

A PHELPS TYPE THEOREM FOR SPACES WITH ASYMMETRIC NORMS

Author(s): Costică MUSTĂȚA

Source: *Buletinul științific al Universitatii Baia Mare, Seria B, Fascicola matematică-informatică*, Vol. 18, No. 2 (2002), pp. 275-280

Published by: Sinus Association

Stable URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/44001869>

Accessed: 31-03-2023 10:23 UTC

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <https://about.jstor.org/terms>



JSTOR

Sinus Association is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Buletinul științific al Universitatii Baia Mare, Seria B, Fascicola matematică-informatică*

A PHELPS TYPE THEOREM FOR SPACES WITH ASYMMETRIC NORMS

Costică MUSTĂŢA

Abstract. If $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a linear space with asymmetric norm and Y is a subspace of X , for every $f \in Y_+^*$ (the cone of linear bounded functional on Y) there exists at most one functional $F \in X_+^*$ extending f and preserving the asymmetric norm of f . The problem of uniqueness of the extension in terms of uniqueness of elements of best approximation of $F \in X_+^*$ by elements of $Y_+^* = \{G \in X_+^* : G|_Y = 0, F \geq G\}$ is discussed.

MSC: 41A65, 41A52, 46A22

Keywords: asymmetric norm, extension and approximation

1. Asymmetric norms

Let X be a real linear space and $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a function with the following properties:

1) $\|x\| > 0$ for all $x \neq \theta$; 2) $\|\lambda x\| = \lambda \|x\|$ for all $\lambda \geq 0$ and all $x \in X$; 3) $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then the function $\|\cdot\|$ is called an *asymmetric norm* on X and the pair $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a *space with asymmetric norm* (see [5]). In such a space, in general $\|-x\| \neq \|x\|$.

Example ([1]) Consider the real linear space

$$C_0([0, 1], 1, 0) = \left\{ x : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \text{ is continuous and } \int_0^1 x(t) dt = 0 \right\}.$$

The function $\|\cdot\| : C_0([0, 1], 1, 0) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\|x\| = \max\{x(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ satisfies the properties 1) - 3) of asymmetric norm. The functions $x_\alpha(t) = \alpha(t - \frac{1}{2})$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ are in $C_0([0, 1], 1, 0)$ and $\|x_\alpha\| = \frac{|\alpha|}{2} = \|-x_\alpha\|$, but the functions $y_n(t) = 1 - nt^{n-1}$, $n > 2$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), which also belong to $C([0, 1], 1, 0)$ satisfy $\|y_n\| = 1$ and $\|-y_n\| = n - 1 > 1$, i.e. $\|y_n\| \neq \|-y_n\|$.

By definition, the balls $B(x, r) = \{y \in X : \|y - x\| < r\}$ $x \in X$ and $r > 0$ form a base of the topology of the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. The space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ equipped with this topology need not be a topological linear space, since the multiplication by scalars is not continuous. In the preceding example, for $x = 0$ and $\lambda = -1$, $(-1)0 = 0$ and for all $r > 0$, $-B(0, r) \not\subseteq B(0, 1)$ i.e. the multiplication by scalars is not continuous.

For each asymmetric norm $\|\cdot\|$ on X one defines $\|x\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|-x\|\}$. Then $\|x\| \leq \|x\|$, $x \in X$. If there exists $c > 0$ such that $\|x\| \leq c\|x\|$, i.e. the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and asymmetric

norm $\|\cdot\|$ are equivalent, then $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a topological linear space. Such a situation occurs when $\dim X < \infty$. In this case all the norms and asymmetric norms are equivalent ([5], I.2.1. pp.21-23). If $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|$ are equivalent then $\|\cdot\|$ is continuous on X .

An example of an asymmetric norm on the normed space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is given by $\|x\| = \|x\| + \varphi(x)$, $x \in X$ where $\varphi \in X^*$, $\varphi \neq 0$, (a linear and continuous functional on X).

