& \leq \frac{c R}{1+\mu}|f(u)|_{L^{q}} \leq \frac{c R}{1+\mu}\left(a|u|_{L^{p}}^{p-1}+\widetilde{b}\right) \leq c R\left(a c_{1}^{p-1} R^{p-1}+\widetilde{b}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\widetilde{b}=b|1|_{L^{4}}$. This is a contradiction provided that $R>0$ is chosen large enough that $c\left(a c_{1}^{p-1} R^{p-1}+\tilde{b}\right)<R$.

Theorem 4.4 If $f$ satisfies condition (14) for some $p \in[1,2)$, then in any ball $\bar{B}_{R}$ of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ of a sufficiently large radius, problem (13) has a solution minimizing the energy functional in $\bar{B}_{R}$.

Proof. Use Corollary 2.8.
Theorem 4.5 Assume that $f$ satisfies condition (14) for some $p \in[1,2)$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\tau) \geq c \tau^{\alpha} \quad \text { for } 0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_{0} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and some $c>0$. In addition assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \sup _{\tau \rightarrow 0^{-}} \frac{f(\tau)}{\tau}<\lambda_{1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that for some $\alpha>1$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}-\frac{c}{\alpha+1} \tau_{0}^{\alpha-1} \int_{(\phi \leq 1)} \phi(x)^{\alpha+1} d x \leq 0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\lambda_{1}$ and $\phi$ are the first eigenvalue and the corresponding positive eigenfunction of the Dirichlet problem for $-\Delta$.

Then there exist $r$ (small enough) and $R$ (large enough) such that the mountain pass condition (12) holds. As a result, problem (13) has at least two solutions.

Proof. Fix any number $\beta \in\left(2,2^{*}\right]$ and choose a $d$ with

$$
\frac{1}{2} \lim \sup _{\tau \rightarrow 0^{-}} \frac{f(\tau)}{\tau}<d<\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}
$$

From (14) and (16) we find that there exists a constant $c_{d}>0$ with

$$
F(\tau) \leq d \tau^{2}+c_{d} \tau^{\beta} \quad \text { for all } \tau \in \mathbf{R}_{+} .
$$

Then, for every $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(u) & =\frac{1}{2}|u|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}-\int_{\Omega} F(u) d x \geq \frac{1}{2}|u|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}-\int_{(u \geq 0)} F(u) d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}|u|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}-\int_{(u \geq 0)}\left(d u^{2}+c_{i} u^{\beta}\right) d x \geq \frac{1}{2}|u|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}-\int_{\Omega}\left(d u^{2}+c_{d}|u|^{\beta}\right) d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}|u|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}-\frac{d}{\lambda_{1}}|u|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}-c_{d} c_{3}^{\beta}|u|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{\beta}=|u|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{\lambda_{1}}-c_{d} c_{3}^{\beta}|u|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{\beta-2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $c_{3}$ is the embedding constant for $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \subset L^{\beta}(\Omega)$. Since $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{\lambda_{1}}>0$ and $\beta>2$, we can find a small enough $r \in\left(0, \tau_{0}\right)$ such that $E(u) \geq \gamma>0$ for all $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ with $|u|_{H_{0}^{1}}=r$ and some $\gamma>0$.

Let $u_{0}=0$ and $u_{1}=\tau_{0} \phi$. Clearly $E(0)=0$. From (15) we have

$$
F(\tau) \geq \frac{c}{\alpha+1} \tau^{\alpha+1} \text { for } 0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_{0}
$$

This together with (17) gives

$$
E\left(\tau_{0} \phi\right)=\frac{\tau_{0}^{2}}{2}-\int_{\Omega} F\left(\tau_{0} \phi\right) d x \leq \frac{\tau_{0}^{2}}{2}-\frac{c}{\alpha+1} \tau_{0}^{\alpha+1} \int_{(\phi \leq 1)} \phi(x)^{\alpha+1} d x \leq 0
$$

Hence $\max \left\{E\left(u_{0}\right), E\left(u_{1}\right)\right\} \leq 0$ and thus (12) holds. Therefore Theorem 3.3 applies.

