



## Estimating the radius of an attraction ball<sup>☆</sup>

Emil Cătinaș

Romanian Academy, "T. Popoviciu" Institute of Numerical Analysis, P.O. Box 68–1, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 2 January 2008

Accepted 26 August 2008

#### Keywords:

Fixed points

Attraction points

Attraction balls

### ABSTRACT

Given a nonlinear mapping  $G$  differentiable at a fixed point  $x^*$ , the Ostrowski theorem offers the sharp sufficient condition

$$\rho(G'(x^*)) < 1$$

for  $x^*$  to be an attraction point, where  $\rho$  denotes the spectral radius. However, no estimate for the size of an attraction ball is known.

We show in this note that such an estimate may be readily obtained in terms of  $\|G'(x^*)\| < 1$  (with  $\|\cdot\|$  an arbitrary given norm) and of the Hölder (in particular Lipschitz) continuity constant of  $G'$ . An elementary example shows that this estimate may be sharp.

Our assumptions do not necessarily require  $G$  to be of contractive type on the whole estimated ball.

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

### 1. Estimation of the radius

Let  $G : D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow D$  be a nonlinear mapping which has a fixed point  $x^* \in \text{int } D$ :

$$x^* = G(x^*).$$

This point is an *attraction point* [1, Def. 10.1.1] if, given a norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists an open ball  $B_r := B_r(x^*) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x - x^*\| < r\} \subseteq D$  such that for any initial approximation  $x_0 \in B_r$ , the successive approximations

$$x_{k+1} = G(x_k), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots \quad (1)$$

remain in  $D$  and converge to  $x^*$ . Note that a finite number of iterates are allowed to lie outside  $B_r$ .

The following result is well known.

**Theorem 1** (Ostrowski; see, e.g., [2, Th.22.1], [1, Th.10.1.3] and [3, Th.3.5]). *If  $G$  is differentiable at the fixed point  $x^*$  and the spectral radius satisfies*

$$\sigma := \rho(G'(x^*)) = \max \{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda \text{ eigenvalue of } G'(x^*)\} < 1 \quad (2)$$

*then  $x^*$  is an attraction point.*

**Remark 1.** According to [1, N.R.10.1-2], this result holds also when instead of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  one considers an arbitrary Banach space  $X$ , with the observation that in defining the spectral radius of a linear continuous operator from  $X$  to  $X$  one takes its resolvent and spectrum (see, e.g., [4, p. 795]).

<sup>☆</sup> This work was supported by MEdc under grant 2CEEX-06-11-96/19.09.2006.

E-mail address: [ecatinas@ictp.acad.ro](mailto:ecatinas@ictp.acad.ro).

URL: <http://www.ictp.acad.ro/catinas>.

Condition (2) is sharp:

**Example 1** ([1, E.10.1.2]). The function  $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $G(x) = x + x^3$ , is differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\sigma = 1$  at the fixed point  $x^* = 0$ , which is not an attraction point.

The spectral radius also offers some global information regarding the convergence rate of all the sequences of the successive approximations converging toward  $x^*$ , while the spectral elements of  $G'(x^*)$  characterize the convergence rate of each individual such sequence. Indeed,  $\sigma$  yields in fact the worst convergence rate among all the sequences converging to  $x^*$  (see [1, Th.10.1.4] and [3, Th.3.5]), while the zero eigenvalue and its corresponding eigenvectors characterize the high convergence orders (more precisely, the  $q$ -superlinear convergence and with  $q$ -orders  $1 + p$ ,  $p \in (0, 1]$ ) of a single such sequence [5].<sup>1</sup>

**Theorem 1** says nothing about the size  $r$  of the attraction ball. It is interesting to note that such an estimate can be deduced by applying some existing results. Indeed, under some additional differentiability assumptions on  $G$  and defining  $F(x) = x - G(x)$ , the successive approximations may be regarded as inexact Newton iterates for solving the nonlinear system  $F(x) = 0$  [5]:

$$\begin{aligned} F'(x_k)s_k &= -F(x_k) + r_k \\ x_{k+1} &= x_k + s_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Ypma [8] has obtained an estimate for the radius of attraction of this method, and we can deduce the corresponding one for the successive approximations.