2. Linear and bounded functional on a linear space with asymmetric norm.

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a space with asymmetric norm and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a linear functional.

The linear functional f is called *bounded* if

$$\|f\| := \sup \left\{ \frac{f(x)}{\|x\|} < \infty : x \neq 0 \right\} < \infty. \quad (1)$$

(see [5], Ch.9, Sec.5, p.483). If f is a linear and bounded functional, then

$$f(x) \leq \|f\| \cdot \|x\|, \quad x \in X, \quad (2)$$

and, changing x with $-x$, one obtains $-f(x) = f(-x) \leq \|f\| \cdot \|-x\|$. Consequently

$$-\|f\| \cdot \|-x\| \leq f(x) \leq \|f\| \cdot \|x\|, \quad x \in X$$

and in general, $\|-f\| \neq \|f\|$. Denote by $X^\#$ the algebraic dual of the linear space X and by X_+^* the set of all linear and bounded functional on the space X with an asymmetric norm $\|\cdot\|$.

For $f, g \in X_+^*$ one obtains $f + g \in X_+^*$ and $\lambda f \in X_+^*$ ($\lambda \geq 0$) ($\lambda f + \mu g \in X_+^*$, for all $f, g \in X_+^*$ and all $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$). Consequently X_+^* is a convex cone in $X^\#$.

The functional $\|\cdot\| : X_+^* \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by formula (1) satisfies the axioms 1) - 3) of an asymmetric norm. Indeed, if $f \neq 0$ then there exists $x \in X$, $x \neq 0$ such that $f(x) > 0$ or $f(-x) > 0$. It follows that $\|f\| = \sup (f(x) / \|x\|) > 0$. If $\lambda \geq 0$ then $\|\lambda f\| = \lambda \|f\|$ and $\|f + g\| \leq \|f\| + \|g\|$ are evidently fulfilled.

Finally, observe that the function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$d(x, y) = \|x - y\|, \quad x, y \in X, \quad (3)$$

where X is a space with asymmetric norm $\|\cdot\|$, is a quasi-metric on X , i.e. d satisfies the conditions:

a) $d(x, y) = 0 \iff x = y$; b) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$, $x, y, z \in X$ (see [6])

For $f \in X_+^*$ and all $x, y \in X$, we have $f(x - y) \leq \|f\| \cdot \|x - y\|$, so that

$$f(x) - f(y) \leq \|f\| \cdot \|x - y\|, \quad x, y \in X. \quad (4)$$

The last inequality means that every bounded linear functional f on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is semi-Lipschitz (see [11]) i.e. $X_+^* \subset SLip_0 X$ where

$$SLip_0 X = \left\{ f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(0) = 0, \sup \frac{(f(x) - f(y)) \vee 0}{\|x - y\|} < \infty \right\}$$

is the semi-linear space of semi-Lipschitz real functions defined on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ (see [11]). Because $X_+^* \subset S Lip_0 X$, for every $f \in X_+^*$, we have

$$\sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{f(x) \vee 0}{\|x\|} = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{f(x)}{\|x\|} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{x-y \neq 0} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{\|x-y\|} = \|f\| \quad (5)$$

i.e. the asymmetric norm of $f \in X_+^*$ is the smallest semi-Lipschitz constant of f .

Let Y be a subspace of the linear space X with asymmetric norm $\|\cdot\|$ and let $f \in Y_+^*$. Then $f \in S Lip_0 Y$ and, by an analogue of an extension theorem of McShane ([7]), there exists at least one function $F \in S Lip_0 X$ such that $F|_Y = f$ and $\|F\| = \|f\|$ (see [8], Th.2). In our case the following result holds:

