Two-dimensional inverse problem of dynamics for families in parametric form

Related content

Recent citations

Two-dimensional inverse problem of dynamics for families in parametric form

Mira-Cristiana Anisiu†§ and Arpad Pal‡

† T Popoviciu Institute of Numerical Analysis, Romanian Academy, PO Box 68, 3400
Cluj-Napoca, Romania
‡ Astronomical Observatory, Babeş-Bolyai University, 19 Cireşilor St, 3400 Cluj-Napoca,
Romania

Abstract. The two-dimensional inverse problem of dynamics is considered for nonconservative force fields, both in inertial and rotating frames. The families of curves are given in parametric form $x = F(\lambda, b)$, $y = G(\lambda, b)$, b varying along the monoparametric family of planar curves and λ being the parameter describing a specific curve. The special case of the force fields generated by a potential in an inertial field, already studied by Bozis and Borghero, is derived as well as the corresponding one in rotating frames.

1. Introduction

The central aim of the inverse problem consists in finding the potential (or force field) which can give rise to a monoparametric family of planar curves traced by a unit mass material point P. The family of orbits was generally given (Szebehely [11], Broucke and Lass [4], Szebehely and Broucke [12], Bozis [1]) in the form

$$f(x, y) = c. \tag{1}$$

More details are to be found in the monograph paper of Bozis [2].

Recently, the problem of finding the potential when the family is given in parametric form was taken into account by Bozis and Borghero [3], because models inspired from the physical reality give rise to such families, for example of self-similar orbits. A special case is that of the family of ellipses

$$x = p \cos\theta/(1 + e_0 \cos\theta) \qquad y = p \sin\theta/(1 + e_0 \cos\theta)$$

having fixed eccentricity e_0 and variable parameter (semilatus rectum) p, θ being the true anomaly. Other self-similar orbits appear in astrophysics [8].

In the following we study the problem of finding general force fields which can produce families of orbits *a priori* given in parametric form. For families having the form (1) in a rotating frame the problem was considered by Pal and Anisiu [10].

§ E-mail address: mira@math.ubbcluj.ro

2. The inverse problem in an inertial frame

The inverse problem for families of the type (1) was considered at first by Dainelli [5] and Whittaker [13]. It consists in finding the components $X(x, y)$ and $Y(x, y)$ of the force under whose action a particle P of unit mass will describe a given family of orbits, the motion being governed by the system

$$\ddot{x} = X$$
$$\ddot{y} = Y,$$
(2)

the dots representing differentiation with respect to time t. The family of orbits was given in the implicit form (1).

We consider the corresponding problem for the case of a family of orbits given in the form

$$x = F(\lambda, b) \qquad y = G(\lambda, b),$$
(3)

where the parameter b varies from member to member of the family (as c did for (1)) and λ stands for the parameter varying along each curve for a fixed b. Partial derivatives will be denoted by subscripts.

The transformation (3) is considered: a C^2 one-to-one correspondence between a domain D in the xy Cartesian plane and a domain D' in the λb plane, with the Jacobian

$$J = F_\lambda G_b - F_b G_\lambda$$
(4)

different from zero. The fact that the Jacobian (4) is different from zero at a point assures the bijectivity and existence of a differentiable inverse of (3) only on a neighbourhood of that point; if it is different from zero at each point of $\mathbb{R}^2$, we have in general only local bijectivity for the transformation. If an extra condition is added, as for example weak coercivity (Zeidler [14])

$$\|(F, G)\| \to \infty \text{ whenever } \|(\lambda, b)\| \to \infty,$$
(5)

then the transformation is globally bijective. So, imposing the condition that the Jacobian is different from zero at each point of a domain D (or $\mathbb{R}^2$) we generally obtain only local results.

Besides the condition (4) on the Jacobian, we impose that

$$\Delta = F_{\lambda\lambda} G_\lambda - G_{\lambda\lambda} F_\lambda,$$
(6)
$$\beta = F_\lambda^2 + G_\lambda^2$$
(7)

are also different from zero.