Example 4.6 Let $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{+}$be defined by

$$
f(\tau)= \begin{cases}f(-\tau) & \text { for } \tau<0 \\ c \tau^{2} & \text { for } 0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_{0} \\ a \sqrt{\tau-\tau_{0}}+c \tau_{0}^{2} & \text { for } \tau>\tau_{0}\end{cases}
$$

for some $a, c, \tau_{0}>0$. If $c \tau_{0}$ is sufficiently large, then all the above conditions are satisfied with $p=\frac{3}{2}$ and $\alpha=2$.

## 5 Appendix: The Leray-Schauder Boundary Condition

Let us first recall the well known Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem.
Theorem 5.1 (Schauder) Let $X$ be a Banach space, $D \subset X$ a nonempty closed convex bounded set and $T: D \rightarrow D$ a compact operator (i.e., continuous, with $T(D)$ relatively compact). Then $T$ has at least one fixed point in D.

The main drawback in applying Schauder's fixed point theorem is the "invariance condition" $T(D) \subset D$. It can be overcome if instead a "boundary condition" is required as shown by the next result which is known as the Leray-Schauder Principle or Schaefer's Fixed Point Theorem:

Theorem 5.2 (Leray-Schauder) Let $(X,||$.$) be a Banach space and T$ : $\bar{B}_{R} \rightarrow X$ a compact operator. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
T u \neq \lambda u \quad \text { for all } u \in \partial B_{R} \text { and } \lambda>1, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $T$ has at least one fixed point in $\bar{B}_{R}$.
Proof. Since $T$ is compact, there is $\widetilde{R} \geq R$ with $T\left(\bar{B}_{R}\right) \subset \bar{B}_{\tilde{R}}$. Define $\widetilde{T}: \bar{B}_{\widetilde{R}} \rightarrow \bar{B}_{\tilde{R}}$,

$$
\widetilde{T} u= \begin{cases}T u & \text { if }|u| \leq R \\ T\left(\frac{R}{|u|} u\right) & \text { if }|u|>R .\end{cases}
$$

Schauder's fixed point theorem applied to $\widetilde{T}$ in $\bar{B}_{\tilde{R}}$ guarantees the existence of an element $u_{0} \in \bar{B}_{\tilde{R}}$ with $\widetilde{T} u_{0}=u_{0}$. If $\left|u_{0}\right|>R$, then $T\left(\frac{R}{\left|u_{0}\right|} u_{0}\right)=u_{0}$
and if we let $v=\frac{R}{\left|u_{0}\right|} u_{0}$, then we can see that $|v|=R$ and $T v=\lambda v$, where $\lambda=\frac{\left|u_{0}\right|}{R}>1$. This contradiction to the Leray-Schauder boundary condition (18) shows that $\left|u_{0}\right| \leq R$. Hence $T u_{0}=u_{0}$ as we wished.

Notice that in most applications, the Leray-Schauder condition is obtained for a given operator $T: X \rightarrow X$, by means of the so called "a priori" bounds technique. This consists in proving that the set of all possible solutions in $X$ of the equations $T u=\lambda u$ for $\lambda>1$, is bounded, i.e., $|u|<R$, for some $R>0$ independent on $\lambda$.

Finally note that if $X$ is a Hilbert space identified to its dual and $E^{\prime}(u)=$ $u-T u$, then condition (9) coincides with (18).

## 6 Projects

Project 1 (The Gâteaux and Fréchet derivatives).
(a) Prove that if $E: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is Fréchet differentiable at a point $u$, then $E$ is Gateaux differentiable at $u$, the two derivatives coincide and $E$ is continuous at $u$.
(b) Prove that if $X$ is a Hilbert space endowed with inner product (.,.) and norm $|$.$| , and E: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is the functional

$$
E(u)=\frac{1}{2}|u|^{2}, \quad u \in X,
$$

then $E \in C^{1}(X)$ and

$$
\left(E^{\prime}(u), v\right)=(u, v) \quad \text { for all } u, v \in X
$$

Project 2 (The Leray-Schauder boundary condition). Consider the initial value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime}=f(t, u), \quad t \in[0,1] \\
u(0)=u_{0} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $f:[0,1] \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is continuous and $u_{0} \in \mathbf{R}$. The problem is equivalent to the integral equation

