

Cal
133/S-44-25

**„BABEŞ—BOLYAI” UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS
RESEARCH SEMINARIES**

SEMINAR ON
FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS AND NUMERICAL METHODS

Preprint Nr. 1 , 1986

1. **On the stability of**
the solution of a differential equation.....

2. **On the stability of**
the solution of a differential equation.....

3. **On the stability of**
the solution of a differential equation.....

4. **On the stability of**
the solution of a differential equation.....

5. **On the stability of**
the solution of a differential equation.....

6. **On the stability of**
the solution of a differential equation.....

7. **On the stability of**
the solution of a differential equation.....

8. **On the stability of**
the solution of a differential equation.....

9. **On the stability of**
the solution of a differential equation.....

10. **On the stability of**
the solution of a differential equation.....

**CLUJ-NAPOCA
ROMANIA**

Col. 133 / 5-44-25

CONTENTS

1. Mira-Cristiana Anisiu , On Caristi's theorem and successive approximations 1
2. Doina Brădeanu , On the convergence and stability of the Galerkin method for a differential equation 11
3. Adraian Diaconu , Couples itératifs. Méthodes itératives obtenues à l'aide des couples itératifs..... 27
4. C. Iancu, T. Oproia, I. Păvăloiu , Inverse interpolating splines with applications to the equation solving 67
5. Costică Mustăța , On the extension of Lipschitz Functions 83
6. A.B. Németh , Known and new equivalent forms of the archimedian property of ordered vector spaces...93
7. A.B. Németh , A necessary condition for subdifferentiability of convex operators104
8. Ion Păvăloiu , La convergence de certaines méthodes itératives pour résoudre certaines équations opérationnelles.127
9. Ion Păvăloiu , Sur l'estimation des erreurs en convergence numérique de certaines méthodes d'itération133
10. Dumitru Ripeanu , Sur la longueur de certains intervalles d'interpolation pour des classes d'équations différentielles linéaires du second ordre137
11. Ioan Serb , On the modulus of convexity of L_p spaces..... 175

REFERENCES

- 1 COBZAS, S., MUSTĂŢA, G., Norm Preserving Extension of Convex Lipschitz Functions, J.A.T. 24 (1978), 236 - 244.
- 2 DUNHAM, G.B., Chebyshev approximation with a null space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 41 (1973), 557 - 558.
- 3 JOHNSON, J. A., Banach Spaces of Lipschitz Functions and Vector-Valued Lipschitz Functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 148 (1970), 147 - 169.
- 4 Mc SHANE, E.J., Extension of range of functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1934), 837 - 842.
- 5 MUSTĂŢA, G., Best Approximation and Unique Extension of Lipschitz Functions, J.A.T. 19 (1977), 222 - 230.
- 6 ROY, A.K., Extreme Points and Linear Isometries of Banach Space of Lipschitz Functions, Canad. J. of Math. 20 (1968), 1150 - 1164.
- 7 SINGER, I., Cea mai bună aproximare în spații vectoriale normate prin elemente din subspații vectoriale, Edit. Acad. R.S. România, București, 1967.

"BABES-BOLYAI" UNIVERSITY, Faculty of Mathematics
 Research Seminars
 Seminar on Functional Analysis and Numerical Methods
 Preprint Nr. 1, 1986, pp. 93 - 103.

KNOWN AND NEW EQUIVALENT FORMS OF THE ARCHIMEDIAN
 PROPERTY OF ORDERED VECTOR SPACES

A. B. Németh

The Archimedian property of the ordered vector spaces, its equivalent or weaker forms become important in some recent results on vectorial optimization (see e.g., (F), (N1), (B), (N2) etc.). This suggests us to gather the various equivalent forms, to establish new equivalences and to present them together in order to facilitate further references.

The Archimedian property involves in its statement two elements of the space, hence it is typically finite dimensional: it holds for the whole space if and only if it holds for its finite dimensional subspaces. It is in fact a geometrical property concerning straight lines. The Archimedian property is equivalent with the lineally closedness of the positive cone of the ordered vector space. The two different approaches: Archimedian property and the lineally closedness subsist in the literature perhaps since the first concerns with vector spaces ordered by cones while the second term is used merely in the case when the vector spaces are ordered by wedges (see e.g. (SY), (D), (F) etc.).

We shall explicitly state and prove the above equivalence, which was used implicitly by us in comments in (N3). A new sequential characterization of Archimedean ordered vector spaces is then given which will be used in (N5). A weak subdifferentiability-like property is also characteristic for Archimedean ordered vector spaces which was also considered implicitly in (N4) and will be explicitly presented here.

