

P. 295

matka

U N I V E R Z I T E T U B E O G R A D U

PUBLIKACIJE

ELEKTROTEHNIČKOG FAKULTETA

SERIJA:

MATEMATIKA I FIZIKA

№ 381 — № 409

(1972)

BEOGRAD

P. 5682/973

384. AN INTEGRAL INEQUALITY FOR CONVEX FUNCTIONS*

Alexandru Lupas¹⁾

In this paper we denote by F one of the following functionals which are well-defined by the relations

$$F(f) := \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx, \quad F(f) := \frac{\int_a^b p(x) f(x) dx}{\int_a^b p(x) dx} \quad (a < b),$$

$$(1) \quad F(f) := \sum_{k=0}^n p_k f(w_k) \quad (w_k \in [a, b]; k = 0, 1, \dots, n),$$

where $p: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive, integrable function on $[a, b]$. Likewise we suppose that $p_k \geq 0$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, n$), $\sum_{k=0}^n p_k = 1$. Clearly, if F is in such a manner defined then $F(1) = 1$. Sometimes instead of F we write F_x in order to put in evidence the corresponding variable. For instance

$$F_x(f) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \quad \text{and} \quad F_x(f(z)) = f(z).$$

Lemma. If $f, g: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are convex functions on the interval $[a, b]$, then

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} & F(fg)[F(e^2) - F(e)^2] - F(f)F(g)F(e^2) \\ & \geq F(ef)F(eg) - [F(f)F(eg) + F(g)F(ef)] \cdot F(e) \end{aligned}$$

where $e(x) = x$, $x \in [a, b]$. If f or g is a linear function then the equality holds in (2).

Proof. Let $[x, y, z; f]$ be the divided difference of a certain function f . Under our conditions, for all distinct points x, y, z from $[a, b]$

$$[x, y, z; f] \cdot [x, y, z; g] \geq 0$$

* Presented December 31, 1971 by D. S. MITRINOVIĆ.

¹⁾ This paper was partially supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

$\int_a^b q(x) dx = 1$. The ordinary means of order r are the special cases of (2) obtained by setting all $q_k = \frac{1}{n}$. We note that if a is a constant sequence (f is a constant function) or if $n=1$, then $M(r)$ is a constant, and the middle term in (1) is not defined. For this reason we shall always assume that a is not a constant sequence (that f is not constant), and that $n \geq 2$. In this case it is well-known (see [2, 16—18], [3, 26—27] and [6, 74—76]) that $M(r)$ is a continuous, strictly increasing function of r , and that $r \log M(r)$ is a strictly convex function of r . The left-hand inequality in (1) follows at once from the first of these results, and we shall now show that the strict convexity of $M(r^{-1})$ for $r > 0$ follows from the second. In what follows, we deal with (2), the proof for (3) being essentially identical.

In order to prove that $f(r) \equiv M(r^{-1})$ is strictly convex for $r > 0$, it suffices [3, 77] to show that $f''(r) > 0$ for $r > 0$, or since

$$f'(r) = -r^{-2} M'(r^{-1}), \quad f''(r) = r^{-4} M''(r^{-1}) + 2r^{-3} M'(r^{-1}),$$

it suffices to prove that

$$(4) \quad g(y) \equiv y^2 M''(y) + 2y M'(y) > 0 \quad \text{for } y > 0.$$

Now we have

$$(5) \quad M'(y) = y^{-1} \left\{ M^{1-y}(y) \sum_{k=1}^n q_k a_k^y \log a_k - M(y) \log M(y) \right\},$$

and so

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} M''(y) = & -y^{-2} \left\{ M^{1-y} \sum_{k=1}^n q_k a_k^y \log a_k - M \log M \right\} \\ & + y^{-1} \left\{ (1-y) M^{-y} M' \sum_{k=1}^n q_k a_k^y \log a_k + M^{1-y} \sum_{k=1}^n q_k a_k^y (\log a_k)^2 - M' - M' \log M \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From (4)—(6) it follows that