However, instead of conditions in terms of the Hölder continuity constant of  $I - G'$  required by this approach, we obtain below (sharp) estimates in a direct manner, in terms of the Hölder continuity constant of  $G'$ . Before doing that, we notice that the successive approximations may also be regarded as quasi-Newton iterates (or, more generally, as inexactly solved perturbed Newton iterates [9]) [10], but not as (exact) Newton iterates; in the first case there do not exist estimates for the radius of the convergence, while in the second they do exist (see, e.g., [11–14]).

**Theorem 2.** Suppose there exist  $r_1 > 0$ ,  $p \in (0, 1]$ ,  $K_p > 0$  and a norm  $\|\cdot\|$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $G$  is differentiable on  $B_{r_1}$ , with  $G'$  Hölder continuous at exponent  $p$ :

$$\|G'(x) - G'(y)\| \leq K_p \|x - y\|^p, \quad \forall x, y \in B_{r_1}.$$

Moreover, assume that

$$\|G'(x^*)\| \leq q < 1 \tag{4}$$

and define

$$\begin{aligned} r_2 &= \left( \frac{(1+p)(1-q)}{K_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \\ r &= \min\{r_1, r_2\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Then, for any initial approximation  $x_0 \in B_r$ , the successive approximations remain in  $B_r$  and converge ( $q$ -)linearly:

$$\|x_{k+1} - x^*\| \leq t \|x_k - x^*\|, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \tag{6}$$

where  $t = \frac{K_p}{1+p} \|x_0 - x^*\|^p + q < 1$ .

Therefore,

$$\|x_k - x^*\| \leq t^k \|x_0 - x^*\|, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots.$$

**Proof.** The continuity hypothesis on  $G'$  implies (see, e.g., [1, 3.2.12]):

$$\|G(x) - G(x^*) - G'(x^*)(x - x^*)\| \leq \frac{K_p}{1+p} \|x - x^*\|^{1+p}, \quad \forall x \in B_{r_1}.$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_1 - x^*\| &\leq \|G(x_0) - G(x^*) - G'(x^*)(x_0 - x^*)\| + \|G'(x^*)(x_0 - x^*)\| \\ &\leq \left( \frac{K_p}{1+p} \|x_0 - x^*\|^p + q \right) \|x_0 - x^*\| := t \|x_0 - x^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

The key condition is  $t < 1$ , which yields  $\|x_0 - x^*\| < r_2$ . The rest of the proof follows by induction. ■

<sup>1</sup> For the rigorous definitions of the convergence rates and for different related results we refer the reader to [1, ch.9] and [6] (see also [3, ch.3] and [7]).

**Remark 2.** (a) The relationship between condition (2) ( $\sigma < 1$ ) and the existence of a norm such that (4) ( $\|G'(x^*)\| < 1$ ) holds is the following. Condition (4) implies (2), since the spectral radius satisfies

$$\rho(G'(x^*)) \leq \|G'(x^*)\|, \quad \text{for any norm } \|\cdot\| \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (7)$$

Condition (2) does not imply (4) in any norm, but for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a norm  $\|\cdot\|_{(\varepsilon)}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that (see, e.g., [1, 2.2.8], [2, Th.19.3]):

$$\sigma \leq \|G'(x^*)\|_{(\varepsilon)} \leq \sigma + \varepsilon. \quad (8)$$

In the case of a Banach space instead of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the statements regarding relations (7) and (8) remain true, with the remark that the norms involved must be equivalent to the initial one (see, e.g., [4, p. 795]). Therefore, the relationship between (2) and (4) remains the same.

**Corollary 1.** Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2, if  $G'$  is Lipschitz continuous, i.e., Hölder continuous with  $p = 1$ ,  $L := K_1$ , then instead of (5) one may take

$$r_2 = \frac{2(1-q)}{L} \quad (9)$$

and, correspondingly,  $t = \frac{L}{2}\|x_0 - x^*\| + q$ .

