

Col
133 / S-44-25

**„BABEŞ—BOLYAI” UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS
RESEARCH SEMINARIES**

**SEMINAR ON
FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS AND NUMERICAL METHODS**

Preprint Nr. 1 , 1986

**CLUJ-NAPOCA
ROMANIA**

CONTENTS

1. Mira-Cristiana Anisiu , On Caristi's theorem and successive approximations	1
2. Deina Brădeanu , On the convergence and stability of the Galerkin method for a differential equation	11
3. Adraian Diaconu , Couples itératifs. Méthodes itératives obtenues à l'aide des couples itératifs.....	27
4. C. Iancu, T. Opreanu, I. Păvăloiu , Inverse interpolating splines with applications to the equation solving	67
5. Costică Mustăță , On the extension of Lipschitz Functions	83
6. A.B. Németh , Known and new equivalent forms of the archimedean property of ordered vector spaces... <td>93</td>	93
7. A.B. Németh , A necessary condition for subdifferentiability of convex operators	104
8. Ion Păvăloiu , La convergence de certaines méthodes itératives pour résoudre certaines équations opérationnelles.	127
9. Ion Păvăloiu , Sur l'estimation des erreurs en convergence numérique de certaines méthodes d'itération	133
10. Dumitru Ripeanu , Sur la longueur de certains intervalles d'interpolation pour des classes d'équations différentielles linéaires du seconde ordre	137
11. Ioan Serb , On the modulus of convexity of L_p spaces.....	175

"BABES-BOLYAI" UNIVERSITY, Faculty of Mathematics
Research Seminars

Seminar on Functional Analysis and Numerical Methods
Preprint Nr. 1, 1986, pp. 83 - 92.

ON THE EXTENSION OF LIPSCHITZ FUNCTIONS

Costică Mustăță

1. Introduction. Let A be a subset of the interval $[a,b] \subset \mathbb{R}$. A function $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called Lipschitz if there exists $L \geq 0$ such that

$$(1) \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \leq L \cdot |x - y|,$$

for all $x, y \in A$. The smallest number L for which the inequality (1) holds is called the Lipschitz norm of f and is denoted by $\|f\|_L$. The Lipschitz norm of f can be calculated also by the formula

$$(2) \quad \|f\|_L = \sup \{ |f(x) - f(y)| / |x - y| : x, y \in A, x \neq y \}.$$

Denote by $\text{Lip } A$ the set of all real valued Lipschitz functions on A , i.e.

$$(3) \quad \text{Lip } A = \{ f, f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f \text{ is Lipschitz} \}.$$

With the usual (i.e. pointwise) operations of addition and multiplication by scalars, $\text{Lip } A$ is a vector space.

A Lipschitz extension of f to $[a,b]$ is a Lipschitz function $\tilde{f}: [a,b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(4) \quad F|_A = f \quad \text{and} \quad \|F\|_L = \|f\|_L.$$

By a result of Mc SHANE [4] every function in $\text{Lip } A$ has at least one Lipschitz extension in $\text{Lip } [a,b]$. More exactly, the following two functions

$$(5) \quad F_1(x) = \sup \{ f(y) - \|f\|_L \cdot |x-y| : y \in A \}$$

and

$$(6) \quad F_2(x) = \inf \{ f(y) + \|f\|_L \cdot |x-y| : y \in A \}$$

are Lipschitz extensions of f to $[a,b]$. Denoting by $E(f; [a,b])$ the set of all Lipschitz extensions of f to $[a,b]$, i.e.

$$(7) \quad E(f; [a,b]) = \{ F \in \text{Lip } [a,b] : F|_A = f \text{ and } \|F\|_L = \|f\|_L \}$$

the following assertions hold true

- (a) $F_1(x) \leq F(x) \leq F_2(x)$, $x \in [a,b]$, for all $F \in E(f; [a,b])$;
- (b) $E(f; [a,b])$ is a convex subset of $\text{Lip } [a,b]$;
- (c) The functions F_1 and F_2 are extreme points of $E(f; [a,b])$.

