THE ENGLANCE STOCK COMES AND AND AND COURT CONTRIBUTE.

SIMULTANEOUS EQUATIONS, FOR WHICH THE ITERATIVE PROCESS IS CONVERGENT

b:

VASILE PETEANU

of the car be a like the present

Cluj

We consider a plane, convex domain, F its frontier and a direction Δ in the figure's plane.

Definition 1. We call a symmetry curve of the F figure, attached to the Δ direction, the geometrical place of the chords' centres of the F figure, parallel to Δ .

We note with $F\Delta$ the symmetry curve of the F figure, attached to the Δ direction. Since F is convex, $F\Delta$ will surely be a continuous curve. Let Δ_1 and Δ_2 be two orthogonal directions in the plane of the F figure.

Definition 2. A point belonging to the $F\Delta_1$ and $F\Delta_2$ curve's intersection, and which is interior to F, will be called a generalized centre of samuel.

of symmetry, attached to the $\Delta_1\Delta_2$ system. The existence of such a centre is evident. We observe immediatly that if F has a symmetry centre in its usual meaning, it is also a generalized centre of symmetry, attached to any system of axis. Further on, we shall consider the plane related to an orthogonal chartesian system, in which the Ox axis is parallel with Δ_1 and Oy with Δ_2 . Then, $F\Delta_2$ may be interpreted as being the graphical representation of the function y = f(x), and $F\Delta_1$ as being the graphical representation of an another x = g(y) function, the functions f(x) and g(y) being defined on the $[a_0, b_0]$ interval, respectively $[c_0, d_0]$, where

$$a_0 = \inf \{x | M(x, y) \in F\}$$

$$b_0 = \sup \{x | M(x, y) \in F\}$$

$$c_0 = \inf \{y | M(x, y) \in F\}$$

$$d_0 = \sup \{y | M(x, y) \in F\}$$

We define a proper solution of the system

$$y = f(x)$$

$$x = g(y)$$

any solution of the system, which is not to be found on F. Any proper solution of the system (1) is a generalized centre of symmetry of F, attached to the reference system and reciprocally.

THEOREM 1. The set of proper solutions of the system (1) is convex.

Proof. The fact that $O_1(x_1, y_1)$ and $O_2(x_2, y_2)$ are proper solutions of the (1) system, implies the existence of four strictly positive values α_1 , β_1 , α_2 , β_2 , so that the points

(2)
$$M_{1}(x_{1} + \alpha_{1}, y_{1}) M_{3}(x_{1}, y_{1} + \beta_{1}) M_{5}(x_{2} + \alpha_{2}, y_{2}) M_{7}(x_{2}, y_{2} + \beta_{2})$$

$$M_{2}(x_{1} - \alpha_{1}, y_{1}) M_{4}(x_{1}, y_{1} - \beta_{1}) M_{6}(x_{2} - \alpha_{2}, y_{2}) M_{8}(x_{2}, y_{2} - \beta_{2})$$

are to be found on F. In order to simplify the calculus and without loss in generality, we can take $x_1 = 0$, $y_1 = 1$, $x_2 = 1$, $y_2 = 0$. A necessary condition for the points (2), which derives from the convexity of F, is that the M_1 and M_7 points must be situated in an opposed semiplane to the O_1 and O_2 points, relative to the straight line $M_3 \hat{M}_5$, and respectively the M_4 and M_6 points are to be found in the semiplane opposed to the O_1 and O_2 points, relative to the M_2M_8 straight line. These conditions are expressed by the following inequalities:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{conv.} \quad \text{\mathcal{L} 1} \frac{\alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2}{1+\alpha_2} + \frac{\mathcal{L}^{-1} \cdot \alpha_3}{1+\beta_1} \frac{\mathcal{H}}{1} \stackrel{\text{\mathcal{L}}}{1} \stackrel{\text{\mathcal{L}}}{1} \stackrel{\text{\mathcal{L}}}{1+\beta_2} + \frac{1}{1+\alpha_1} \frac{\alpha_1}{1+\alpha_2} \stackrel{\text{\mathcal{L}}}{1+\alpha_1} \stackrel{\text{\mathcal{L}}}{1+\alpha_2} \stackrel{\text{\mathcal{L}}}{1$$

