

## PROPOSED PROBLEMS

R. ASKEY

1. Let  $P_{n-1}(x)$  be a polynomial of degree  $n-1$ ,  $x_{k,n}$  the zeros of the polynomials orthogonal with respect to  $d\alpha(x)$ , a positive measure on  $[-1, 1]$ , and  $\lambda_k$  the corresponding Christoffel numbers. When is

$$\left[ \sum_{k=1}^n |P_{n-1}(x_{k,n})|^p \lambda_k \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq A \left[ \int_{-1}^1 |P_{n-1}(x)|^p d\alpha(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where  $A$  is independent of  $n$  and the polynomial  $P_{n-1}(x)$ . For  $p = \infty$  and  $p = 2$  it holds for all positive measures with  $A = 1$ . It holds for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  if  $d\alpha(x) = (1-x)^\alpha (1+x)^\beta dx$  for some values of  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . For  $\alpha = \beta = -\frac{1}{2}$

this was proven by Marcinkiewicz and I can prove it for  $\alpha = \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ ;  $\alpha > \beta = -\frac{1}{2}$ ;  $\alpha = k$ ;  $\beta = 0$ ;  $\alpha = 2k + 1$ ,  $\beta = 1$ ;  $\alpha = >$ ,  $\beta = 3$ ,  $k$  a positive integer.

2. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two Banach spaces of functions on  $[-1, 1]$  with  $A \subset B$ . Let  $P_n(x)$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$ . Assume that  $A$  contains all polynomials of degree  $n$ . Then

$$\|Q_n\|_A \leq a(n, A, B) \|P_n\|_B.$$

Find the correct order of growth of  $a(n, A, B)$ . My main interest is the case  $A = L^q(d\alpha)$ ,  $B = L^p(d\beta)$ , but the problem is also of interest for Lipschitz spaces. (Markov's and Bernstein's inequalities are of this type.) A much harder question is to find the best constant. This is unknown even in the case  $A = L^4$ ,  $B = L^2$  for trigonometric polynomials. See A. GARSIN, E. RODEMICH and H. RUMSEY, "On some extremal positive definite functions", *J. of Math. and Mech.*, 18 (1969), 805-834, p. 834, for some related results.

3. (Turán) Find a positive measure  $d\alpha(x)$  on  $[-1, 1]$  for which  $\int_{-1}^1 |f(x) - L_n^f(x)|^p d\alpha(x) \rightarrow 0$  fails for some continuous function for all  $p > 2$ . (Askey) I conjecture that the measures associated with Pollaczek

polynomials have this property. These measures vanish so rapidly at  $x = \pm 1$  that  $\int_{-1}^1 \frac{|\log w(x)| dx}{(1-x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$  diverges,  $d\alpha(x) = w(x)dx$ .

4. Prove that  $\int_{-1}^1 |L_n^f(x, \alpha, \beta) - f(x)|^p (1-x)^\gamma (1+x)^\delta dx \rightarrow 0$  for all continuous functions if  $\alpha \geq \beta > -1$  and

(i) if  $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$  then  $p < \min(4(\gamma+1)/(2\alpha+1), 4(\delta+1)/(2\beta+1))$ ,

(ii) if  $-1 < \alpha \leq -\frac{1}{2}$  then  $p < \infty$ ,  $\gamma \geq \alpha$ ,  $\delta \geq \beta$ .

This is known for  $\gamma = \alpha$ ,  $\delta = \beta$ ;  $\gamma = \delta = 0$ ,  $p = 2$ ;  $\gamma = \delta = 0$ ,  $p = 1$ . Condition (i) is best possible.

5. Let  $f(x) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ ,  $f(x) \geq 0$  and  $\alpha + \beta + 1 \geq 0$ . Prove that the  $(C, \alpha + \beta + 2)$  means are non-negative. For  $\alpha = \beta = -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ ,  $\alpha = -\beta = \frac{1}{2}$  this was shown by Fejér, and for  $\alpha = \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$  it was shown by Kogbetliantz.

6. Let  $d\alpha(x)$  be a positive measure on  $[-1, 1]$  and  $p_n(x)$  the corresponding orthonormal polynomials. For which  $p$  do we have  $\int_{-1}^1 |f(x) - S_n^f(x)|^p d\alpha(x) \rightarrow 0$ , where  $S_n^f(x)$  is the  $n$ -th partial sum of the orthogonal series in  $p_n(x)$ . For

$$d\alpha(x) = (1-x)^{\alpha_1} \prod_{i=2}^{j-1} |x - x_i|^{\alpha_i} (1+x)^{\alpha_j} dx, \quad \alpha_1, \alpha_j \geq -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \alpha_i \geq 0,$$

$i = 2, 3, \dots, j-1$ ,  $-1 < x_{j-1} < \dots < x_2 < 1$ , I conjecture that the correct range is

$$4(1 + \alpha_i)/(2\alpha_i + 3) < p < 4(1 + \alpha_i)/(2\alpha_i + 1), \quad i = 1, \dots, j,$$

$$2(1 + \alpha_i)/(\alpha_i + 2) < p < 2(1 + \alpha_i)/\alpha_i, \quad i = 2, \dots, j-1.$$

Some case with  $d\alpha(x)$  a set of point masses should be worked out to see if this influences the range of  $p$ , or whether it is only the zeros of  $(1-x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}w(x)$  that matter;  $w(x)$  the derivative of the absolutely continuous part of  $d\alpha(x)$ .