By the definition of the Lipschitz norm (or by (2)), $\|f\|_L = 0$ if and only if $f = \text{constant}$ and therefore $\|\cdot\|_L$ is not actually a norm on $\text{Lip } A$ but it is a norm on the space Lip_0^A of all functions in $\text{Lip } A$ vanishing at a fixed point $x_0 \in A$. The space Lip_0^A with the Lipschitz norm is a dual Banach space (see [3]).

2. Lipschitz extensions from finite subsets of $[a,b]$

Let $C[a,b]$ be the space of all real valued continuous functions on $[a,b]$ and let

$$(8) \quad M = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}, \quad a \leq x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n \leq b$$

be a finite subset of $[a,b]$. Then obviously, the restriction $f|_M$ of a function $f \in C[a,b]$ to M is in $\text{Lip } M$ and

$$(9) \quad \|f|_M\|_L = \max \{ |f(x_i) - f(x_j)| / |x_i - x_j| : i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n, i \neq j \}$$

Let $U: C[a,b] \rightarrow \text{Lip } M$ be the restriction operator, i.e.

$$(10) \quad U(f) = f|_M, \quad \text{for } f \in C[a,b].$$

By the above quoted result of Mc SHANE, $f|_M$ has at least a Lipschitz extension $F \in \text{Lip } [a,b]$. Let $V: \text{Lip } M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Lip}[a,b])$ the extension operator defined by

$$(11) \quad V(g) = E(g; [a,b]), \quad g \in \text{Lip } M,$$

and let $W: C[a,b] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Lip}[a,b])$ be the composition of U and V

$$(12) \quad W = V \circ U.$$

In general W is a multivalued operator (point to set). A function $f \in C[a,b]$ such that $f \in W(f)$ is called a fix point of W . Obviously, the set of fix points of the operator W is non-void. Indeed, if $g \in \text{Lip } M$ and f is a Lipschitz extension of g to $[a,b]$, then $f \in W(f)$. The fix points of the operator W are characterized in Theorem 2.1 below.

For $x, y \in [a,b]$, $x \neq y$ and $f \in C[a,b]$, put

$$(13) \quad [x, y; f] = (f(x) - f(y)) / (x - y)$$

and

$$(14) \quad I(x, y; f)(t) = [x, y; f] \cdot (t - x) + f(x), \quad t \in [a, b].$$

2.1 THEOREM. Let $f \in C[a,b]$ and let M be the set (8). Then $f \in W(f)$ if and only if there exists an index $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that

$$(15) \quad \sup \{ |[x, y; f]| : x, y \in [a, b], x \neq y \} = |[x_k, x_{k+1}; f]|.$$

Proof. If $f \in W(f)$ then $f \in E(f|_M; [a, b])$ and

$$\|f\|_L = \|f|_M\|_L = \max \{ |[x_j, x_{j+1}; f]| : j=0, 1, \dots, n-1 \}$$

so that, there exists $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $\|f|_M\|_L = |[x_k, x_{k+1}; f]|$, and the relation (15) holds.
Conversely, if the relation (15) holds for a index $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |[x_k, x_{k+1}; f]| &= \sup \{ |[x, y; f]| : x, y \in [a, b], x \neq y \} \geq \\ &\geq \max \{ |[x_j, x_{j+1}; f]| : j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \} = \|f|_M\|_L. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\|f|_M\|_L = |[x_k, x_{k+1}; f]| = \|f\|_L$, which shows that $f \in W(f)$.

Theorem 2.1 has some corollaries.

2.2 COROLLARY. If the relation (15) holds for an index $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ then

$$f(x) = \ell(x_k, x_{k+1}; f)(x) \text{ for all } x \in [x_k, x_{k+1}].$$

Proof. If $f(x') \neq \ell(x_k, x_{k+1}; f)(x')$, for an $x' \in (x_k, x_{k+1})$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \max \{ |[x_k, x'; f]|, |[x', x_{k+1}; f]| \} &> |[x_k, x_{k+1}; f]| \\ &= \sup \{ |[x, y; f]| : x, y \in [a, b], x \neq y \}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

2.3 COROLLARY. If $f \in W(f)$ then $f(x) = F(x)$, $x \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]$, for all $F \in W(f)$, where $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ is the index for which relation (15) is true.