The problem is now to find $X, Y \in C(D)$ such that the system (2) has, as solutions, the curves of the family (3), which means that there exists $l : (t_0, t_1) \to (\lambda_0, \lambda_1)$ of C^2-class so that the functions

$$x(t) \equiv F(l(t), b) \qquad y(t) \equiv G(l(t), b)$$
(8)

verify the system (2).

By differentiating in (8) we obtain the velocity components of the particle P

$$\dot{x} = F_\lambda \dot{l} \qquad \dot{y} = G_\lambda \dot{l}$$
(9)

and the acceleration components

$$\ddot{x} = F_{\lambda\lambda} \dot{l}^2 + F_\lambda \ddot{l} \qquad \ddot{y} = G_{\lambda\lambda} \dot{l}^2 + G_\lambda \ddot{l}.$$
(10)

Replacing (8) and (10) in (2) and denoting

$$\overline{X}(\lambda, b) = X(F(\lambda, b), G(\lambda, b)) \qquad \overline{Y}(\lambda, b) = Y(F(\lambda, b), G(\lambda, b))$$
(11)

we obtain

$$F_{\lambda\lambda}\dot{l}^2 + F_\lambda\ddot{l} = \overline{X}(l, b)$$
$$G_{\lambda\lambda}\dot{l}^2 + G_\lambda\ddot{l} = \overline{Y}(l, b), \tag{12}$$

where l has the argument t, while F, G and their derivatives have the argument $(l(t), b)$. It follows that

$$\dot{l}^2 = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \overline{X}(l, b) & F_\lambda \\ \overline{Y}(l, b) & G_\lambda \end{vmatrix}}{\Delta},$$

where Δ is given by (6), so the value of $\dot{l}$ at a given t depends only on $l(t)$ and b. For an arbitrary function $k \in C^1(D', \mathbb{R}_+)$, we consider

$$\dot{l}(t) = \pm\sqrt{k(l(t), b)}.$$

Then it follows

$$\ddot{l}(t) = \tfrac{1}{2}k_\lambda(l(t), b)$$

and using the relations (12) we obtain

$$\overline{X}(\lambda, b) = kF_{\lambda\lambda} + \tfrac{1}{2}k_\lambda F_\lambda \qquad \overline{Y}(\lambda, b) = kG_{\lambda\lambda} + \tfrac{1}{2}k_\lambda G_\lambda, \tag{13}$$

where F, G, k and their derivatives have the argument (λ, b). So (13) provides a general form of the force field admitting the family of orbits (3) as solutions of the system (2).

In the case when the force field is generated by a potential, i.e. there exists $v \in C^1(D)$ so that

$$X = -v_x \qquad Y = -v_y, \tag{14}$$

the system (2) is a Lagrangian one, with $L(x, y, \dot{x}, \dot{y}) = T(\dot{x}, \dot{y}) - v(x, y)$ and $T(\dot{x}, \dot{y}) = (\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2)/2$. For such a system the energy

$$\mathcal{E} = L_{\dot{x}}\dot{x} + L_{\dot{y}}\dot{y} - L = T + v$$

has a constant value E and

$$\tfrac{1}{2}(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2) = E - v$$

hence $k = \frac{2(E-v)}{\beta}$, with β given by (7). Inserting in (13) the relations (14) and eliminating the terms in k_λ, one has

$$k = \frac{F_\lambda v_y - G_\lambda v_x}{\Delta}.$$

Let the potential in the coordinates λ, b be

$$V(\lambda, b) = v(x(\lambda, b), y(\lambda, b)).$$

We have

$$v_x = J^{-1}(V_\lambda G_b - V_b G_\lambda) \qquad v_y = J^{-1}(-V_\lambda F_b + V_b F_\lambda),$$

with J given by (4). Equating the two forms obtained for k and denoting

$$\alpha = -(F_\lambda F_b + G_\lambda G_b),$$

it follows

$$E = V + \frac{\beta}{2J\Delta}(\alpha V_\lambda + \beta V_b),$$

which is exactly the equation obtained by Bozis and Borghero [3] directly for the system having the right-hand side terms given by (14), and for the family of curves (3).