The non-empty subset W in the real vector space Y is called a wedge if (i) $W + W \subset W$ and (ii) $tW \subset W$ whenever $t \in \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$. The wedge W induces a reflexive and transitive relation in Y if we put $u \leq v$ when $v - u \in W$. The relation is translation invariant and invariant with respect to the multiplication with non-negative reals.

The wedge K in Y is called a cone if in plus (iii) $K \cap (-K) = \{0\}$. The order relation in Y induced by K is then also antisymmetrical. The vector space Y ordered by a cone is called an ordered vector space and it will be denoted by $(Y; K)$. In some problems concerning order structures on vector spaces it is natural to consider only orderings induced by wedges (see e.g. the generalized Hahn-Banach theorem and its equivalences (SY), (D), (EN), the directional minorability and support operators to sublinear operators (F) and (B), etc.).

A set M in Y is called lineally closed if its intersection with an arbitrary straight line in Y determines a subset of this line which is closed in the usual topology of this line identified with the real axis. As an immediate consequence of this definition we observe that if M is lineally closed then

$t_0 u + (1-t_0)v \in M$ whenever $tu + (1-t)v \in M$ for every $t < t_0$ (or every $t > t_0$) in a neighbourhood of t_0 .

The ordered vector space $(Y; K)$ is called Archimedean if for any v in K and u in Y from the relation $tv \geq u$ for every $t > 0$ it follows that $u \leq 0$. It is immediate that we have the following equivalent forms of the property: $v \geq tu$ for every $t > 0$ implies $u \leq 0$, or, $v \geq nu$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies $u \leq 0$.

1. PROPOSITION. The ordered vector space $(Y; K)$ is Archimedean if and only if for each subspace Y_0 of Y with $\dim Y_0 = 2$ the ordered vector space $(Y_0; K \cap Y_0)$ is Archimedean.

Proof. Suppose that $(Y; K)$ is Archimedean and take x in $K \cap Y_0$ and y in Y_0 for which it holds $y \leq tx$ for every $t > 0$. This means that $tx - y \in K \cap Y_0 \subset K$ for every $t > 0$. Thus $y \leq 0$. But $y \in Y_0$ and $y \in -K$, hence $y \in Y_0 \cap (-K) = -(Y_0 \cap K)$, that is $y \leq_{K \cap Y_0} 0$ which shows that $(Y_0; K \cap Y_0)$ is Archimedean.

Suppose now every subspace in Y of dimension 2 is Archimedean with respect to the induced order. Suppose that $y \leq tx$ for some x in K and y in Y and for every $t > 0$. Consider the subspace $Y_0 = \text{sp}\{x, y\}$ of Y . Then we have $tx - y \in Y_0$ for every $t > 0$ and since $tx - y \in K$ for every $t > 0$, we have $tx - y \in K \cap Y_0$ for every $t > 0$. The space $(Y_0; K \cap Y_0)$ being Archimedean, it follows that $y \in -K \cap Y_0 \subset -K$, that is, $y \leq 0$, which shows that $(Y; K)$ is Archimedean.

Q. E. D.

2. LEMMA. If a set of the form $\{tx : t > 0\}$ with $x \geq 0$ in an arbitrary ordered vector space has an infimum, this infimum must be 0.

Proof. Suppose that $y = \inf \{tx : t > 0\}$ for some $x \geq 0$. Then $y \geq 0$ and since $y \leq tx$ for arbitrary $t > 0$, it holds also $sy \leq rx$ for any $r > 0$ and $s > 0$. Put $s = 2$. Then $2y$ is a minorant of the set $\{rx : r > 0\}$ and hence $2y \leq y$, wherefrom $y \leq 0$. This shows that $y = 0$.

Q. E. D.

3. PROPOSITION. The ordered vector space $(Y;K)$ is Archimedean if and only if for every x in K there exists $\inf \{tx : t > 0\}$.

Proof. If $(Y;K)$ is Archimedean and $y \leq tx$ for some x in K , some y in Y and for every $t > 0$, then $y \leq 0$. This shows in fact that $0 = \inf \{tx : t > 0\}$.

Suppose now that for each x in K the infimum $\inf \{tx : t > 0\}$ exists. From Lemma 2 it follows that this infimum must be 0. Now if we have $y \leq tx$ for some y in Y and every $t > 0$, it follows that y is a minorant of the above considered set and hence it must hold $y \leq 0$. This shows that $(Y;K)$ is Archimedean.

Q. E. D.

The result in Proposition 3 was used in (N3) and (N4) and was observed by the referee of (N3), by J.M. Borwein and independently by the author in (N2).