Proof. If $F \in W(f)$ then

$$\sup \{ |[x, y; F]| : x, y \in [a, b], x \neq y \} = \|F\|_L = \|f|_M\|_L = |[x_k, x_{k+1}; F]|$$

and Corollary 2.3 follows from Corollary 2.2.

2.4 COROLLARY. If $a = x_0$ and $x_n = b$, then

(a) $\sup \{ |[x, y; f]| : x, y \in [a, b], x \neq y \} = |[x_0, x_n; f]|$, implies $f(x) = \ell(x_0, x_n; f)(x)$, for all $x \in [a, b]$, and

(b) $\sup \{ |[x, y; f]| : x, y \in [a, b], x \neq y \} = |[x_k, x_{k+1}; f]|$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, implies $f(x) = \ell(x_k, x_{k+1}; f)(x)$, $x \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.

Combining Corollaries 2.3 and 2.4 it follows

2.5 COROLLARY. If $f \in \text{Lip}[a, b]$, $x_0 = a$, $x_n = b$ and $\|f\|_L = |[x_k, x_{k+1}; f]|$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, then $W(f) = \{f\}$.

3. Faces and extreme points.

Let X be a normed space and $B(X) = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ its closed unit ball. A subset $A \subseteq B(X)$ is called an extremal subset (a face) of $B(X)$ if $\alpha f_1 + (1 - \alpha) f_2 \in A$ for $f_1, f_2 \in B(X)$ and a number α , $0 < \alpha < 1$, implies $f_1, f_2 \in A$. If A contains exactly one point f , then f is called an extreme point of $B(X)$.

Let M be a finite set of real numbers

$$M = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}, \quad x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n$$

and

$$\text{Lip}_0 M = \{f : f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f \text{ is Lipschitz and } f(x_0) = 0\}.$$

3.1 THEOREM. The function $f \in B(\text{Lip}_0 M)$ is an extreme point of $B(\text{Lip}_0 M)$ if and only if

$$(16) \quad \|f\|_L = 1 = |[x_k, x_{k+1}; f]|,$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.

Proof. Suppose that relation (16) do not hold and let $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be such that

$$|[x_k, x_{k+1}; f]| = 1 - \varepsilon .$$

Let

$$f_1(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & , x \in \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k\} \\ f(x) + \delta & , x \in \{x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n\} \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_2(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & , x \in \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k\} \\ f(x) - \delta & , x \in \{x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n\} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{where } \delta = (\varepsilon/2)(x_{k+1} - x_k) .$$

Because

$$\frac{|f(x_{k+1}) + \delta - f(x_k)|}{x_{k+1} - x_k} \leq 1 - \varepsilon/2 < 1 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\frac{|f(x_{k+1}) - \delta - f(x_k)|}{x_{k+1} - x_k} \leq 1 - \varepsilon/2 < 1, \text{ it follows that}$$

$\|f_1\|_L = 1 = \|f_2\|_L$. But $f = (1/2)(f_1 + f_2)$, so that f is not an extreme point of $B(\text{Lip}_0 M)$.

Suppose now that condition (16) is fulfilled and there exist two functions $g_1, g_2 \in B(\text{Lip}_0 M)$ such that $g_1 \neq f \neq g_2$ and $f = (1/2)(g_1 + g_2)$. Let x_i be the smallest element of M for which $g_1(x_i) \neq f(x_i)$. As $g_1(x_0) = f(x_0) = 0$ and $g_2(x_0) = 0 = f(x_0)$, it follows $i \geq 1$.