#### R. DEVORE

1. Let  $C^*[-\pi, \pi]$  denote the space of  $2\pi$ -periodic continuous functions and  $\|\cdot\|$  the supremum norm on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ . If  $(L_n)$  is a sequence of positive operators such that  $L_n(f)$  is a trigonometric polynomial of degree  $\leq n$  for

each  $f$  and  $n$  and if  $(L_n)$  satisfies the following conditions

$$\|1 - L_n(1)\| = o\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

$$\|\sin x - L_n(\sin t, x)\| = O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

$$\|\cos x - L_n(\cos t, x)\| = O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

then

$$\|f - L_n(f)\| = o\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

is equivalent to  $f$  is constant on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ .

2. Give an example of a sequence of positive operators  $(L_n)$  such that for each  $f \in C([-1, 1])$   $L_n(f)$  is an algebraic polynomial of degree  $\leq n$  and

$$(i) \quad \|1 - L_n(1)\| = o\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

$$(ii) \quad \|x - L_n(t, x)\| = O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

$$(iii) \quad \|x^2 - L_n(t^2, x^2)\| = O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the supremum norm on  $[-1, 1]$ .

#### G. FREUD

1. We recently proved the following result: Let  $f \in \text{Lip } \alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$  a  $2\pi$ -periodic and let

$$\mathfrak{M}_0(f) = \{x: f(x+h) - f(x) = o(|h|^\alpha)\}$$

$$\mathfrak{M}_1(f) = \{x: f(x+h) - f(x-h) = o(|h|^\alpha)\}$$

$$\mathfrak{M}_2(f) = \{x: f(x+h) + f(x-h) - 2f(x) = o(|h|^\alpha)\}$$

further let  $\tilde{f}$  be the harmonic conjugate of  $f$ , so that by Privalov's theorem  $\tilde{f} \in \text{Lip } \alpha$ .

THEOREM. Each two of the sets  $\mathfrak{M}_0(f)$ ;  $\mathfrak{M}_1(f)$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}_2(f)$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}_0(\tilde{f})$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}_1(\tilde{f})$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}_2(\tilde{f})$  differ by a set of measure zero at most (G. FREUD, *Studia Mathematica*, 1969). (Proved with the aid of trigonometric approximation.)

Problem 1a: Extend the result to the sets

$$\mathfrak{M}_k(f) = \{x: \Delta_h^k f(x) = o(|h|^\alpha)\}$$

**Problem 1b:** The operator  $f \rightarrow \tilde{f}$  is a special singular integral. Extend the result to singular integrals of more general type.

**Problem 1c:** Extend the result to functions of several variables.

2. Let  $e^{Q(x)}$  be a weight function on the whole real axis, let us denote by  $\Pi_n$  the set of polynomials of degree at most  $n$  and for an arbitrary on  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  continuous  $f$  let

$$\varepsilon_n(Q; f) = \inf_{p_n \in \Pi_n} \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |f(x) - p_n(x)| e^{-Q(x)}.$$

We recently proved that for a continuously differentiable  $f(x)$

$$\varepsilon_n(x^2; f) \leq cn^{-1/2} \varepsilon_n(x^2; f')$$

where  $n^{-1/2}$  is the best possible order of decrease. The emphasis of this result is on the fact, that no restriction concerning the rapidity of increase of  $f(x)$  for  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$  is supposed.

Previous results on more general  $Q(x)$  from M. M. DZRBASIAN (*Dokl. A. N. USSR*, **84** (1952), pp. 1123–1126) assume the uniform boundedness of  $f(x)$  and those of the speaker (G. FREUD, *Acta Math. Ac. Sci. Hung.*, **20** (1969), pp. 223–225) apply only for functions with polynomial growth.

Prove the more general inequality

$$\varepsilon_n(Q; f) \leq \alpha_n \varepsilon_n(Q; f')$$

with correct order of  $\alpha_n$ .

#### J. MUSIELAK

1. Let  $AC_p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , be the Banach space of functions  $f$  defined in the interval  $[a, b]$ ,  $f(a) = 0$ , satisfying the following condition: for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that for any finite system of non-overlapping subintervals  $(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n)$  of the interval  $[a, b]$  the inequality  $\sum_{k=1}^n (b_k - a_k)^p < \delta$  implies  $\sum_{k=1}^n |f(b_k) - f(a_k)|^p < \varepsilon$ , equipped with the norm  $\|f\|_p = \text{Var}_{a \leq x \leq b} f(x)$ . Let  $\{B_n(f)\}$  be the sequence of Bernstein polynomial of a function  $f \in AC_p$ . It is known that in case  $p = 1$ ,  $\|f - B_n(f)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Does the same hold for  $p > 1$ , i.e. does  $\|f - B_n(f)\|_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for any function  $f \in AC_p$ , where  $p > 1$ ?