That if
$$\mu \in 0 \le 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$$

The preceding inequalities can also be written:

$$\frac{\alpha_1}{1+\alpha_2} \ge \frac{\beta_1}{1+\beta_1} = \frac{\alpha_2}{1+\alpha_2} = \frac{\beta_2}{1+\beta_2} = \frac{\beta_2}{$$

The inequalities (3) can be simultaneously verified only if $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, $\beta_1 = \beta_2$, and their consequence is that the M_3 , M_7 , M_1 and M_5 points, respectively M_1 , M_2 , M_3 , M_4 , M_5 , M_4 , and M_5 points, two pectively M_2 , M_6 , M_4 and M_8 are colinear. But in this case, F contains t^{W0}

parallel segments, and any point of the O_1O_2 segment has the property to be a generalized symmetry centre, attached to the reference system, fact that demonstrates the theorem. the r convex fixing.

Lemma: If

$$x_1 = g(y_3)$$
 $x_4 = g(y_2)$
 $y_1 = f(x_3)$ $y_4 = f(x_2)$

an:l

$$x_1 \le x_2 \le x_3 \le x_4 \quad \text{if A replace to solitate from }$$

$$y_1 \le y_2 \le y_3 \le y_4 \quad \text{where } x = x_4 \quad \text{where } x_4 = x_4 \quad \text{w$$

then

$$x_1 = x_2$$
 $x_3 = x_4$
 $y_1 = y_2$ $y_3 = y_4$

Proof. There exists the strictly positive α_1 , β_1 , α_2 , β_2 values, so that the $A(x_1 - \alpha_1, y_3)$, $B(x_2, y_4 + \beta_1)$, $C(x_4 + \alpha_2, y_2)$, $D(x_3, y_1 - \beta_2)$ points are to be found on F. Let $y = f_1(x)$ and $x = g_1(y)$ be the equations of the symmetry curve of the ABCD quadrilateral, attached to the Oy direction respectively Ox. The CM_3 , M_3M_4 and M_4D segments compose the graphical representation of the first equation, and the AM_1 , $M_1\bar{M}_2$ and $\bar{M}_4\bar{D}$ segments compose the second equation's graphical representation, where $M_1 = M_1(x_2, y_5)$ $M_2 = M_2(x_3, y_0)$, $M_3 = M_3(x_0, y_3)$ and $M_4 = M_4(x_5, y_2)$ with $y_0 \le y_1$, $y_4 \le y_5$, $x_0 \le x_1$, $x_4 \le x_5$. Since the function f_1 is continous, there are two values x' and x'' belonging to the $[x_2 \ x_3]$ interval, so that $f_1(x') = y_3$ and $f_1(x'') = y_2$. From the inequalities

$$(x')=y_3 \ ext{and} \ f_1(x'')=y_2.$$
 From the inequalities $x_1-\alpha_1-g_1(y_3)<0$ $x'-g_1(y_3)\geqq0$ $x''-g_1(y_2)\leqq0$ $x_4+\alpha_2-g_1(y_2)>0$

and according to the continuity of the f_1 and g_1 functions, it results the existence of three generalized symmetry centres, attached to the reference system. As a consequence of the Theorem 1, the only possible case is

$$x_0 = x_1 = x_2$$
 $x_3 = x_4 = x_5$ $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$
 $y_0 = y_1 = y_2$ $y_3 = y_4 = y_5$ $\beta_1 = \beta_2$

a case in which the F figure allows a segment of generalized centres of symmetry attached to the reference system.

