

1451  
**„BABEŞ—BOLYAI” UNIVERSITY**  
**FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS**  
**RESEARCH SEMINARS**

**SEMINAR ON MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS**

**Preprint Nr. 7, 1991**

**CLUJ-NAPOCA**  
**ROMANIA**

## CONTENTS

MUNTEAN I. : Extensions of some mean value theorems.....	7
TÓTH L. : Some inverse Hölder inequalities .....	25
VORNICESCU N. : A note on Wirtinger's integral and discrete inequalities .....	31
MOCANU P. T. : On a class of first-order differential subordinations .....	37
SĂLĂGEAN G. Ș. : On univalent functions with negative coefficients .....	47
MUREȘAN M. : A note on partial stability for differential inclusions .....	55
TOADER G. : Invariant transformations of $p, q$ -convex sequences .....	61
MITREA A. I. : On the convergence of numerical differentiation for Hermite nodes .....	65
MUSTĂȚA C. : Extension of Hölder functions and some related problems of best approximation .....	71
BALOGH Z. and MOISESCU M. : On vector topologies on finite -dimensional vector spaces .....	87
ANISIU M-C. : On fixed point theorems for mappings defined on spheres in metric spaces .....	95
KASSAY G. : On Brézis-Nirenberg-Stampacchia's minimax principle .....	101

# ON A PROBLEM OF EXTREMUM

Costică Mustăța

Let  $[a, b]$  be an interval of the real axis and let  $D : a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n = b$  be a division of this real interval. Let

$V = \{ y_k : k = 0, 1, \dots, n \} \subset \mathbb{R}$  and let  $M > 0$  be such that  $M > |y_{k+1} - y_k| / (x_{k+1} - x_k)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ .

A function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called Lipschitz on  $[a, b]$  if there exists a number  $K \geq 0$  such that:

$$(1) \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \leq K|x - y| ,$$

for all  $x, y \in [a, b]$ . We shall denote by  $K_f$  the smallest of the numbers  $K$  for which the relation (1) holds and we shall call it the *Lipschitz norm* of the function  $f$ . Obviously that  $K_f$  is given by:

$$K_f = \sup \{ |f(x) - f(y)| / |x - y| ; x, y \in [a, b] , x \neq y \} .$$

Denote by  $\text{Lip}[a, b]$  the set of all real-valued Lipschitz functions defined on  $[a, b]$  and let

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{P}(D, V, M) = \{ f \in \text{Lip}[a, b] : f(x_k) = y_k , k = \overline{0, n} , K_f \leq M \} .$$

The function whose graph is the polygonal line joining the points  $(x_k, y_k)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$  belongs to  $\mathcal{P}(D, V, M)$  so that

$$\phi \in \mathcal{P}(D, V, M) \subset \text{Lip}[a, b] \subset C[a, b] ,$$

where, as usually,  $C[a, b]$  denotes the Banach space of all continuous real-valued functions defined on  $[a, b]$ , equipped with the uniform norm:

$$(3) \quad \|f\| = \sup \{ |f(x)| : x \in [a, b] \}, \quad f \in C[a, b].$$

As a subset of the Banach space  $C[a, b]$  the set  $\mathcal{P}(D, V, M)$  has the following properties:

**THEOREM 1.** a) The set  $\mathcal{P}(D, V, M)$  is a convex subset of  $C[a, b]$ ;

b) The functions  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  given by

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} F_1(x) &= \max \{ f(x_k) - M|x - x_k|, k = 0, 1, \dots, n \}, \\ F_2(x) &= \min \{ f(x_k) + M|x - x_k|, k = 0, 1, \dots, n \}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $x \in [a, b]$ , are extremal points of  $\mathcal{P}(D, V, M)$ ;

c) The set  $\mathcal{P}(D, V, M)$  is compact with respect to the uniform topology of the space  $C[a, b]$ .

**Proof.** a) Let  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{P}(D, V, M)$ ,  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $f = \lambda f_1 + (1 - \lambda)f_2$ . Then, obviously,  $f(x_k) = y_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(y)| &\leq \lambda |f_1(x) - f_1(y)| + (1 - \lambda) |f_2(x) - f_2(y)| \leq \\ &\leq (\lambda K_{f_1} + (1 - \lambda) K_{f_2}) |x - y| \leq \\ &\leq (\lambda M + (1 - \lambda) M) |x - y| = M |x - y|, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in [a, b]$ , implying  $K_f \leq M$ . It follows that  $f \in \mathcal{P}(D, V, M)$ .