Theorem 1. ([5]). *Let X be a real linear space with the asymmetric norm $\|\cdot\|$ and Y be a subspace of X . Then for every $f \in Y_+^*$ there exists $F \in X_+^*$ such that*

$$a) F|_Y = f, \quad b) \|F\| = \|f\|.$$

Proof. If $f \in Y_+^*$ let $p: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $p(x) = \|f\| \cdot \|x\|$. Then $f(y) \leq \|f\| \cdot \|y\| = p(y)$, $y \in Y$, and by Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists $F \in X^*$ such that

$$F|_Y = f \text{ and } F(x) \leq \|f\| \cdot \|x\|, \quad x \in X.$$

Then

$$\frac{F(x)}{\|x\|} \leq \|f\|, \quad x \in X, x \neq 0$$

and taking the supremum with respect to $x \in X$ one obtains $\|F\| \leq \|f\|$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\| &= \sup \left\{ \frac{F(x)}{\|x\|}, x \in X, x \neq 0 \right\} \geq \sup \left\{ \frac{F(y)}{\|y\|}, y \in Y, y \neq 0 \right\} = \\ &= \sup \left\{ \frac{f(y)}{\|y\|}, y \in Y, y \neq 0 \right\} = \|f\| \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and, consequently $\|F\| = \|f\|$.

By Theorem 1 it follows that if Y is a subspace of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ then for every $f \in Y_+^*$ the set

$$\mathcal{E}(f) = \{F \in X_+^* : F|_Y = f \text{ and } \|F\| = \|f\|\} \quad (6)$$

is nonvoid.

Observe that, for every $f \in Y_+^*$, the set $\mathcal{E}(f)$ of all extensions of f , is included in $S(0) := \{F \in X_+^* : \|F\| = \|f\|\}$ and $\mathcal{E}(f)$ is convex.

Indeed, if $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{E}(f)$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ then $f = \lambda F_1|_Y + (1 - \lambda) F_2|_Y$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\| &= \|\lambda F_1|_Y + (1-\lambda) F_2\| \leq \|\lambda F_1 + (1-\lambda) F_2\| \leq \lambda \|F_1\| + (1-\lambda) \|F_2\| = \\ &= \lambda \|f\| + (1-\lambda) \|f\| = \|f\| \quad \text{so that } \lambda F_1 + (1-\lambda) F_2 \in \mathcal{E}(f). \end{aligned}$$

3. Extension and approximation

In [10] R.R. Phelps made a connection between the set of the extensions of a linear and continuous functional $f \in Y^*$ (Y^* is the algebraic - topological dual of the subspace Y of a normed space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$) and the set of elements of best approximation of a functional $F \in X^*$ by the elements of the annihilator $Y^\perp = \{G \in X^* : G|_Y = 0\}$.

If $F \in X^*$ then the set of elements of best approximation of F in Y^\perp is $P_{Y^\perp}(F) = F - \mathcal{E}(F|_Y)$ where $\mathcal{E}(F|_Y) = \{H \in X^* : H|_Y = F|_Y \text{ and } \|H\| = \|F|_Y\|\}$. The extension of a functional $f \in Y^*$ is unique if and only if Y^\perp is a Chebyshevian subspace of X^* .

In the proof of R.R. Phelps' result one uses an essential fact: together with $F \in X^*$ the functional $F - G$ belongs to X^* , for every $G \in \mathcal{E}(F|_Y)$, i.e. the fact that X^* has a structure of linear space.

Because X_+^* has only a structure of a convex cone, it could exist a linear and bounded functional $F \in X_+^*$, such that for certain extensions G from $\mathcal{E}(F|_Y)$, or for all of them, we could have $F - G$ unbounded, i.e. $F - G \notin X_+^*$. Some additional definitions are necessary. For a cone \mathcal{K} in a linear space \mathcal{V} and $x, y \in \mathcal{V}$, we will write $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in \mathcal{K}$.

