

ON A SURJECTIVITY THEOREM

Costică Mustăța

It was proved in [3] that if X and Y are two Banach spaces and $F: X \rightarrow Y$ is a multifunction such that $F(X)$ is a closed subset of Y , then the multifunction F is surjective if and only if for every $b \in F(X)$ the tangent cone in b to the set $F(X)$ is Y .

In this note we shall give necessary and sufficient conditions for the surjectivity of a multifunction $F: X \rightarrow Y$, when X and Y are arbitrary metric spaces.

For a metric space Z and a fixed point $z_0 \in Z$ denote by $Lip_0(Z, R)$ the set of all real-valued Lipschitz functions on Z which vanish at z_0 , i.e.

$$(1) \quad Lip_0(Z, R) = \{f: Z \rightarrow R, f \text{ is Lipschitz and } f(z_0) = 0\}.$$

Recall that a function $f: Z \rightarrow R$ is called Lipschitz if there exists $M \geq 0$ such that

$$(2) \quad |f(z_1) - f(z_2)| \leq M d(z_1, z_2),$$

for all $z_1, z_2 \in Z$, where d denotes the metric of Z . The smallest number M for which (2) holds is called the Lipschitz norm of f and is denoted by $\|f\|$. The norm is given by:

$$(3) \quad \|f\| = \sup \{|f(z_1) - f(z_2)| / d(z_1, z_2) : z_1, z_2 \in Z, z_1 \neq z_2\}.$$

The space $\text{Lip}_0(Z, R)$ equipped with the norm (3) is a Banach space.

If $Z_1 \subset Z$ is such that $z_0 \in Z_1$ and $f \in \text{Lip}_0(Z_1, R)$ then a function $\bar{f}: Z \rightarrow R$, $\bar{f} \in \text{Lip}_0(Z, R)$ is called an extension of f if

$$(4) \quad \bar{f}|_{Z_1} = f \quad \text{and} \quad \|\bar{f}\| = \|f\|.$$

In general, every $f \in \text{Lip}_0(Z_1, R)$ has a least one extension $\bar{f} \in \text{Lip}_0(Z, R)$. Concerning the properties of the set of all extensions of f see [4], [5].

A subset A of the metric space (Z, d) is called uniformly discrete if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $d(x, y) \geq \delta$ for all $x, y \in A$, $x \neq y$.

For $Z_1 \subset Z$ denote by $Z_1^\perp$ the subspace of $\text{Lip}_0(Z, R)$ defined by

$$(5) \quad Z_1^\perp = \{f \in \text{Lip}_0(Z, R) : f|_{Z_1} = 0\}.$$

Obviously, $Z_1^\perp$ is a closed subspace of the Banach space $\text{Lip}_0(Z, R)$.

A subset Y of a normed space X is called proximal if every $x \in X$ has a best approximation element in Y , i.e. for every $x \in X$ there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that

$$(6) \quad \|x - y_0\| = \inf\{\|x - y\| : y \in Y\}.$$

If every $x \in X$ has exactly one element of best approximation in Y , then the set Y is called a Cebysevia subset of X .

Let now X and Y be a metric space and $F: X \rightarrow Y$ a multifunction. It was proved in [4] that $F(X)^\perp$ is always a proximal subset of Y and that $F(X)^\perp$ is Chebysevia if and only if

$F(X)^\perp = \{0\}$ (Lemma 1 and Corollary 1 in [4]).

The main result of this Note is the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. Let X, Y be two metric spaces, let $F: X \rightarrow Y$ be a multifunction such that $F(X)$ is closed and non-uniformly discrete and let $y_0 \in F(X)$ be fixed. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) F is surjective (i.e. $F(X) = Y$);
- (b) Every $f \in \text{Lip}_0(F(X), R)$ has exactly one extension $\bar{f} \in \text{Lip}_0(Y, R)$;
- (c) The subspace $F(X)^\perp$ is Chebysevia in $\text{Lip}_0(Y, R)$.

Proof. If F is surjective then $F(X) = Y$ so that $\text{Lip}_0(F(X), R) = \text{Lip}_0(Y, R)$ and $F(X)^\perp = Y^\perp = \{0\}$ which show that the implications (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) and (a) $\Rightarrow$ (c) hold true.

Suppose now that condition (b) is verified then, by [4, Th.2] it follows that $F(X) = Y$ and since $F(X)$ is closed in Y it follows that $F(X) = Y$, i.e. (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a).

The equivalence (b) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c) follows by Lemma 1 and Corollary 1 from [4]. Theorem 1 is completely proved.

If X and Y are Banach spaces then condition (b) is equivalent also to the condition:

- (d) For every $y \in F(X)$ the tangent cone $T_y F(X)$ to $F(X)$ in y is Y ([3], Theorem 3).

COROLLARY 1. Let X, Y be two Banach spaces and let $F: X \rightarrow Y$ be a multifunction such that $F(X)$ is closed. Then

$$(a) \Leftrightarrow (d); \quad (b) \Leftrightarrow (c) \quad \text{and} \quad (d) \Rightarrow (b);$$

If, furthermore $F(X)$ is not uniformly discrete then (b) $\Rightarrow$ (d) too.

Example. The hypothesis that $F(X)$ is not uniformly discrete is essential in Theorem 1.

Let $X = Y = [0, 1]$ and $F: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & , x \in [0, 1/2] \\ 1 & , x \in (1/2, 1] \end{cases}$$

Then $F(X) = \{0, 1\}$ is closed and uniformly discrete. Let

$$\text{Lip}_0([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) = \{f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f \text{ is Lipschitz and } f(1) = 0\}$$

Every function $f \in \text{Lip}_0([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ has a unique extension $\tilde{f}$ defined by $\tilde{f}(x) = f(1)x$, $x \in [0, 1]$. Indeed

$$\tilde{f}|_{[0, 1]} = f \text{ and } \|\tilde{f}\| = \|f\| = \|f(1)\|.$$

Therefore (b) does not imply (a).

Also

$$F(\{0, 1\})^\perp = \{f \in \text{Lip}_0([0, 1], \mathbb{R}), f(1) = 0\}$$

and for every $f \in \text{Lip}_0([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ the element g_0 of best approximation for f in $F(\{0, 1\})^\perp$ is

$$g_0(x) = f(x) - f(1)x, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

This element is unique since $g_0(x) = f(x) - \tilde{f}(x)$ and $\tilde{f}$ is the only extension of f (see [4], Lemma 1). Therefore (c) does not imply (a).

REFERENCES

1. ARONSSON, G., A note on the extension of Lipschitz and Hölder functions (preprint, 5 p.)
2. ARONSSON, G., Extension of functions satisfying Lipschitz conditions, Arkiv för Matematik 6, 28 (1967), 551 - 561.

3. GAUTHIER, S., ISAC, G., FENOT J.-P., Surjectivity of multifunctions under generalized differentiability assumptions, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 28 (1983), 13 - 21.
4. MUSTĂŢA, C., Best Approximation and Unique Extension of Lipschitz Functions, Journal of Approx. Theory 19, 3 (1977), 222 - 230.
5. MUSTĂŢA, C., Extension of bounded Lipschitz functions, "Babeş-Bolyai" University, Faculty of Mathematics, Research Seminars, Seminar of Functional Analysis and Numerical Methods, Preprint Nr.1, 1980, 1-20.
6. Mc SHANE, E.J., Extension of range of functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1934), 837 - 842.
7. SINGER, I., Cea mai bună aproximare în spații vectoriale normate prin elemente din subspații vectoriale, Editura Academiei R.S. România, București 1967.