b) By a theorem of McShane [2], the functions  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  defined by (4) are in  $\mathcal{P}(D, V, M)$  and furthermore

$$(5) \quad F_1(x) \leq f(x) \leq F_2(x), \quad x \in [a, b],$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}(D, V, M)$ . To prove the second inequality in (5), suppose, on the contrary, that there exists a function  $f \in \mathcal{P}(D, V, M)$  on a point  $c \in [a, b]$  such that  $f(c) > F_2(c)$ . As  $F_1(x_k) = f(x_k) = F_2(x_k) = y_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , it follows that

there exists  $k_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $c \in (x_{k_0}, x_{k_0+1})$ . But then

$$\frac{f(c) - f(x_{k_0})}{c - x_{k_0}} > \frac{F_2(c) - F_2(x_{k_0})}{c - x_{k_0}} = M$$

or

$$\frac{f(x_{k_0+1}) - f(c)}{x_{k_0+1} - c} < \frac{F_2(x_{k_0+1}) - F_2(c)}{x_{k_0+1} - c} = -M,$$

according as  $c$  belongs to the interval

$$\left[ x_k, \frac{x_{k_0+1} + x_{k_0}}{2} + \frac{y_{k_0+1} - y_{k_0}}{2M} \right] \quad \text{or}$$

$$\left[ \frac{x_{k_0+1} + x_{k_0}}{2} + \frac{y_{k_0+1} - y_{k_0}}{2M}, x_{k_0+1} \right],$$

respectively. In both of the cases it follows  $K_f > M$ , contradicting the hypothesis  $f \in \mathcal{P}(D, V, M)$ . The first inequality in (5),  $F_1(x) \leq f(x)$ , for all  $x \in [a, b]$ , can be proved similarly.

To prove that  $F_2$  is an extreme point of the convex set  $\mathcal{P}(D, V, M)$  suppose that  $F_2 = \lambda f_1 + (1 - \lambda)f_2$  for two functions  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{P}(D, V, M)$  and a number  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . We have to show that  $f_1 = f_2 = F_2$ , but this follows immediately from the inequalities (5).

c) By the Arzelà - Ascoli theorem (see e.g. [5]) it is sufficient to show that  $\mathcal{P}(D, V, M)$  is a closed, uniformly bounded and equicontinuous subset of  $C[a, b]$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{P}(D, V, M)$  it is obvious that if  $(f_n)$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{P}(D, V, M)$  converging to  $f \in C[a, b]$  then  $f$  is in  $\mathcal{P}(D, V, M)$  too, and by (5)

$$\|f\| \leq \max \{\|F_1\|, \|F_2\|\}$$

showing that  $\mathcal{P}(D, V, M)$  is a closed and uniformly bounded subset of  $C[a, b]$ .

Now, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  let  $\delta = \varepsilon / (M + 1)$ . Then

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq M|x - y| < M \frac{\varepsilon}{M + 1} < \varepsilon,$$

for all  $x, y \in [a, b]$  with  $|x - y| < \delta$  and all  $f$  in  $\mathcal{F}(D, V, M)$  proving the equicontinuity of the set  $\mathcal{F}(D, V, M)$  and, by the above quoted result of Arzelà - Ascoli, also its compactness. ■

**Example.** In the paper [3] there are given several solutions to the following problem: let  $f : [0, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function derivable on  $(0, 2)$  and such that  $|f'(x)| \leq 1$ , for all  $x \in (0, 2)$  and  $f(0) = f(2) = 1$ . Show that  $1 < \int_0^2 f(x) dx < 3$ .

The hypothesis of the problem show that  $f$  belongs to a class of the type  $\mathcal{F}(D, V, M)$ , namely for  $D = \{0, 2\}$ ,  $V = \{1, 1\}$  and  $M = 1$ .

In this case the extremal functions  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are not derivable in the point  $x = 1$ , explaining why the inequalities in the conclusion of the problem are strict.

Consider now for  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  the functional  $I_p : \mathcal{F}(D, V, M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , defined by:

$$(6) \quad I_p(f) = \int_a^b |f(x)|^p dx.$$

One asks to find the minimal and the maximal values of this functional. The solution of this problem is given by:

**THEOREM 2.** a) If the numbers  $\alpha_k = \frac{y_{k+1} + y_k}{2} - \frac{M}{2} (x_{k+1} - x_k)$  are non-negative for all  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  then

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \max I_p(f) &= \int_a^b (F_2(x))^p dx, \quad \text{and} \\ \min I_p(f) &= \int_a^b (F_1(x))^p dx; \end{aligned}$$

b) If the numbers  $\beta_k = \frac{y_{k+1} + y_k}{2} + \frac{M}{2} (x_{k+1} - x_k)$  are non-positive for all  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ , then

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \max I_p(f) &= \int_a^b |F_1(x)|^p dx, \quad \text{and} \\ \min I_p(f) &= \int_a^b |F_2(x)|^p dx; \end{aligned}$$

c) If the numbers  $y_k$  are non-negative for all  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$  then

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \max I_p(f) &= \int_a^b (F_2(x))^p dx, \\ \min I_p(f) &= \int_a^b (\max \{F_1(x), 0\})^p dx; \end{aligned}$$

d) If the numbers  $y_k$  are non-positive for all  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$  then