Let \mathcal{M} be a non-empty subset of the cone X_+^* and $F \in X_+^*$. We say that F admits *minorants* in \mathcal{M} if there exists $G \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $F \geq G$ (i.e. $F - G \in X_+^*$) and we say that F *majorizes* the set \mathcal{M} if $F \geq G$ for every $G \in \mathcal{M}$. (i.e. $F - \mathcal{M} \subset X_+^*$). Obviously, if $F \in X_+^*$ and majorizes \mathcal{M} , then F admits minorants in \mathcal{M} .

For a subspace Y of the space X with asymmetric norm, we denote by Y_+^\perp the annihilator of Y in X_+^* i.e., the set

$$Y_+^\perp = \{G \in X_+^* : G|_Y = 0\}. \quad (7)$$

We state the following problem of best approximation:

For $F \in X_+^*$ find $G_0 \in Y_+^\perp$ such that $\|F - G_0\| = d_+(F, Y_+^\perp)$ where

$$d_+(F, Y_+^*) = \inf \{\|F - G\| : G \in Y_+^\perp, F \geq G\}. \quad (8)$$

Let

$$P_{Y_+^\perp}(F) := \{G \in Y_+^* : F \geq G, \|F - G\| = d_+(F, Y_+^\perp)\}. \quad (9)$$

We say that Y_+^\perp is *F-proximinal* if $P_{Y_+^\perp}(F) \neq \emptyset$. If, in addition, $\text{card } P_{Y_+^\perp}(F) = 1$ then Y_+^\perp is called *F-Chebyshevian*.

The following result is similar to Phelps' result ([10]).

Theorem 2. *Let X be a space with asymmetric norm, Y a subspace of X , and $F \in X_+^*$. Let*

$$\mathcal{E}(F|_Y) = \{H \in X_+^* : H|_Y = F|_Y \text{ and } \|H\| = \|F|_Y\|\} \quad (10)$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_+(F|_Y) = \{H \in \mathcal{E}(F|_Y) : H \leq F\} \quad (11)$$

a) If $\mathcal{E}_+(F|_Y) \neq \emptyset$ then Y_+^\perp is F - proximal and the following equality holds:

$$d_+(F, Y_+^\perp) = \|F|_Y\|. \quad (12)$$

b) If $G_0 \in P_{Y_+^\perp}(F)$ then $F - G_0 \in \mathcal{E}_+(F|_Y)$.

c) We have $\mathcal{E}_+(F|_Y) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $P_{Y_+^\perp}(F) \neq \emptyset$ and the following equality holds:

$$F - \mathcal{E}_+(F|_Y) = P_{Y_+^\perp}(F). \quad (13)$$

d) Y_+^\perp is F - Chebyshevian if and only $\text{card } \mathcal{E}_+(F|_Y) = 1$.

e) $F \in \mathcal{E}_+(F|_Y)$ if and only if $0 \in P_{Y_+^\perp}(F)$.

Proof. Let G_0 be a minorant of F in $\mathcal{E}(F|_Y)$ (G_0 exists, because $\mathcal{E}_+(F|_Y) \neq \emptyset$). Then, $F - G_0 \in X_+^*$ and

$$\|F|_Y\| = \|G_0\| = \|F - (F - G_0)\| \geq d_+(F, Y_+^\perp).$$

On the other hand, for every $G \in Y_+^\perp$ ($F \geq G$) we have

$$\|F|_Y\| = \|F|_Y - G|_Y\| \leq \|F - G\|.$$

Taking the infimum with respect to $G \in Y_+^\perp$ ($F \geq G$) we find

$$\|F|_Y\| \leq d_+(F, Y_+^\perp).$$

Therefore, the formula (12) holds, and Y_+^\perp is F - proximal.

b) Let $G_0 \in P_{Y_+^\perp}(F)$. Then $F \geq G_0$ (according to the definition of $P_{Y_+^\perp}(F)$), $(F - G_0)|_Y = F|_Y$ and

$$\|F - G_0\| = \inf \{\|F - G\| : G \in Y_+^\perp, F \geq G\} = d_+(F, Y_+^\perp) = \|F|_Y\|$$

(according to a)). Thus $F - G_0 \in \mathcal{E}_+(F|_Y)$.

c) Follows from a) and b).