3. The inverse problem in a rotating frame

A problem similar to that of Dainelli for the system (2) and the family of orbits (1) was studied for a system in a rotating frame by Pal and Anisiu [10]. Such a system has the form

$$\ddot{x} - 2\dot{y} = X$$
$$\ddot{y} + 2\dot{x} = Y. \tag{15}$$

We now look for the functions $X, Y \in C(D)$ in order that the system (15) admits as solutions the curves from the family (3). In this case, after replacing the velocity and acceleration components of the particle P in (15) one obtains

$$F_{\lambda\lambda}\dot{l}^2 + F_\lambda\ddot{l} - 2G_\lambda\dot{l} = \overline{X}(l, b)$$
$$G_{\lambda\lambda}\dot{l}^2 + G_\lambda\ddot{l} + 2F_\lambda\dot{l} = \overline{Y}(l, b), \tag{16}$$

hence

$$\dot{l}^2 = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \overline{X}(l, b) - 2G_\lambda\dot{l} & F_\lambda \\ \overline{Y}(l, b) - 2F_\lambda\dot{l} & G_\lambda \end{vmatrix}}{\Delta},$$

with Δ given by (6) and $\overline{X}, \overline{Y}$ by (11). So, we can consider again $\dot{l}$ as an arbitrary function of $(l(t), b)$. Let $K \in C^1(D', \mathbb{R}_+)$ be an arbitrary function and

$$\dot{l}(t) = \pm\sqrt{K(l(t), b)}.$$

Then

$$\ddot{l}(t) = \tfrac{1}{2}K_\lambda(l(t), b)$$

and considering (16) we can take

$$\overline{X}(\lambda, b) = \mp 2\sqrt{K}G_\lambda + KF_{\lambda\lambda} + \tfrac{1}{2}K_\lambda F_\lambda$$
$$\overline{Y}(\lambda, b) = \pm 2\sqrt{K}F_\lambda + KG_{\lambda\lambda} + \tfrac{1}{2}K_\lambda G_\lambda, \tag{17}$$

where F, G, K and their derivatives have the argument (λ, b).

Now, if the force field in (15) is produced by a potential, i.e. the relations (14) take place, we obtain from (17) eliminating the terms in K_λ:

$$F_\lambda v_y - G_\lambda v_x = \mp 2\beta\sqrt{K} + \Delta K. \tag{18}$$

The system (15) with $X = -v_x$, $Y = -v_y$ is a Lagrangian one, but with a generalized Lagrangian $L(x, y, \dot{x}, \dot{y}) = T(\dot{x}, \dot{y}) - Z(x, y, \dot{x}, \dot{y})$, with $T(\dot{x}, \dot{y}) = (\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2)/2$ and a generalized potential also depending on velocities $Z = -(x\dot{y} - y\dot{x}) + v(x, y)$. For this system the energy

$$\mathcal{E} = L_{\dot{x}}\dot{x} + L_{\dot{y}}\dot{y} - L = T + v$$

is a constant of motion and obviously $\mathcal{E} \neq T + Z$. So we have

$$\tfrac{1}{2}(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2) = E - v$$

hence $K = 2(E - v)/\beta$. Replacing this value of K in (18) we obtain

$$(\alpha V_\lambda + \beta V_b)/J = \mp\sqrt{2(E - V)}\sqrt{\beta} + 2(E - V)\Delta/\beta,$$

which is the correspondent of the equation derived by Drâmbă [7], Szebehely and Broucke [12] for the system (15) with X, Y given by (14), the family of curves being (1).