Case I. $f(x_i) > f(x_{i-1})$ and $g_1(x_i) > f(x_i)$. In this case

$$\frac{g_1(x_i) - g_1(x_{i-1})}{x_i - x_{i-1}} > \frac{f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})}{x_i - x_{i-1}} = 1,$$

which implies $\|g_1\|_L > 1$, i.e. $g_1 \notin B(\text{Lip}_0 M)$.

Case II. $f(x_i) > f(x_{i-1})$ and $g_1(x_i) < f(x_i)$. In this case $g_2(x_i) = 2f(x_i) - g_1(x_i) > f(x_i)$ and

$$\frac{g_2(x_i) - g_2(x_{i-1})}{x_i - x_{i-1}} > \frac{f(x_i) - [2f(x_{i-1}) - g_1(x_{i-1})]}{x_i - x_{i-1}} = \frac{f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})}{x_i - x_{i-1}} = 1,$$

so that $\|g_2\|_L > 1$, i.e. $g_2 \notin B(\text{Lip}_0 M)$.

In the remaining cases, i.e. $f(x_i) < f(x_{i-1})$ and $g_1(x_i) > f(x_i)$ or $f(x_i) < f(x_{i-1})$ and $g_1(x_i) < f(x_i)$, we have similarly $\|g_2\|_L > 1$, respectively $\|g_1\|_L > 1$. The obtained contradictions show that f must be an extreme point of $B(\text{Lip}_0 M)$.

3.2 Remark. Taking in (16) all possible signs, it follows that the unit ball of the space $\text{Lip}_0 M$ has exactly 2^{n+1} extreme points.

3.3 COROLLARY. Let $f \in \text{Lip}[a, b]$, $M = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$, and $f(x_0) = 0$. If

$$\|f\|_L = 1 = |[x_k, x_{k+1}; f]|$$

for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, then f is an extreme point of the unit ball of $\text{Lip}_0[a, b]$.

3.4 Remark. If f is an extreme point of $B(\text{Lip}_0 M)$ and the set M is as in Corollary 3.3, then the unique Lipschitz extension F of f , $F(x) = \sup \{f(x_i) - |x - x_i| : i=0, 1, \dots, n\} = \inf \{f(x_i) + |x - x_i| : i=0, 1, \dots, n\}$, $x \in [a, b]$, is an extreme point of $B(\text{Lip}_0[a, b])$.

4. Best approximation of Lipschitz functions.

Let

$$M = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}, \quad a \leq x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n \leq b,$$

and let

$$(17) \quad \text{Lip}_0[a,b] = \{f, f: [a,b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f \text{ is Lipschitz and } f(x_0) = 0\}.$$

Let also

$$M^\perp = \{f \in \text{Lip}_0[a,b] : f|_M = 0\}.$$

Since every function $f \in \text{Lip}_0 M$ has at least one extension $F \in \text{Lip}_0[a,b]$ it follows that every function $f \in \text{Lip}_0[a,b]$ has a best approximation (nearest point) in M^\perp , i.e. there exists $g_0 \in M^\perp$ such that $\|f - g_0\|_L = \inf \{\|f - g\|_L : g \in M^\perp\}$. It was shown (see [5]) that $g_0 \in M^\perp$ is an element of best approximation for $f \in \text{Lip}_0[a,b]$ by elements from M^\perp if and only if $g_0 = f - F$, for $F \in W(f)$.

Taking into account the preceding results it follows:

4.1 THEOREM. Let $f \in \text{Lip}_0[a,b]$ and $M = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, $a \leq x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n \leq b$.

(a) If the relation (15) holds for an index $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ then all the best approximation elements for f in M^\perp vanish on the interval $[x_k, x_{k+1}]$;

(b) If $x_0 = a$, $x_n = b$ and $\|f\|_L = \|[x_0, x_n ; f]\|$, then 0 is the only best approximation element for f in M ;

(c) If $\|f\|_L = \|[x_k, x_{k+1} ; f]\|$, for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, then 0 is the only best approximation element for f in M^\perp .