**Corollary 2.** The assumptions of Theorem 2 imply the necessary condition

$$\|G'(x)\| < 1 + p(1-q), \quad \forall x \in B_r, \quad (10)$$

regardless of the value of the Hölder or Lipschitz continuity constant.

If  $G'$  is Lipschitz continuous, then (10) becomes

$$\|G'(x)\| < 2 - q, \quad \forall x \in B_r. \quad (11)$$

**Proof.** The statement is obtained by taking into account the triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|G'(x)\| &\leq \|G'(x) - G'(x^*)\| + \|G'(x^*)\| \leq K_p r^p + q = K_p \left( \frac{(1+p)(1-q)}{K_p} \right)^{\frac{p}{p}} + q \\ &= 1 + p(1-q). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

The assumptions that we have considered do not necessarily require contractive-type nonlinear mappings on the whole estimated ball, as the following example shows.

**Example 2.** Let  $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $G(x) = x^2$ , having  $x^* = 0$  as attraction point;  $r_1 > 0$  may be chosen arbitrarily large, the derivative  $G'$  is Lipschitz continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , with  $L = 2$ . Since  $G'(0) = 0$ , one obtains from (9) that  $r = r_2 = 1$ . Moreover,

$$\|G'(x)\| = 2, \quad \text{for } \|x - x^*\| = r = 1.$$

The above example also shows that estimates (9) and (11) are sharp.

**Remark 3.** We notice that the predicted radius  $r_2$  may vary inversely proportionally with  $r_1$ , since the Hölder continuity constant may increase with  $r_1$ . In the previous example, if we take  $r_1 = \frac{1}{2}$  we get  $L = 1$  and then  $r_2 = 2$ , which is too large. Analogously, we can obtain too small values for  $r_2$  if we take for instance  $G(x) = x^3$  and large values for  $r_1$ .

## References

- [1] J.M. Ortega, W.C. Rheinboldt, Iterative Solution of Nonlinear Equations in Several Variables, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [2] A.M. Ostrowski, Solution of Equations and Systems of Equations, 2nd ed., Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [3] W.C. Rheinboldt, Methods for Solving Systems of Nonlinear Equations, 2nd ed., SIAM, Philadelphia, 1998.
- [4] E. Zeidler, Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Its Applications, I. Fixed-Point Theorems, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [5] E. Cătinaş, On the superlinear convergence of the successive approximations method, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 113 (2002) 473–485.
- [6] F.A. Potra, On  $Q$ -order and  $R$ -order of convergence, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 63 (1989) 415–431.
- [7] F.A. Potra,  $Q$ -superlinear convergence of the iterates in primal-dual interior-point methods, *Math. Program* 91 (1 ser. A) (2001) 99–115.
- [8] T.J. Ypma, Local convergence of inexact Newton methods, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 21 (1984) 583–590.
- [9] E. Cătinaş, Inexact perturbed Newton methods and applications to a class of Krylov solvers, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 108 (2001) 543–570.
- [10] E. Cătinaş, The inexact, inexact perturbed and quasi-Newton methods are equivalent models, *Math. Comp.* 74 (249) (2005) 291–301.
- [11] J.E. Dennis Jr., R.B. Schnabel, Numerical Methods for Unconstrained Optimization and Nonlinear Equations, in: Prentice-Hall Series in Computational Mathematics, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1983.
- [12] W.C. Rheinboldt, An adaptive continuation process for solving systems of nonlinear equations, in: Polish Academy of Science, in: Banach Ctr. Publ., vol. 3, 1977, pp. 129–142.
- [13] P. Deuflhard, F.A. Potra, Asymptotic mesh independence of Newton–Galerkin methods via a refined Mysovskii theorem, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 29 (1992) 1395–1412.
- [14] I.K. Argyros, On the convergence and application of Newton's method under weak Hölder continuity assumptions, *Int. J. Comp. Math.* 80 (6) (2003) 767–780.