If $H \in \mathcal{E}_+(F|_Y)$ then $F \geq H$, $(F - H)|_Y = 0$ and

$$\|F - (F - H)\| = \|H\| = \|F|_Y\| = d_+(F, Y_+^\perp),$$

and then $F - H \in P_{Y_+^\perp}(F)$.

Conversely, $G \in P_{Y_+^\perp}(F)$ implies $F \geq G$, so that $F - G \in X_+^*$, $(F - G)|_Y = F|_Y$, and

$$\|F - G\| = \|F|_Y\| = d_+(F, P_{Y_+^\perp}).$$

It follows that $F - G \in \mathcal{E}_+(F|_Y)$, i.e. $G \in F - \mathcal{E}_+(F|_Y)$.

d) If Y_+^\perp is F - Chebyshevian, it results that there exists only one element $G \in P_{Y_+^\perp}(F)$ such that $F \geq G$, so that $F - G \in X_+^*$, $(F - G)|_Y = F|_Y$ and

$$\|F - G\| = d_+(F, Y_+^\perp) = \|F|_Y\|,$$

i.e. $\mathcal{E}_+(F|_Y)$ contains only one element, namely $F - G$.

e) If $F \in \mathcal{E}_+(F|_Y)$ then there exists $H \in \mathcal{E}_+(F|_Y)$ such that $F = H$. Thus, according to c) $F - H = F - F = 0 \in P_{Y_+^\perp}(F)$.

If $0 \in P_{Y_+^\perp}(F)$ then $\|F\| = d_+(F, Y_+^\perp) = \|F|_Y\|$, so $F \in \mathcal{E}_+(F|_Y)$. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] Borodin, P.A.; The Banach-Mazur Theorem for Spaces with Asymmetric Norm and Its Applications in Convex Analysis, Mathematical Notes vol. 69. Nr.3 (2001), 298-305
- [2] Dolzhenko, E.P. and E.A. Sevast'yanov, Approximation with 'sign-sensitive weights, Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. [Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math.] 62 (1998) no.6, 59-102 and 63 (1999) no.3 77-48.
- [3] Ferrer, J., Gregori, V. and C. Alegre, Quasi-uniform structures in linear lattices, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 23 (1993), 877-884
- [4] García - Raffi, L.M.; Romaguera S., and Sanchez Pérez E.A., Extension of Asymmetric Norms to Linear Spaces, Rend. Istit. Mat. Trieste XXXIII, 113-125 (2001)
- [5] Krein, M.G. and A.A. Nudel'man, The Markov Moment Problem and Extremum Problems [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow, 1973.
- [6] Kopperman, R.D., All topologies come from generalized metrics, Amer. Math. Monthly 95 (1988), 89-97
- [7] McShane, E.J., Extension of Range of Functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1934), 847-842
- [8] Mustăța, C., Extensions of Semi-Lipschitz functions on quasi-Metric spaces, Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx. 30 (2001) No.1, 61-67
- [9] Mustăța, C., Extensions of convex Semi-Lipschitz Functions on quasi-metric linear spaces, Séminaire de la Théorie de la Meilleure Approximation Convexité et Optimization, Cluj-Napoca, le 29 november 2001, 85-92.
- [10] Phelps, R.R., Uniqueness of Hahn - Banach Extension and Unique Best Approximation, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1960), 238-255.
- [11] Romaguera, S. and M. Sanchis, Semi-Lipschitz Functions and Best Approximation in quasi-Metric Spaces, J. Approx. Theory 103 (2000), 292-301.

Received: 1.09.2002

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
North University of Baia Mare, Str. Victoriei nr. 76
4800 Baia Mare ROMANIA;
Email: mmustata@ubbcluj.ro