Proof. Assertion (a) follows from Corollary 2.3, taking into account that the best approximation elements g_0 for f in M^\perp have the form

$$g_0 = f - F, \quad F \in W(f).$$

Assertions (b) and (c) follow from Corollary 2.4.

4.2 Remark. Let M be the set (8) and let

$$\text{Lip}_0[a,b] = \{f: [a,b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x_0) = 0, f \text{ is Lipschitz}\}.$$

If $f \notin W(f)$, then, by Theorem 2.1, it follows

$$\|f\|_L > \|f\|_M \|_L.$$

If, further

$$(i) \quad f(x) \leq F_1(x), \quad x \in [a,b]$$

where F_1 is given by (5) (with $A = M$), then all best approximation elements of f in M^\perp are non-positive, and if

$$(ii) \quad f(x) \geq F_2(x), \quad x \in [a,b]$$

with F_2 given by (6) (with $A = M$), then all the elements of best approximation for f in M^\perp are non-negative.

Obviously, there exist functions $f \in \text{Lip}_0[a,b]$ verifying the conditions (i) and (ii) and which are not fixed points of W .

For example, taking $g \in \text{Lip}_0[a,b]$, the function

$$f(x) = \sup \{g(x_k) + L|x - x_k| : x_k \in M, k=0,1,\dots,n\}$$

with $L > \|g\|_M \|_L$, verifies the condition (i) and the function

$$h(x) = \inf \{g(x_k) + L|x - x_k| : x_k \in M, k=0,1,\dots,n\}$$

with $L > \|g\|_M \|_L$ verifies the condition $h(x) \leq F_2(x)$, $x \in [a,b]$ (i.e. condition (ii)).

ordered by cones while the second term is used merely in the case when the vector spaces are ordered by cones (see e.g. (SY), (2), (3), etc.).

REFERENCES

- 1 COBZAS, S., MUSTĂTA, C., Norm Preserving Extension of Convex Lipschitz Functions, J.A.T. 24 (1978), 236 - 244.
- 2 DUNHAM, G.B., Chebyshev approximation with a null space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 41 (1973), 557 - 558.
- 3 JOHNSON, J. A., Banach Spaces of Lipschitz Functions and Vector-Valued Lipschitz Functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 148 (1970), 147 - 169.
- 4 Mc SHANE, E.J., Extension of range of functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1934), 837 - 842.
- 5 MUSTĂTA, C., Best Approximation and Unique Extension of Lipschitz Functions, J.A.T. 19 (1977), 222 - 230.
- 6 ROY, A.K., Extreme Points and Linear Isometries of Banach Space of Lipschitz Functions, Canad. J. of Math. 20 (1968), 1150 - 1164.
- 7 SINGER, I., Cea mai bună aproximare în spații vectoriale normate prin elemente din subspații vectoriale, Edit. Acad. R.S. România, București, 1967.

"BABES-BOLYAI" UNIVERSITY, Faculty of Mathematics
Research Seminars
Seminar on Functional Analysis and Numerical Methods
Preprint Nr. 1, 1986, pp. 93 - 103.

KNOWN AND NEW EQUIVALENT FORMS OF THE ARCHIMEDEAN PROPERTY OF ORDERED VECTOR SPACES

A. B. Németh

The Archimedean property of the ordered vector spaces, its equivalent or weaken forms become important in some recent results on vectorial optimization (see e.g., (F), (N1) (B), (N2) etc.). This suggests us to gather the various equivalent forms, to establish new equivalences and to present them together in order to facilitate further references.

The Archimedean property involves in its statement two elements of the space, hence it is typically finite dimensional: it holds for the whole space if and only if it holds for its finite dimensional subspaces. It is in fact a geometrical property concerning straight lines. The Archimedean property is equivalent with the lineally closedness of the positive cone of the ordered vector space. The two different approaches : Archimedean property and the lineally closedness subexist in the literature perhaps since the first concerns with vector spaces ordered by cones while the second term is used merely in the case when the vector spaces are ordered by wedges (see e.g. (SY), (D), (F) etc.).