$$
u(t)=u_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} f(s, u(s)) d s \quad(t \in[0,1])
$$

in $C[0,1]$, and thus, to the operator equation $u=T u$, where $T: C[0,1] \rightarrow$ $C[0,1]$ is given by the right hand side of the integral equation. Show
(a) If there is a function $g \in C\left([0,1], \mathbf{R}_{+}\right)$with $f(t, u) u \leq g(t) u$ for all $t \in[0,1]$ and $u \in \mathbf{R}$, then the set of all solutions of the problems

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime}=\lambda f(t, u), \quad t \in[0,1] \\
u(0)=\lambda u_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $\lambda \in[0,1]$ is bounded in $C[0,1]$, that is, the Leray-Schauder condition holds for $T$ and each ball $\overline{B_{R}}$ in $C[0,1]$ of a sufficiently large radius $R$.
(b) The same conclusion holds if there are two functions $g \in C\left([0,1], \mathbf{R}_{+}\right), h \in$ $C\left(\mathbf{R}_{+},(0, \infty)\right)$ such that

$$
|f(t, u)| \leq g(t) h(|u|) \quad \text { for all } t \in[0,1], u \in \mathbf{R}
$$

and

$$
\int_{\left|u_{0}\right|}^{\infty} \frac{d \tau}{h(\tau)}>\int_{0}^{1} g(s) d s
$$

Project 3 (Ekeland's variational principle). Let ( $X, d$ ) be a complete metric space and let $E: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a lower semicontinuous function bounded from below. (a) Show that for each $\varepsilon>0$ and for each $u_{0} \in X$ such that $E\left(u_{0}\right) \leq \inf _{X} E+\varepsilon$, there exists $u \in X$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(u) & \leq E\left(u_{0}\right) \\
d\left(u_{0}, u\right) & \leq 1 \\
E(v)-E(u)+\varepsilon d(u, v) & \geq 0 \text { for all } v \in X .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hint: apply Theorem 2.1 in the ball $\overline{B_{1}\left(u_{0}\right)}$.
(b) Changing the metric $d$ by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} d$, prove the existence of $u$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(u) & \leq E\left(u_{0}\right) \\
d\left(u_{0}, u\right) & \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon} \\
E(v)-E(u)+\sqrt{\varepsilon} d(u, v) & \geq 0 \text { for all } v \in X
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) Assuming in addition that $X$ is a Banach space with norm $|$.$| and$ $E \in C^{1}(X)$ and using the result in (b) by taking $v=u+t w, t>0,|w|=1$, show that there exists $u \in X$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(u) & \leq E\left(u_{0}\right) \\
\left|u-u_{0}\right| & \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon} \\
\left|E^{\prime}(u)\right| & \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Project 4 (Schechter's first critical point theorem). Consider the two point boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-u^{\prime \prime}=g(u)+h(t), \quad t \in(0,1) \\
u(0)=u(1)=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $h \in L^{2}(0,1)$ and $g: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a continuous function with $g(u) u \leq 0$ for every $u \in \mathbf{R}$. Denote $G(\tau)=\int_{0}^{\tau} g(s) d s$.
(a) Show that the functional $E: H_{0}^{1}(0,1) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$,

$$
E(u)=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} u^{\prime 2}-G(u)-h u\right) d t
$$

is $C^{1}$ and its critical points are the solutions of the problem.
(b) Prove that $E$ is bounded from below.
(c) Show that the solutions of the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-u^{\prime \prime}=\lambda(g(u)+h(t)), \quad t \in(0,1) \\
u(0)=u(1)=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $\lambda \in[0,1]$ satisfy $\left|u_{H_{0}^{1}(0,1)} \leq R:=|h|_{L^{2}(0,1)}\right.$.
(d) Deduce the existence of a function $u \in H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ with $|u|_{H_{0}^{\perp}(0,1)} \leq R$, $E(u)=\inf _{\overline{B_{R}}} E$ and $E^{\prime}(u)=0$.