4. PROPOSITION. The ordered vector space $(Y;K)$ is Archimedean if and only if K is lineally closed.

Proof. Consider a straight line Δ and suppose that $(Y;K)$ is Archimedean. Consider the set $\Delta \cap K$. If it is empty or if coincides with Δ then the intersection is a closed set in the topology of Δ . Suppose that $\Delta \cap K$ is not empty and does not coincide with Δ . Let $x \in \Delta \cap K$. If x is the single element of the intersection, then it is a point in Δ and hence is closed. If not, it is an interval in $K \cap \Delta$. Let y be an endpoint of this interval. We have to show that $y \in \Delta \cap K$. If $y = x$ we are done. If not, from the convexity of $\Delta \cap K$ it follows that for every s in $(0,1)$ one has $sy + (1-s)x \in \Delta \cap K \subset K$, that is, $sy + (1-s)x \geq 0$ and hence $-y \leq \frac{1-s}{s}x$ for every s in $(0,1)$. But then $-y \leq tx$ for each $t > 0$ and since $(Y;K)$ is Archimedean, it follows that $-y \leq 0$, that is y is in K . This shows that K is lineally closed.

Suppose now that K is lineally closed and let x in K and y in Y have the property that $y \leq tx$ for every $t > 0$. Consider the line $\{sx + (1-s)(-y) : s \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Then the set

$$\left\{ \frac{t}{1+t}x + \frac{1}{1+t}(-y) : t > -1 \right\}$$

will be an open semi-line of it and we have by hypothesis

$$u(t) := \frac{t}{1+t}x + \frac{1}{1+t}(-y) \in K$$

for every $t > 0$. Since K is lineally closed and the involved coefficients are continuous functions of t at $t = 0$, it follows that $u(0) \in K$. This means that $-y \in K$, that is, $y \leq 0$. This shows that $(Y;K)$ is Archimedean.

Q. E. D.

The assertion in Proposition 4 was implicitly used in the comments in (N3) and will be used in the remark after Lemma 1 in (N5).

5. PROPOSITION. The ordered vector space $(Y;K)$ is Archimedean if and only if for every increasing sequence (x_n) which is bounded from above there exists $\inf \{x_{n+1} - x_n : n \in N\}$. If the above type infima exist, then they must be 0.

Proof. Suppose that $(Y;K)$ is Archimedean and let (x_n) be an increasing sequence having as upper bound the element u . We have obviously $x_{n+1} - x_n \geq 0$ for every n and consider an arbitrary lower bound v of the set $\{x_{n+1} - x_n : n \in N\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 - x_1 &\geq v \\ x_3 - x_2 &\geq v \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ x_{n+1} - x_n &\geq v \end{aligned}$$

and adding these relations we get

$$u - x_1 \geq x_{n+1} - x_1 \geq nv.$$

That is, we have $nv \leq u - x_1$ with $u - x_1$ in K and for every n in N . Then since $(Y;K)$ is Archimedean we get $v \leq 0$. This shows that

$$0 = \inf \{x_{n+1} - x_n : n \in N\}.$$

To prove the converse implication suppose that x is in K . Consider the sequence $(-\frac{1}{n}x)$. It is increasing and bounded from above by 0. Hence the set

$$\left\{ \left(-\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n}\right)x = \frac{1}{n(n+1)}x : n \in N \right\}$$

has an infimum as far as the condition in the proposition holds. Since $\frac{1}{n(n+1)}$ decreases to 0 with $n \rightarrow \infty$ and since x is in K , it follows that the set $\{tx : t \geq 0\}$ has the same infimum. Using now Proposition 3 it follows that the ordered vector space $(Y;K)$ is Archimedean and the proof is complete.

Q. E. D.

Let X be a real vector space. The operator $P : X \rightarrow (Y;K)$ is called sublinear if it is positively homogeneous, that is, if $P(tx) = tP(x)$ for every x in X and every $t \geq 0$, and if it is subadditive, that is, if $P(x_1 + x_2) \leq P(x_1) + P(x_2)$ for any x_1 and x_2 in X .

The linear operator $A : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a bounding operator for P if there exists some y in Y such that

$$Ax + y \leq P(x)$$

for each x in X . The linear operator $A : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a supporting operator of P if

$$Ax \leq P(x)$$

for each x in X . Each supporting operator of P is obviously also a bounding operator for P . The converse implication characterizes the Archimedean ordered vector spaces. More precisely we have :

6. PROPOSITION. The ordered vector space $(Y;K)$ is Archimedean if and only if each bounding operator to each

sublinear operator with values in $(Y;K)$ is also a supporting operator of this sublinear operator.