2. Let  $C$  be the non-separable Banach space of uniformly almost periodic functions (in the sense of Bohr) on the real line, provided with the norm  $\|f\|_C = \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |f(x)|$ . Find an orthonormal Schauder basis in  $C$ .

#### J. PEETRE

1. Does the space  $C^1$  have the interpolation property with respect to the couple  $\{C^0, C^2\}$ , i.e. is it true that

$$T: \{C^0, C^2\} \rightarrow \{C^0, C^2\} \Rightarrow T: C^1 \rightarrow C^1?$$

It is known that this is true if we substitute  $Z$  (Zygmund space) for  $C^1$  (cf. e.g. LIONS-PEETRE, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* **19** (1964), 5–68). Also the corresponding result is known in the  $L_p$ -metric ( $1 < p < \infty$ ), i.e.  $W_p^1$  has the interpolation property with respect to  $\{L_p, W_p^2\}$  (cf. CALDERÓN, *Studia Math.* **24** (1964), 113–190). The proof depends however on the Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem and does not generalize.

2. Let  $M_p$  be the space of Fourier-multipliers on  $L_p$ , i.e.  $a \in M_p$  if and only if  $f \in L_p \Rightarrow F^{-1} a F f \in L_p$  where  $F$  denotes the Fourier transform. Choose a “partition of unity”  $\chi_k$  ( $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ ) of the form  $\chi_k(\xi) = \chi(\xi/2^k)$  where  $\chi$  is a function whose support is contained in the interval  $(2^{k-1}, 2^{k+1})$ . It follows easily from a result of HARDY-LITTLEWOOD (*Quart. J. Math.* **12** (1941), 221–256) that

$$\left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \|\chi_k a\|_{M_p} \right)^{1/q} < \infty \Rightarrow a \in M_p \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{1}{q} = \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right|, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

It is possible to replace  $q$  by a larger exponent? It follows from e.g. STEIN-ZYGMUND, (*Ann. Math.* **85** (1967), 337–349) that at least  $q = \infty$  is not enough.

3. Let  $E$  be a, say, finite dimensional vectorspace. Which set-functions  $f$  satisfy

$$f(M + N) \leq f(M) + f(N)$$

where  $+$  denotes the Minkowsky sum (i.e.  $z \in M + N$  if and only if  $z$  has a representation of the form  $z = x + y$  with  $x \in M$  and  $y \in N$ ). I know two trivial solutions: 1°  $f(M) = \log_2 \text{card } M$ , 2°  $f(M) = \dim \bar{M}$  where  $\bar{M}$  denotes the linear hull. They are closely connected with the notions of  $\varepsilon$ -entropy and  $n$ -dimensional width respectively, which explains my interest in the general case.

4. (cf. the second problem posed by Musielak). Does  $AC_p$  have the interpolation property with respect to the couple  $\{C, AC\}$ ? A positive answer would in particular solve Musielak's problem. What is the relation of  $AC_p$  to the so-called Besov-spaces  $B_p^{sq}$  (cf. BESOV, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov* **60** (1961), 42–81)? It is known that (PEETRE (unpublished); cf. also e.g. KRABBE, *Math. Ann.* **151** (1963), 219–238)

$$B_p^{1/p, p} \cap C \subset AC_p \subset B_p^{1/p, \infty}$$

but are the exponents  $q$  involved the best possible?

#### T. POPOVICIU

Considérons un ensemble  $F$  du type  $I_n$  sur l'intervalle  $[a, b]$  et soit  $L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; f | x)$  l'élément unique de  $F$  qui prend les mêmes valeurs que la fonction  $f(x)$  sur les noeuds  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , supposés distincts.

Trouver tous les ensembles  $F$  tel que le quotient

$$\frac{f(x_{n+1}) - L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; f | x_{n+1})}{g(x_{n+1}) - L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; g | x_{n+1})}$$

soit une fonction symétrique des variables (distinctes)  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}$ ,  $g(x)$  étant une fonction  $F$ -convexe ou  $F$ -concave donnée. La propriété doit avoir lieu pour toute fonction  $f(x)$  définie sur l'intervalle  $[a, b]$ .

Pour la notion d'ensemble du type  $I_n$  (ensemble interpolatoire d'ordre  $n$ ) et pour les notions de fonction  $F$ -convexe et de fonction  $F$ -concave voir Elena MOLDOVAN «*Sur une généralisation des fonctions convexes*», *Mathematica*, 1 (24), 1959, 49–80.