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} g(y) = & M \left\{ (\log M)^2 - 2 M^{-y} \log M \sum_{k=1}^n q_k a_k^y \log a_k + M^{-2y} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n q_k a_k^y \log a_k \right)^2 \right\} \\ & + y \left\{ M^{1-y} \log M \sum_{k=1}^n q_k a_k^y \log a_k - M^{1-2y} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n q_k a_k^y \log a_k \right)^2 \right. \\ & \left. + M^{1-y} \sum_{k=1}^n q_k a_k^y (\log a_k)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The first term of (7) is

$$M \left(\log M - M^{-y} \sum_{k=1}^n q_k a_k^y \log a_k \right)^2,$$

and so it suffices to prove that the second term of (7) is positive. To prove this we use the strict convexity of $h(y) \equiv y \log M(y)$ (see the suggested proof of this in [2, p. 18]), or rather the fact that

$$h''(y) = 2 \frac{M'}{M} + y \frac{MM'' - M'^2}{M^2} > 0,$$

$$(8) \quad 2MM' + yMM'' - yM'^2 > 0 \quad \text{for all } y.$$

Substituting from (5) and (6) into (8) we obtain finally

$$M^{2-y} \log M \sum_1^n q_k a_k^y \log a_k - M^{2-2y} \left(\sum_1^n q_k a_k^y \log a_k \right) + M^{2-y} \sum_1^n q_k a_k^y (\log a_k)^2 > 0$$

for all y . It follows from this that the second term of (7) is positive for all $y > 0$, completing the proof of (1).

We note in passing that, since $(yM(y))'' = yM'' + 2M' = y^{-1}(y^2 M'' + 2yM')$, it follows that $yM(y)$ is also strictly convex for $y > 0$. (This also follows from [3, TH. 119]).

The proof of the right hand inequality of (1) is now almost immediate since it is equivalent to

$$(9) \quad M(s) < \frac{r(t-s)}{s(t-r)} M(r) + \frac{t(s-r)}{s(t-r)} M(t), \quad 0 < r < s < t.$$

Setting $\lambda = t(s-r)/\{s(t-r)\}$, we have $0 < \lambda < 1$ for the s in question. Hence, setting $r = 1/y$, $t = 1/x$, $s = 1/\{\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y\}$, we see that (9) is equivalent to

$$(10) \quad M\left(\frac{1}{\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y}\right) < \lambda M\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) + (1-\lambda)M\left(\frac{1}{y}\right), \quad (0 < x < y, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1),$$

and this follows from the strict convexity of $M(r^{-1})$ for $r > 0$.

We also note that, using the strict convexity of $yM(y)$ for $y > 0$, one can prove that M satisfies the inequality

$$(t-r)M(rt/s) < (s-r)M(r) + (t-s)M(t) \quad (0 < r < s < t),$$

or, setting $r = \alpha s$, the inequality

$$(11) \quad M(\alpha t) < \frac{(1-\alpha)s}{t-\alpha s} M(\alpha s) + \frac{t-s}{t-\alpha s} M(t) \quad (0 < s < t, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1).$$

L. C. Hsu also asserted that the inequalities (1) were *best possible*. Using the continuity of M at r this is obvious for the left hand inequality of (1) if we let s approach r . We shall prove that both inequalities of (1) are best possible for arbitrary (fixed) r, s, t such that $0 < r < s < t$. To prove this we first take $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_{n-1} = \varepsilon > 0$, $a_n = a > 0$, and note that for each $n \geq 2$,

$$M(r) = \left\{ \varepsilon^r \sum_1^{n-1} q_k + q_n a^r \right\}^{1/r} \rightarrow q_n^{1/r} a \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0+.$$

It follows that

$$(12) \quad \frac{M(t) - M(r)}{M(t) - M(s)} \rightarrow \frac{q_n^{1/t} - q_n^{1/r}}{q_n^{1/t} - q_n^{1/s}} = \frac{1 - y^{R-T}}{1 - y^{S-T}} = A(y) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0+,$$