THEOREM 2. For the system (1), the iterative process is convergent to a proper solution, for any initial approximation $M_0(x_0, y_0)$ in the interior of the F convex figure. Lemma: W

VASILE PETER

Proof: Let

$$y_i = f(x_{i-1})$$

$$x_i = g(y_{i-1})$$

the iteration of order i. We note

$$a_i = \inf_{c_{i-1} \le y \le d_{i-1}} g(y), \qquad b_i = \sup_{c_{i-1} \le y \le d_{i-1}} g(y)$$

(6)
$$c_{i} = \inf_{\substack{a_{i-1} \leq x \leq b_{i-1}}} f(x), \qquad d_{i} = \sup_{\substack{a_{i-1} \leq x \leq b_{i-1}}} f(x)$$

Evidently . & a . E . v aritima dirita nit staxe red! as all

$$a_{i-1} \le a_i \le x_i \le b_i \le b_{i-1}$$

$$(7) \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$c_{i+1} \le c_i \le y_i \le d_i \le d_{i-1}$$

In the iterative process, there are two possibilities: or $\lim_{i \to a_i} (b_i - a_i) = 0$ or $\lim_{i \to a_i} (b_i - a_i) \neq 0$. In the first case, the proceeding converges. We shall analyse the second case. Let $a^* = \lim a_i$ and $b^* = \lim b_i$. The possisibility that $\lim_{i \to \infty} (d_i - c_i) = 0$ is excluded, because in this case g(y) would take an infinity of values in a point, contrary to the definition. We note that $c^* = \lim c_i$ and $d^* = \lim d_i$. Evidently, there are the x_2 and x_3 values in the $[a^*, b^*]$, interval, and y_2 and y_3 in the $[c^*, d^*]$ interval, so that $f(x_2) = d^* f(x_3) = c^*$, $g(y_2) = b^*$ and $g(y_3) = a^*$. The preceding lemma, specifies that here $x_2 = a^*$, $x_3 = b^*$, $y_2 = c^*$, $y_3 = d^*$. According to the Theorem 1, any point of the $O_1(a^*, d^*)$ $O_2(b^*, c^*)$ segment is a proper solution of the system 1. The convergence of the iterative process (5) is also provided in this case, fact that demonstrates the theorem.

" the witten the F signer allows a squaral of generalized centres of

Systemetry attacined to the reference systems,

Received 19. XI, 1963

UN ALGORITHME POUR RÉSOUDRE CERTAINS PROBLÈMES DE PROGRAMMATION MATHÉMATIQUE

... seem to A - with the following (newhologoginicity) - etg a given and

The secretary of the se or and the same house of their modernizations of more a many send the

per decords to projection of the series of the series and the second of the second control of the

there's miller be greatly in a secret in a second of a contra

A Le la forma ten de la forma al les

angaring, engravasta ya nara sa hatauma bisa inga (ili amitrican) sali

F. RADÓ de la riardos de mediantes

and the company of a Cluj considerate Ω and according to

Part of the second of the seco

1. Dans le livre [5] se trouve énoncé le problème suivant de programmation linéaire à contraintes conditionnelles:

On considère l'ensemble des vecteurs $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ à composantes réelles, qui vérifient les conditions

(1)
$$x_j \ge 0, \quad j=1, 2, \ldots, n \qquad \text{for all } j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$$

(2)
$$D_{i}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{ij} x_{j} - d_{i} \ge 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

(3)
$$A_k(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj} x_j - a_k < 0 \Rightarrow B_k(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{kj} x_j - b_k \ge 0, \quad k = 1, ..., p.$$

Déterminer le vecteur x, pour lequel la fonction

(4)
$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j x_j$$

prend sa valeur minime (les nombres a_{kj} , b_{kj} , d_{ij} , a_k , b_k , d_i , c_j sont donnés). Divers problèmes d'économie conduisent à ce modèle mathématique, qui généralise la programmation linéaire. Dans le travail [1], un problème de minimum relatif au temps de fabrication d'un produit qui passe par une série de machines, dans un ordre déterminé, a été posé sous la forme énoncée. Les problèmes de planification en temps de la production (sequencing prob-