Proof. Suppose that $(Y;K)$ is Archimedian and let $P : X \rightarrow (Y;K)$ be a sublinear operator with a bounding operator A . That is, we have for some y in Y the relation

$$Ax + y \leq P(x)$$

for every x in X . Let we fix x for the moment and put tx in place of x in the above relation with an arbitrary $t > 0$. Then it holds

$$t(P(x) - Ax) - y \in K$$

and

$$\left\{ \frac{t}{1+t} (P(x) - Ax) + \frac{1}{1+t} (-y) : t > 0 \right\}$$

is a line segment in K . Since $(Y;K)$ is Archimedian, it follows by Proposition 4 that K is lineally closed. Hence the limit point of the above line segment for $t \rightarrow \infty$ must be in K . This means that

$$P(x) - Ax \in K.$$

Since x was arbitrarily chosen, the obtained relation shows that A is a supporting operator for P .

To verify the converse implication we shall show that if $(Y;K)$ is not Archimedian, then it can be constructed a sublinear operator which has a bounding operator which is

not a supporting operator too.

Assume that $(Y;K)$ is not Archimedian. Then there exists some a in K and some b in Y such that $ta \geq b$ (or equivalently, $a \geq tb$) for each $t > 0$, but $b \notin 0$. Let us define the operator $P : R \rightarrow (Y;K)$ by putting $P(t) = t(a-b)$ for $t \geq 0$ and $P(t) = -ta$ if $t < 0$. Let we see that P is sublinear. It is obviously positively definite. To verify its subadditivity it suffices to show that

$$P(t_1 + t_2) \leq P(t_1) + P(t_2)$$

for $t_1 < 0$ and $t_2 > 0$. If $t_1 + t_2 \geq 0$ then $P(t_1 + t_2) = (t_1 + t_2)(a - b)$, $P(t_1) = -t_1a$, $P(t_2) = t_2(a - b)$ and hence it holds

$$P(t_1 + t_2) = t_1(a - b) + t_2(a - b) \leq -t_1a + t_2(a - b) = P(t_1) + P(t_2).$$

If $t_1 + t_2 < 0$, then we have $P(t_1 + t_2) = -(t_1 + t_2)a$ and then

$$P(t_1 + t_2) = -t_1a - t_2a \leq -t_1a \leq -t_1a + t_2(a - b) = P(t_1) + P(t_2).$$

Let the linear operator $A : R \rightarrow Y$ be defined by $At = ta$. We shall show that

$$P(t) \geq At - a$$

for each t in R . It is sufficient to verify the relation for $t \neq 0$. We have for $t > 0$ the relations

$$P(t) = t(a - b) = ta - tb \geq ta - a = At - a$$



since $-tb \geq -a$ for each $t > 0$ by hypothesis.

If $t < 0$ then obviously

$$P(t) = -ta \geq ta \geq ta - a = At - a.$$

In conclusion, A is a bounding operator for P . Assume that it is also a supporting operator of P , i. e., that

$$P(t) \geq At$$

for every t in $\mathbb{R}$. We have then for $t = 1$ the relations

$$a - b = P(1) \geq A(1) = a$$

wherefrom it follows that $b \leq 0$. The obtained contradiction completes the proof.

Q. E. D.

REFERENCES

- (B) BORWEIN, J. M., Subgradients of convex operators, Math. Operationsforschung u. Statistik, ser. Optimization, 15 (1984), 179 - 191.
- (D) DAY, M. M., Normed Linear Spaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1973.
- (EN) ELSTER, K. -H., NEHSE, R., Necessary and sufficient condition for the order-completeness of partially ordered vector spaces, Math. Nachrichten 81 (1978), 301 - 311.

- (F) FEL'DMAN, M. M., On the sufficient conditions of the existence of supporting operators to sublinear mappings (Russian) Sibirsk. Mat. Z., 16 (1975), 132 - 138.
- (N1) NEMETH, A. B., Near to minimality in ordered vector spaces, Mathematica (Cluj), 23 (46) (1981), 239 - 245.
- (N2) - " - , Monotone sequence property and the directional minorability of convex operators are equivalent, Proc. Nat. Conf. on Optimization, Cluj-Napoca, 1984.
- (N3) - " - , On the subdifferentiability of convex operators, to appear in Journal of London Math. Soc.
- (N4) - " - , On some universal subdifferentiability properties of ordered vector spaces, "Babeş-Bolyai" Univ. Faculty of Math. Research Seminars, Preprint nr. 1, 1985, 93 - 116.
- (N5) - " - , A necessary condition of subdifferentiability of convex operators, this volume.
- (SY) SILVERMAN, R. J., YEN, TI, The Hahn-Banach theorem and the least upper bound property, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 90 (1959), 523 - 526.