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \max I_p(f) &= \int_a^b |F_1(x)|^p dx, \quad \text{and} \\ \min I_p(f) &= \int_a^b (\max \{|F_2(x)|, 0\})^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** a) The numbers  $\alpha_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  are the relative minima of the function  $F_1$  on the interval  $[a, b]$ . If  $\alpha_k \geq 0$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ , then

$$0 \leq F_1(x) \leq f(x) \leq F_2(x), \quad x \in [a, b],$$

implying the inequalities

$$0 \leq (F_1(x))^p \leq (f(x))^p \leq (F_2(x))^p, \quad x \in [a, b],$$

which by integration over  $[a, b]$  yield a).

b) The numbers  $\beta_k$  are the relative maxima of the function  $F_2$  on  $[a, b]$ . If  $\beta_k \leq 0$  for all  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ , then  $F_1(x) \leq f(x) \leq F_2(x) \leq 0$ ,  $x \in [a, b]$ , implying:

$$-F_1(x) \geq -f(x) \geq -F_2(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in [a, b].$$

Rising to the power  $p$  and integrating over  $[a, b]$  one obtains b).

c) If the numbers  $y_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$  are all non-negative

then the inequalities

$$\max \{F_i(x), 0\} \leq |f(x)| \leq F_s(x), \quad x \in [a, b],$$

hold, which raised to the power  $p$  and integrated over  $[a, b]$  give (9).

d) The proof is similar to that in the case c). ■

**Remark 1.** The set  $\mathcal{F}(D, V, M)$  being compact every continuous functional defined on  $\mathcal{F}(D, V, M)$  attains its extrema.

**Remark 2.** All the integrals appearing in the calculation of the extrema of the functional  $I_p$  (the formulae (7) - (10)) can be easily calculated, taking into account the fact that the functions  $F_i$  and  $F_s$  are segmentary linear functions and have very simple expression. For instance, in the case a) :

$$\begin{aligned} \max I_p(f) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} (F_s(x))^p dx = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( \int_{x_k}^{x_{M_k}} (F_s(x))^p dx + \right. \\ &+ \left. \int_{x_{M_k}}^{x_{k+1}} (F_s(x))^p dx \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{x_k}^{x_{M_k}} [M(x - x_k) + y_k]^p dx + \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{x_{M_k}}^{x_{k+1}} [-M(x - x_{k+1}) + y_{k+1}]^p dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_{M_k} = \frac{x_k + x_{k+1}}{2} + \frac{y_{k+1} - y_k}{2M}$  is the point of relative maximum of the function  $F_s$  on the interval  $[x_k, x_{k+1}]$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ .

In the case  $D = \{0, 2\}$ ,  $V = \{1, 1\}$  and  $M = 1$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  one obtains the following result:

The inequalities

$$m_p \leq I_p(f) \leq M_p,$$

hold for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}(D, V, M)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} m_p &= \int_0^2 |F_i(x)|^p dx = \int_0^1 (-x + 1)^p dx + \int_1^2 (x - 1)^p dx = \\ &= 2/(p + 1), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_p &= \int_0^2 |F_s(x)|^p dx = \int_0^1 (x + 1)^p dx + \int_1^2 (-x + 3)^p dx = \\ &= 2(2^{p+1} - 1)/(p + 1). \end{aligned}$$

For  $p = 1$  we find

$$1 \leq \int_0^2 f(x) dx \leq 3,$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}(D, V, M)$ , i.e a non-sharp version of the inequality proved in [3].

Considering the  $L_p$ -norm of a function  $f \in \mathcal{F}(D, V, M)$  one gets

$$\sqrt[p]{\frac{2}{p+1}} \leq \|f\| \leq \sqrt[p]{2 \frac{2^{p+1} - 1}{p+1}}$$

which for  $p \rightarrow \infty$  yields the uniform bounds of the set  $\mathcal{F}(D, V, M)$ :

$1 \leq \|f\| \leq 2$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}(D, V, M)$ .

## REFERENCES

1. ARONSSON, G., Extension of functions satisfying Lipschitz conditions, Arkiv för Matematik 6 (1967) Nr.28, 551 - 561.
2. Mc SHANE, E.J., Extension of range of functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1934), 837 - 842.
3. MOCANU, P., Variațiuni pe o temă de concurs, Seminarul "Didactica Matematicii", 1985 - 1986, 123 - 128..
4. MUSTĂȚA, C., On the extension problem with prescribed norm, Seminar of Functional Analysis and Numerical Methods, Preprint Nr.4 (1981), 93 - 99..
5. TRENOGUINE, V., Analyse fonctionnelle, Edition Mir, Moscow 1985.

Institutul de Calcul  
Oficiul Poștal 1  
C.P. 68  
3400 Cluj - Napoca  
Romania

This paper is in final form and no version of it is or will be submitted for publication elsewhere.