Example. *Let the family of orbits (3) be given, with*

$$F(\lambda, b) = \exp(\lambda) - \exp(b)$$
$$G(\lambda, b) = \lambda + b. \tag{19}$$

This is a diffeomorphism from $\mathbb{R}^2$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ for which $\beta = \exp(2\lambda) + 1$, $J = \exp(\lambda) + \exp(b)$, $\Delta = \exp(\lambda)$ are all different from 0. The coercivity condition (5) is also verified. In the case of the inertial frame, the form of the force field obtained in (13) is

$$\overline{X}(\lambda, b) = (k(\lambda, b) + \tfrac{1}{2}k_\lambda(\lambda, b))\exp(\lambda) \qquad \overline{Y}(\lambda, b) = \tfrac{1}{2}k_\lambda(\lambda, b), \qquad (20)$$

while in the rotating frame (17) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{X}(\lambda, b) &= \mp 2\sqrt{K(\lambda, b)} + (K(\lambda, b) + \tfrac{1}{2}K_\lambda(\lambda, b))\exp(\lambda) \\
\overline{Y}(\lambda, b) &= \pm 2\sqrt{K(\lambda, b)}\exp(\lambda) + \tfrac{1}{2}K_\lambda(\lambda, b).
\end{aligned} \qquad (21)$$

The inverse of the transformation (19) can be computed explicitly, so for obtaining X and Y in the variables (x, y) it suffices to insert in formulae (20) and (21)

$$\lambda = y - \ln \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{x^2 + 4\exp(y)} - x\right) \qquad b = \ln \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{x^2 + 4\exp(y)} - x\right).$$

Remark. *The formulae (13) and (17), which give the form of the force field producing families of orbits of the type (3), in an inertial or rotating frame, involve the partial derivatives of the functions F and G. It may happen that the values of these functions are known only on a grid of points, or that the functions are so complicated it is desirable to approximate them by polynomials, for example. The values of the derivatives of F and G can then be computed using approximated differentiation, starting with Newton's interpolation formula for functions of two variables [6]. Two types of errors appear: truncation errors caused by replacing the function with the interpolation polynomial, decreasing with the dimension of the step; rounding errors caused by inaccurate initial values of the function on the grid, which increase with the dimension of the step. So, an optimal step is to be determined in order to minimize the total error.*

Another problem arises when the force fields, obtained as functions of (λ, b) are to be expressed in the original variables (x, y). For this it is necessary to perform the inverse transformation of (3), using for example a variant of Newton's method [9].

References

[1] Bozis G 1984 Szebehely inverse problem for finite symmetrical material concentrations *Astron. Astrophys.* **134** 360

[2] Bozis G 1995 The inverse problem of dynamics: basic facts *Inverse Problems* **11** 687 (Special issue)

[3] Bozis G and Borghero F 1998 A new formulation of the two-dimensional inverse problem of dynamics *Inverse Problems* **14** 41

[4] Broucke R and Lass H 1977 On Szebehely equation for the potential of a prescribed family of orbits *Celest. Mech.* **16** 215

[5] Dainelli U 1880 Sul movimento per una linea qualunque *Giornale di Mat. di Battaglini* **18** 271

[6] Demidovich B P and Maron I A 1973 *Computational Mathematics* (Moscow: Mir)

[7] Drâmbă C 1963 Ecuaţia diferenţială a traiectoriilor în problema restrânsă circulară în plan *St. Cerc. Astron.* **8** 7

[8] Fillmore J A and Goldreich P 1984 Self-similar gravitational collapse in an expanding universe *Astrophys. J.* **281** 1

[9] Ortega J M and Rheinboldt W C 1970 *Iterative Solution of Nonlinear Equations in Several Variables* (New York: Academic)

[10] Pal A and Anisiu M-C 1996 On the two-dimensional inverse problem of dynamics *Astron. Nachr.* **317** 205

[11] Szebehely V 1974 On the determination of the potential by satellite observations *Proc. Int. Meeting on Earth's Rotations by Satellite Observations (Rend. Sem. Fac. Sc. Univ. of Caligari* XLIV Suppl.) ed E Proverbio (Bologna: Pitagora Editrice) p 31

[12] Szebehely V and Broucke R 1981 Determination of the potential in a synodic system *Celest. Mech.* **24** 23

[13] Whittaker E T 1904 *Analytical Dynamics of Particles and Rigid Bodies* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)

[14] Zeidler E 1986 Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications *Fixed-Point Theory* vol I (New York: Springer)